

TABLE OF CONTENTS

WR6428 Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey -- BANNER TABLE 1

Table 1.....Q1. What is your current classification within the context of the Educator Effectiveness System or EES?

Table 2.....Q2. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=completely understand and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding of the EES?

Table 3.....Q2. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=completely understand and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding of the EES?

Table 4.....Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: EES Manual

Table 5.....Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: EES Manual

Table 6.....Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: EES Website

Table 7.....Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: EES Website

Table 8.....Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: Complex Area Support Team (CAST, e.g., EES EO's, CCSS, FI/DT)

Table 9.....Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: Complex Area Support Team (CAST, e.g., EES EO's, CCSS, FI/DT)

Table 10.....Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: Online Training Modules

Table 11.....Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: Online Training Modules

Table 12.....Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: EES Help Desk

Table 13.....Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: EES Help Desk

Table 14.....Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: Mentor Teacher

Table 15.....Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: Mentor Teacher

Table 16.....Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: School-led Training

Table 17.....Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: School-led Training

Table 18.....Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: Other

Table 19.....Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: Other

Table 20.....Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=completely understand and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Classroom Observations

Table 21.....Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=completely understand and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Classroom Observations

Table 22.....Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=completely understand and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Core Professionalism

Table 23.....Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=completely understand and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Core Professionalism

Table 24.....Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=completely understand and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Tripod Student Survey

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table 25.....Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=completely understand and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Tripod Student Survey

Table 26.....Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=completely understand and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Working Portfolio

Table 27.....Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=completely understand and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Working Portfolio

Table 28.....Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=completely understand and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Hawaii Growth Model

Table 29.....Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=completely understand and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Hawaii Growth Model

Table 30.....Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=completely understand and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Student Learning Objectives

Table 31.....Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=completely understand and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Student Learning Objectives

Table 32.....Q5A. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I received actionable feedback during my observation cycle.

Table 33.....Q5A. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I received actionable feedback during my observation cycle.

Table 34.....Q5B. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I know how to write an acceptable SLO.

Table 35.....Q5B. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I know how to write an acceptable SLO.

Table 36.....Q5C. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I know how to implement my SLO.

Table 37.....Q5C. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I know how to implement my SLO.

Table 38.....Q5D. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I believe that if I implement all of the EES components, then I am an effective teacher/professional.

Table 39.....Q5D. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I believe that if I implement all of the EES components, then I am an effective teacher/professional.

Table 40.....Q6. What do you need in order to improve your performance in each of these areas: Classroom Observations

Table 41.....Q6. What do you need in order to improve your performance in each of these areas: Core Professionalism

Table 42.....Q6. What do you need in order to improve your performance in each of these areas: Tripod Student Survey

Table 43.....Q6. What do you need in order to improve your performance in each of these areas: Working Portfolio

Table 44.....Q6. What do you need in order to improve your performance in each of these areas: Hawaii Growth Model

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table 45.....Q6. What do you need in order to improve your performance in each of these areas: Student Learning Objectives

Table 46.....Q7A. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I understand how the final performance rating is calculated for my evaluation.

Table 47.....Q7A. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I understand how the final performance rating is calculated for my evaluation.

Table 48.....Q7B. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree...: I understand what behaviors characterize a highly effective performance rating, an effective rating, a marginal rating, and an unsatisfactory rating.

Table 49.....Q7B. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree...: I understand what behaviors characterize a highly effective performance rating, an effective rating, a marginal rating, and an unsatisfactory rating.

Table 50.....Q7C. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: The time I am investing in completing the tasks in the EES are helping me to become a more effective teacher.

Table 51.....Q7C. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: The time I am investing in completing the tasks in the EES are helping me to become a more effective teacher.

Table 52.....Q7D. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree...: I am confident that the observer conducting the classroom observations will be knowledgeable of the Danielson framework and fair.

Table 53.....Q7D. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree...: I am confident that the observer conducting the classroom observations will be knowledgeable of the Danielson framework and fair.

Table 54.....Q7E. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: Bias will factor onto the classroom observations.

Table 55.....Q7E. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: Bias will factor onto the classroom observations.

Table 56.....Q7F. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: My students will put thought and effort into their Tripod Student Survey answers.

Table 57.....Q7F. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: My students will put thought and effort into their Tripod Student Survey answers.

Table 58.....Q7G. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: The working portfolio requirement accurately reflects my professional responsibilities.

Table 59.....Q7G. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: The working portfolio requirement accurately reflects my professional responsibilities.

Table 60.....Q7H. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: The Hawaii Growth Model is equitable across classroom teachers and non-classroom teachers.

Table 61.....Q7H. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: The Hawaii Growth Model is equitable across classroom teachers and non-classroom teachers.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table 62.....Q7I. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I understand the difference between proficiency and growth as determined by the Hawaii Growth Model.

Table 63.....Q7I. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I understand the difference between proficiency and growth as determined by the Hawaii Growth Model.

Table 64.....Q7J. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I am confident that administrators will be data driven and objective when assigning SLO ratings.

Table 65.....Q7J. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I am confident that administrators will be data driven and objective when assigning SLO ratings.

Table 66.....Q7K. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I have applied the EES information towards improving my instructional practice.

Table 67.....Q7K. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I have applied the EES information towards improving my instructional practice.

Table 68.....Q7L. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I have applied the EES information toward improving student growth and learning.

Table 69.....Q7L. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I have applied the EES information toward improving student growth and learning.

Table 70.....Q7M. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I have applied the EES information toward improving my professional practice.

Table 71.....Q7M. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I have applied the EES information toward improving my professional practice.

Table 72.....Q8. How can DOE/HSTA better prepare you for the EES?

Table 73.....Q10. Please indicate the complex area in which you work.

Table 74.....Q11. Please indicate the type of school in which you work.

Table 75.....Q12. How many years have you worked for the State of Hawaii Department of Education?

Table 76.....Q13. What is your current teacher status?

Table 1

Q1. What is your current classification within the context of the Educator Effectiveness System or EES?

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-		Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not Understand	
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6,7-	Office				(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)			
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	Gr&Sub	SchLvl	NonSch			12K12)										
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
Classroom Teacher of Tested Grades and Subjects	1848 43%	1848 100%	-	-	-	838 39% J	420 58% FHIJ	468 45% FJ	89 50% FJ	1 1%	466 54% LMN	372 44% N	617 43% N	381 34% N	249 59% P	1501 41%	323 43%	1120 42%	403 46% R		
Classroom Teacher of Non-Tested Grades and Subjects	1534 36%	- 100%	1534 100%	-	-	878 41% GI	187 26% GI	391 38% GI	49 27%	-	323 38% M	345 40% MN	478 33% MN	379 34%	149 35%	1326 36%	249 33%	961 36%	321 37%		
Non-Classroom Teacher (School Level)	734 17%	-	-	734 100%	-	395 19% J	112 15% J	169 16% J	36 20% J	3 2%	60 7%	115 13% K	272 19% KL	283 26% KLM	24 6%	690 19% O	135 18%	466 18%	131 15%		
Non-Classroom Teacher (Non-School Level)	144 3%	-	-	-	144 100%	10 *%	2 *%	2 *%	3 2%	123 97% FGHI	6 1%	17 2% K	58 4% KL	63 6% KL	1 *%	139 4% O	38 5% S	92 3%	14 2%		
None of the above	20 *%	-	-	-	-	12 1%	2 *%	2 *%	2 1%	-	2 *%	4 *%	11 1%	3 *%	1 *%	19 1%	9 1%	7 *%	4 *%		
BASE	4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 2

Q2. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=完全理解 and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding of the EES?

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not Understand		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6,7-12K12)					(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)			
		Tested	Tested	Non-Sch	Non-Sch	Gr&Sub	SchLvl	NonSch													
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) Do not understand at all		81 2%	40 2%	29 2%	11 1%	1 1%	32 2%	13 2%	28 3%	6 3%	1 1%	11 1%	19 2%	23 2%	26 2%	6 1%	69 2%	-	-	81 9%	
(2)		264 6%	137 7%	83 5%	39 5%	2 1%	127 6%	54 7%	58 6%	18 10%	2 2%	40 5%	57 7%	101 7%	64 6%	25 6%	222 6%	-	-	264 30%	
(3)		528 12%	226 12%	209 14%	81 11%	11 8%	288 14%	73 10%	124 12%	18 10%	8 6%	107 12%	102 12%	188 13%	128 12%	47 11%	450 12%	-	-	528 60%	
(4)		456 11%	183 10%	182 12%	71 10%	19 13%	252 12%	68 9%	96 9%	14 8%	17 13%	93 11%	90 11%	166 12%	103 9%	48 11%	395 11%	-	456 17%	-	
(5)		900 21%	376 20%	329 21%	166 23%	26 18%	475 22%	148 20%	207 20%	35 20%	21 17%	174 20%	168 20%	308 21%	242 22%	87 21%	782 21%	-	900 34%	-	
(6)		620 14%	267 14%	235 15%	99 13%	17 12%	324 15%	111 15%	131 13%	25 14%	15 12%	138 16%	124 15%	201 14%	154 14%	68 16%	529 14%	-	620 23%	-	
(7)		670 16%	294 16%	215 14%	130 18%	30 C	316 15%	122 17%	166 16%	31 17%	27 21%	146 17%	137 16%	216 15%	169 15%	68 16%	568 15%	-	670 25%	-	
(8)		491 11%	209 11%	156 10%	89 12%	30 21%	224 BCD	83 11%	128 11%	17 9%	27 21%	99 12%	92 11%	157 11%	143 13%	48 11%	430 12%	491 65%	-	-	
(9)		168 4%	69 4%	61 4%	32 4%	4 3%	62 3%	31 4%	57 6%	8 4%	5 4%	29 3%	36 4%	51 4%	51 5%	17 4%	144 4%	168 22%	-	-	
(10) Completely understand		95 2%	45 2%	32 2%	14 2%	4 3%	28 1%	19 3%	36 3%	7 4%	4 3%	20 2%	28 3%	22 2%	25 2%	10 2%	79 2%	95 13%	-	-	
NO RESPONSE		7 *%	2 *%	3 *%	2 *%	-	5 *%	1 *%	1 *%	-	-	-	-	3 *%	4 *%	-	7 *%	-	-	-	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 2  
(Continued)

Q2. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=完全理解 and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding of the EES?

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES			
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not Understand		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-		Type	/State							(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)		
MEAN		Tested	Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	medi-		(6,7-	Office											
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch		ate		12K12)												
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
MEAN		5.46	5.42	5.37	5.59	6.06	5.32	5.55	5.61	5.43	6.16	5.55	5.49	5.34	5.54	5.53	5.46	8.47	5.57	2.51	
BASE		4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 3

Q2. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=完全理解 and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding of the EES?

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probat	Ten	Under	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6,7-	(6,7-	(6,7-	(6,7-	ionary	-ured	stand	(4-7)	Under		
		Tested	Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	med-	ate	(6,7-	Office	(12K12)										
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch																
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) to (3)		873	403	321	131	14	447	140	210	42	11	158	178	312	218	78	741	-	-	873	
		20%	22%	21%	18%	10%	21%	19%	20%	23%	9%	18%	21%	22%	20%	18%	20%			100%	
		DE	E	E	E		J	J	J	J											
(4) to (7)		2646	1120	961	466	92	1367	449	600	105	80	551	519	891	668	271	2274	-	2646	-	
		62%	61%	63%	63%	64%	64%	62%	58%	59%	63%	64%	61%	62%	60%	64%	62%			100%	
		H																			
(8) to (10)		754	323	249	135	38	314	133	221	32	36	148	156	230	219	75	653	754	-	-	
		18%	17%	16%	18%	26%	15%	18%	21%	18%	28%	17%	18%	16%	20%	18%	18%	100%			
		BCD				F		F			FGI			M							
NO RESPONSE		7	2	3	2	-	5	1	1	-	-	-	-	3	4	-	7	-	-	-	
		*%	*%	*%	*%		*%	*%	*%					*%	*%						
MEAN		5.46	5.42	5.37	5.59	C	6.06	5.32	5.55	5.61	5.43	6.16	5.55	5.49	5.34	5.54	5.53	5.46	8.47	5.57	2.51
BASE		4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 4

## Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: EES Manual

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION										TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					UNDERSTANDING OF EES																													
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not																															
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	High	Other	/State							(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)																															
		Gr&Sub	Tested	Non-Tested	Teachr	Teachr	SchLvl	NonSch		(6, 7-12K12)										(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)												
(1) Not at all effective	366	185	143	30	7	167	71	93	18	6	66	84	134	79	36	311	28	132	206	9%	10%	9%	4%	5%	24%	QR	DE	DE	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	N	N	N	8%	8%	4%	5%	5%	24%	QR				
(2)	448	210	161	67	9	224	82	99	25	6	88	83	160	110	39	381	33	189	226	10%	11%	10%	9%	8%	26%	QR	E	E	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	11%	10%	10%	9%	10%	4%	7%	4%	7%	QR				
(3)	517	220	198	84	14	261	73	144	20	13	96	118	172	128	46	447	34	274	207	12%	12%	13%	11%	12%	24%	QR	12%	12%	12%	11%	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%	11%	12%	5%	10%	5%	10%	5%	24%	QR		
(4)	431	180	154	81	14	212	79	104	13	13	79	76	160	114	36	380	37	319	73	10%	10%	10%	9%	10%	8%	QS	10%	10%	10%	9%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	8%	10%	5%	12%	5%	12%	8%	QS			
(5)	769	322	283	134	26	417	127	161	22	22	144	126	263	229	75	671	106	611	52	18%	17%	18%	18%	18%	23%	QS	18%	17%	18%	18%	18%	18%	18%	18%	18%	18%	18%	18%	18%	18%	14%	14%	14%	23%	6%	6%	QS			
(6)	438	170	145	97	21	222	78	101	15	17	74	79	153	132	43	384	60	365	12	10%	9%	13%	10%	10%	14%	QS	10%	9%	15%	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%	8%	10%	8%	14%	12%	14%	1%	QS		
(7)	459	182	151	100	25	226	74	113	17	21	98	93	145	122	45	393	120	331	8	11%	10%	10%	11%	11%	16%	RS	11%	10%	10%	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%	16%	13%	11%	1%	1%	1%	8%	RS
(8)	305	124	93	71	14	149	55	78	7	14	71	57	94	83	38	259	154	149	2	7%	7%	6%	10%	10%	6%	RS	7%	7%	7%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	7%	8%	7%	20%	6%	6%	*%	RS			
(9)	116	38	38	33	6	50	17	31	7	7	24	30	25	37	14	98	80	33	2	3%	2%	4%	4%	4%	3%	RS	2%	2%	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	11%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	RS
(10) Very effective	55	25	16	9	4	21	9	15	4	5	17	11	17	10	6	46	40	15	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	R	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	R	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 4  
(Continued)

Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: EES Manual

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION								TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	High	Type	/State							(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)		
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	Gr&Sub	SchLvl	NonSch		(6,7-12K12)											
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
Did not utilize/Did not attend	336	173	139	20	4	164	55	84	24	3	95	87	96	57	44	269	55	201	80		
	8%	9%	9%	3%	3%	8%	8%	8%	13%	2%	11%	10%	7%	5%	10%	7%	7%	8%	9%		
	DE	DE	DE	J	J	J	FGHJ			MN	MN		P								
NO RESPONSE	40	19	13	8	-	20	3	9	7	-	5	9	17	8	2	36	7	27	5		
	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	*	1%	4%		1%	1%	1%	1%	*	1%	1%	1%	1%		
									FGH												
MEAN	4.68	4.51	4.53	5.17	5.43	4.67	4.62	4.68	4.45	5.59	4.82	4.62	4.54	4.82	4.85	4.68	6.40	4.88	2.53		
				BC	BC					FGHI	M		M				RS	S			
BASE	4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 5

## Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: EES Manual

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION										TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not					
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	High	Type	/State							(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)					
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch															
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)				
(1) to (3)	1331	615	502	181	30	652	226	336	63	25	250	285	466	317	121	1139	95	595	639					
	31%	33%	33%	25%	21%	31%	31%	33%	35%	20%	29%	33%	32%	29%	29%	31%	13%	22%	73%	Q	QR			
	DE	DE	DE	DE	J	J	J	J	J	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	QR				
(4) to (7)	2097	854	733	412	86	1077	358	479	67	73	395	374	721	597	199	1828	323	1626	145					
	49%	46%	48%	56%	60%	50%	50%	46%	37%	57%	46%	44%	50%	54%	47%	50%	43%	61%	17%	S	QS			
	BC	BC	BC	HI	I	I	I	I	HI	L	HI	KL	L	KL	L	KL	S	QS						
(8) to (10)	476	187	147	113	24	220	81	124	18	26	112	98	136	130	58	403	274	197	4					
	11%	10%	10%	15%	17%	10%	11%	12%	10%	20%	13%	11%	9%	12%	14%	11%	36%	7%	*%	RS	S			
	BC	BC	BC	FGHI	M	M	FGHI	M	FGHI	M	MN	MN	MN	MN	P	MN	P	7%	8%	9%				
Did not utilize/Did not attend	336	173	139	20	4	164	55	84	24	3	95	87	96	57	44	269	55	201	80					
	8%	9%	9%	3%	3%	8%	8%	8%	13%	2%	11%	10%	7%	5%	10%	7%	7%	8%	9%					
	DE	DE	DE	J	J	J	J	J	FGHJ	MN	MN	MN	MN	MN	P	MN	P							
NO RESPONSE	40	19	13	8	-	20	3	9	7	-	5	9	17	8	2	36	7	27	5					
	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	*	1%	4%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
MEAN	4.68	4.51	4.53	5.17	BC	5.43	4.67	4.62	4.68	4.45	5.59	4.82	4.62	4.54	4.82	M	4.85	4.68	6.40	4.88	2.53	RS	S	
BASE	4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873					

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 6

Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: EES Website

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION						TYPE OF SCHOOL						YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES																			
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not																					
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	High	Other	/State							(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)																					
		Gr&Sub	Tested	Non-Teachr	Tested	Teachr	SchLvl	NonSch	Type (6, 7-12K12)	/State Office										(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)		
(1) Not at all effective	423	214	164	39	6	203	77	113	18	5	73	89	164	93	35	365	43	163	216	10%	12%	11%	5%	4%	10%	J	J	J	4%	9%	10%	11%	8%	8%	10%	6%	6%	25% QR		
(2)	489	209	197	70	12	240	73	130	24	9	80	112	160	132	41	420	39	221	229	11%	11%	13%	D	8%	10%	J	13%	13%	13%	K	11%	12%	10%	11%	5%	8% Q	26% QR			
(3)	456	184	172	83	13	235	75	111	19	11	88	91	164	112	39	399	40	267	148	11%	10%	11%	11%	9%	10%	11%	11%	11%	10%	9%	9%	11%	5%	10%	17% Q	17% QR				
(4)	355	152	124	65	12	171	63	86	13	11	68	60	127	97	37	306	31	270	53	8%	8%	8%	9%	8%	8%	9%	8%	8%	9%	9%	9%	8%	8%	4%	10%	6% QS				
(5)	563	231	191	121	17	292	104	119	18	14	112	86	204	156	57	489	88	447	28	13%	13%	12%	12%	12%	12%	13%	13%	13%	12%	12%	12%	13%	13%	12%	12%	17% S	3% QS			
(6)	340	111	119	85	22	173	55	81	9	17	56	63	118	102	28	300	60	269	10	8%	6%	8%	B	12%	15%	BC	8%	8%	8%	I	7%	7%	8%	7%	8%	8%	8%	7%	10%	1%
(7)	297	117	83	77	19	138	49	73	16	18	66	53	97	81	26	255	78	215	4	7%	6%	5%	BC	10%	13%	BC	6%	6%	6%	7%	6%	7%	7%	10%	8%	*% S	S			
(8)	195	82	48	49	15	91	30	50	6	15	32	38	74	51	23	168	101	92	2	5%	4%	3%	C	7%	10%	BC	4%	4%	4%	4%	5%	5%	5%	5%	13%	3% RS	*% S			
(9)	80	21	19	32	7	34	15	22	2	6	15	19	15	31	8	69	56	21	2	2%	1%	1%	BC	4%	2%	BC	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	7%	1%	*% RS	S			
(10) Very effective	33	16	8	6	2	10	9	11	-	3	8	8	10	7	24	28	5	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%	*% R						

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 6  
(Continued)

Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: EES Website

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION						TYPE OF SCHOOL						YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not				
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	High	Type	/State							(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)				
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	Gr&Sub	SchLvl	NonSch		(6,7-12K12)													
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)			
Did not utilize/Did not attend	960	460	384	95	19	511	161	205	46	18	246	217	270	222	111	806	165	629	165				
	22%	25%	25%	13%	13%	24%	22%	20%	26%	14%	29%	25%	19%	20%	26%	22%	22%	24%	24%				
		DE	DE			HJ	J			J	MN	MN								S			
NO RESPONSE	89	51	25	12	-	35	12	31	8	-	13	17	33	25	12	74	25	47	16				
	2%	3%	2%	2%		2%	2%	3%	4%		2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	R			
MEAN	4.25	4.07	3.96	4.92	5.36	4.20	4.29	4.21	3.98	5.52	4.34	4.14	4.16	4.40	4.46	4.24	5.86	4.47	2.30				
		BC	BCD						FGHI			LM					RS	S					
BASE	4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873				

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 7

Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: EES Website

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION										TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not	(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	High	Type	/State										(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)		
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)				
(1) to (3)	1368	607	533	192	31	678	225	354	61	25	241	292	488	337	115	1184	122	651	593					
		32%	35%	26%	22%	32%	31%	34%	34%	20%	28%	34%	34%	30%	27%	32%	16%	25%	68%	Q	QR			
		DE	DE	DE	J	J	J	J	J	K	K	K	K	O	O	O	O	O	O	Q	QR			
(4) to (7)	1555	611	517	348	70	774	271	359	56	60	302	262	546	436	148	1350	257	1201	95					
		36%	33%	34%	47%	49%	BC	BC	36%	37%	35%	31%	47%	35%	L	L	35%	37%	34%	S	QS			
		BC	BC	BC	J	J	F	G	H	I	F	G	H	L	L	S	S	S	S	QS				
(8) to (10)	308	119	75	87	24	135	54	83	8	24	55	65	99	89	38	261	185	118	4					
		7%	6%	5%	12%	17%	BC	BC	6%	7%	8%	4%	19%	6%	8%	7%	9%	7%	25%	4%	*%	S		
		DE	DE	DE	H	J	F	G	I	J	M	N	MN	MN	R	R	R	R	R	S	S			
Did not utilize/Did not attend	960	460	384	95	19	511	161	205	46	18	246	217	270	222	111	806	165	629	165					
		22%	25%	25%	13%	13%	24%	22%	20%	26%	14%	29%	25%	19%	20%	26%	22%	22%	24%	24%	S	19%		
NO RESPONSE	89	51	25	12	-	35	12	31	8	-	13	17	33	25	12	74	25	47	47	2%	2%	2%		
		2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	4%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	R	2%			
MEAN	4.25	4.07	3.96	4.92	5.36	4.20	4.29	4.21	3.98	5.52	4.34	4.14	4.16	4.40	4.46	4.24	5.86	4.47	2.30					
BASE	4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873					

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 8

**Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: Complex Area Support Team (CAST, e.g., EES EO's, CCSS, FI/DT)**

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand (8-10)	Neutral (4-7)	Do Not Understand (1-3)		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type /State	(6,7-12K12)	Office										
(1) Not at all effective	495 12%	253 14%	192 13%	46 6%	4 3%	195 J	99 FJ	156 FJ	28 FJ	5 4%	75 9%	117 14%	175 12%	122 11%	20 5%	436 12%	48 6%	202 8%	245 28% QR		
(2)	529 12%	251 14%	199 13%	70 10%	8 6%	274 J	92 J	126 J	21 J	7 6%	82 10%	110 13%	190 13%	144 13%	40 9%	468 13%	34 5%	257 10% Q	238 27% QR		
(3)	534 12%	231 13%	208 14%	84 11%	9 6%	273 J	100 J	115 J	23 J	8 6%	104 12%	89 10%	194 14%	143 13%	49 12%	465 13%	47 6%	311 12% Q	175 20% QR		
(4)	388 9%	163 9%	145 9%	71 10%	6 4%	222 HIJ	65 J	82 J	10 6%	3 2%	78 9%	74 9%	142 10%	91 8%	44 10%	334 10%	34 9%	294 11% QS	59 7% Q		
(5)	595 14%	255 14%	217 14%	95 13%	24 17%	314 15%	90 12%	132 13%	24 13%	22 17%	135 16%	100 12%	207 14%	149 13%	76 18%	497 14%	81 11%	468 18% S	45 5% QS		
(6)	395 9%	174 9%	136 9%	73 10%	7 5%	197 9%	76 J	90 J	19 11%	4 3%	85 10%	77 9%	126 9%	106 10%	44 10%	336 9%	64 8%	306 12% S	24 3% QS		
(7)	417 10%	145 8%	162 11%	92 13%	16 11%	225 I	63 I	96 I	8 4%	15 12%	92 11%	94 11%	114 8%	116 10%	40 9%	358 10%	77 10%	327 12% S	13 1% S		
(8)	322 8%	130 7%	91 6%	79 11%	21 15%	168 BC	42 BC	79 G	12 6%	18 8%	73 7%	57 9%	103 7%	89 8%	39 9%	276 8%	145 19%	168 6% RS	8 1% S		
(9)	155 4%	46 2%	38 2%	56 8%	14 10%	76 BC	23 BC	35 3%	6 3%	12 9%	23 3%	28 4%	64 4%	39 4%	14 3%	137 4%	88 12%	64 2% RS	2 *% S		
(10) Very effective	112 3%	42 2%	21 1%	32 4%	17 12%	42 BC	16 BCD	32 2%	5 3%	16 13%	27 3%	30 4%	28 2%	27 2%	17 4%	91 2%	73 10%	37 1% RS	2 *% S		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 8  
(Continued)

Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: Complex Area Support Team (CAST, e.g., EES EO's, CCSS, FI/DT)

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6,7-	(6,7-	(6,7-	(6,7-	(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)	(1-3)	(1-3)		
		Tested	Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	med-	ate	(6,7-	Office	12K12)										
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
Did not utilize/Did not attend	263	120	100	26	17	118	48	62	17	16	70	58	77	57	32	213	46	163	54		
	6%	6%	7%	4%	12%	6%	7%	6%	9%	13%	8%	7%	5%	5%	8%	6%	6%	6%	6%		
		D	D	D	D				FH		MN										
NO RESPONSE	75	38	25	10	1	29	9	27	6	1	13	19	16	26	9	64	17	49	8		
	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%		
						FGJ								M			S	S			
MEAN	4.58	4.32	4.34	5.35	6.42	4.65	4.34	4.48	4.29	6.42	4.85	4.55	4.45	4.61	5.10	4.55	6.37	4.76	2.52		
				BC	BCD	G				FGHI	LMN			P			RS	S			
BASE	4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 9

**Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: Complex Area  
Support Team (CAST, e.g., EES EO's, CCSS, FI/DT)**

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not Understand		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6,7-	(6,7-	(6,7-	(6,7-	(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)	(8-10)	(4-7)		
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	Gr&Sub	SchLvl	NonSch		(12K12)											
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) to (3)	1558	735	599	200	21	742	291	397	72	20	261	316	559	409	109	1369	129	770	658		
	36%	40%	39%	27%	15%	35%	40%	38%	40%	16%	30%	37%	39%	37%	26%	37%	17%	29%	75%		
		DE	DE	E		J	FJ	FJ	J		K	K	K	K	O	Q	QR				
(4) to (7)	1795	737	660	331	53	958	294	400	61	44	390	345	589	462	204	1525	256	1395	141		
	42%	40%	43%	45%	37%	GHIJ	45%	41%	39%	34%	35%	46%	40%	41%	42%	48%	41%	34%	53%	16%	
			B								LM				P		S	QS			
(8) to (10)	589	218	150	167	52	286	81	146	23	46	123	115	195	155	70	504	306	269	12		
	14%	12%	10%	23%	36%	13%	11%	14%	13%	36%	14%	13%	14%	14%	17%	14%	41%	10%	1%		
		BC	BC	BCD						FGHI					RS		S				
Did not utilize/Did not attend	263	120	100	26	17	118	48	62	17	16	70	58	77	57	32	213	46	163	54		
	6%	6%	7%	4%	12%	6%	7%	6%	9%	13%	8%	7%	5%	5%	8%	6%	6%	6%	6%		
		D	D	D						FH	MN										
NO RESPONSE	75	38	25	10	1	29	9	27	6	1	13	19	16	26	9	64	17	49	8		
	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%		
						FGJ								M		S	S				
MEAN	4.58	4.32	4.34	5.35	6.42	4.65	4.34	4.48	4.29	6.42	4.85	4.55	4.45	4.61	5.10	4.55	6.37	4.76	2.52		
BASE	4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 10

**Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: Online Training Modules**

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not Understand		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6, 7-	(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)	(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)	(8-10)	(4-7)		
		Tested	Tested	Non-Sch	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch														
		Gr&Sub	Tested	NonSch				12K12)													
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) Not at all effective	560	274	214	56	14	259	103	147	24	13	107	122	198	126	42	477	48	241	271		
	13%	15%	14%	8%	10%	12%	14%	14%	13%	10%	12%	14%	14%	11%	10%	13%	6%	9%	31%		
		DE	D	D											O	Q	Q	QR			
(2)	459	210	175	63	11	233	72	115	25	8	79	94	156	128	41	403	45	237	177		
	11%	11%	11%	9%	8%	11%	10%	11%	14%	6%	9%	11%	11%	12%	10%	11%	6%	9%	20%		
		D	D	J				J	J						Q	Q	Q	QR			
(3)	396	164	140	82	7	199	71	94	19	7	72	79	141	103	36	348	50	252	94		
	9%	9%	9%	E	E	5%	9%	10%	9%	11%	6%	8%	9%	10%	9%	8%	9%	7%	10%		
		E	E												Q	Q	Q	Q			
(4)	250	106	82	53	8	131	39	59	7	8	64	34	94	55	30	208	21	196	31		
	6%	6%	5%	7%	6%	6%	5%	6%	4%	6%	7%	4%	7%	5%	7%	6%	3%	7%	4%		
										LN		L			QS						
(5)	377	159	124	75	18	196	70	73	13	16	80	64	129	101	44	322	77	281	19		
	9%	9%	8%	10%	13%	9%	10%	7%	7%	13%	9%	8%	9%	9%	10%	9%	10%	11%	2%		
		H													S	S	S	S			
(6)	245	109	77	49	8	122	45	59	8	7	41	46	96	61	25	216	56	181	6		
	6%	6%	5%	7%	6%	6%	6%	6%	4%	6%	5%	5%	7%	6%	6%	7%	7%	S	1%		
															S						
(7)	196	90	53	46	6	108	29	45	3	6	55	39	49	53	28	158	70	125	1		
	5%	5%	3%	6%	4%	5%	4%	4%	2%	5%	6%	5%	3%	5%	7%	4%	9%	5%	*%		
		C	C	I				I			M				RS		S				
(8)	100	46	26	22	6	51	11	28	3	6	21	20	35	24	17	80	53	46	-		
	2%	2%	2%	3%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%	5%	2%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	7%	2%	R		
(9)	47	18	13	13	2	25	6	12	3	1	10	8	10	19	9	38	32	13	2		
	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	4%	1%	*%	*%		
															RS						
(10) Very effective	17	5	8	3	-	6	3	6	2	-	5	5	3	4	4	12	14	2	1		
	*	*	1%	*	*	*	*	*	1%	1%	1%	1%	*	*	1%	*	2%	*	*		
															RS						

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 10  
(Continued)

Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: Online Training Modules

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6,7-	(6,7-	(6,7-	(6,7-	(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)	(1-3)	(1-3)		
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	Gr&Sub	SchLvl	NonSch			12K12)										
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
Did not utilize/Did not attend	1564	635	596	264	62	776	262	375	66	54	313	324	498	422	143	1354	273	1032	258		
		37%	34%	39%	36%	43%	36%	36%	37%	43%	37%	38%	35%	38%	34%	37%	36%	39%	30%		
NO RESPONSE	69	32	26	8	2	27	12	19	6	1	10	18	27	13	5	59	15	40	13		
		2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%		
MEAN	3.70	3.61	3.48	4.23	4.19	3.76	3.59	3.64	3.40	4.21	3.89	3.59	3.59	3.79	4.26	3.66	5.23	3.89	1.99		
BASE	4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 11

**Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: Online Training Modules**

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not Understand		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6,7-	(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)	(8-10)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)		
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) to (3)	1415	648	529	201	32	691	246	356	68	28	258	295	495	357	119	1228	143	730	542		
	33%	35%	34%	27%	22%	32%	34%	34%	38%	22%	30%	35%	34%	32%	28%	33%	19%	28%	62%		
		DE	DE	DE	J	J	J	J	J	K	K	K	K	O	Q	QR					
(4) to (7)	1068	464	336	223	40	557	183	236	31	37	240	183	368	270	127	904	224	783	57		
	25%	25%	22%	30%	28%	26%	25%	23%	17%	29%	28%	21%	26%	24%	30%	25%	30%	30%	7%		
	C			BC		HI	I			I	L		L	P	S	S					
(8) to (10)	164	69	47	38	8	82	20	46	8	7	36	33	48	47	30	130	99	61	3		
	4%	4%	3%	5%	6%	4%	3%	4%	4%	6%	4%	4%	3%	4%	7%	4%	13%	2%	*%		
	C												P	RS	S	S					
Did not utilize/Did not attend	1564	635	596	264	62	776	262	375	66	54	313	324	498	422	143	1354	273	1032	258		
	37%	34%	39%	36%	43%	36%	36%	36%	37%	43%	37%	38%	35%	38%	34%	37%	36%	39%	30%		
	B			B											S	S					
NO RESPONSE	69	32	26	8	2	27	12	19	6	1	10	18	27	13	5	59	15	40	13		
	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%		
MEAN	3.70	3.61	3.48	4.23	4.19	3.76	3.59	3.64	3.40	4.21	3.89	3.59	3.59	3.79	4.26	3.66	5.23	3.89	1.99		
BASE	4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 12

## Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: EES Help Desk

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION										TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					UNDERSTANDING OF EES																				
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not																						
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	High	Other	/State							(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)																						
		Gr&Sub	Tested	Non-Teachr	Tested	Teachr	SchLvl	NonSch		(6, 7-12K12)										(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)			
(1) Not at all effective	492	245	179	58	8	222	97	131	21	8	85	111	176	113	44	414	67	201	224																						
	11%	13%	12%	8%	6%	10%	13%	13%	6%	10%	13%	12%	10%	10%	10%	10%	11%	9%	8%	26% QR	DE	DE	FJ	J	J	J	J	J	J	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	
(2)	280	127	100	48	4	132	47	82	15	3	48	58	93	80	27	245	36	138	106	12% QR	7%	7%	E	E	E	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	
(3)	198	91	71	29	5	87	38	56	8	6	35	35	68	58	18	169	20	126	52	5% Q	5%	5%	5%	4%	3%	5%	4%	4%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%			
(4)	140	66	49	23	2	76	24	29	8	2	24	23	62	31	13	123	16	101	22		3%	4%	3%	1%	4%	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%			
(5)	203	88	77	29	7	110	38	39	8	5	49	32	66	55	28	172	39	154	10		5%	5%	5%	4%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%			
(6)	100	45	30	23	1	50	25	21	3	-	21	18	38	23	12	87	22	69	8		2%	2%	3%	E	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	
(7)	80	40	20	17	3	38	12	22	2	2	22	17	18	23	9	66	24	54	2		2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%				
(8)	58	23	16	15	4	24	7	19	4	4	11	9	22	16	8	49	34	24	-		1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%				
(9)	29	10	6	10	3	11	4	8	2	3	7	5	5	12	7	21	15	11	2		1%	1%	2%	C	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%
(10) Very effective	21	6	6	6	3	8	2	7	1	3	4	2	7	8	2	18	7	13	1		*%	*%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%			

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 12  
(Continued)

Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: EES Help Desk

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION								TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	High	Other	/State							(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)		
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	Gr&Sub	SchLvl	NonSch		(6,7-12K12)											
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
Did not utilize/Did not attend	2585	1060	950	464	100	1334	413	596	100	88	540	524	849	660	250	2230	457	1695	431		
	60%	57%	62%	63%	69%	63%	57%	58%	56%	69%	63%	61%	59%	60%	59%	61%	61%	64%	49%		
NO RESPONSE	94	47	30	12	4	41	16	22	7	3	11	19	32	30	6	81	17	60	15		
	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%		
MEAN	3.32	3.18	3.13	3.90	4.75	3.35	3.19	3.26	3.32	4.67	3.59	3.06	3.22	3.49	3.73	3.30	4.41	3.64	1.92		
BASE	4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 13

## Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: EES Help Desk

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION								TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	High	Type	/State							(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)		
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	Gr&Sub	SchLvl	NonSch		(6,7-12K12)											
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) to (3)		970	463	350	135	17	441	182	269	44	17	168	204	337	251	89	828	123	465	382	
		23%	25%	23%	18%	12%	21%	25%	26%	25%	13%	20%	24%	23%	23%	21%	23%	16%	18%	44%	QR
		DE	DE	DE	E	J	FJ	FJ	FJ	J	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	
(4) to (7)		523	239	176	92	13	274	99	111	21	9	116	90	184	132	62	448	101	378	42	
		12%	13%	11%	13%	9%	13%	14%	11%	12%	7%	14%	11%	13%	12%	15%	12%	13%	14%	5%	S
		J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	
(8) to (10)		108	39	28	31	10	43	13	34	7	10	22	16	34	36	17	88	56	48	3	
		3%	2%	2%	4%	7%	2%	2%	3%	4%	8%	3%	2%	2%	3%	4%	2%	7%	2%	*%	RS
		BC	BC	BC	BC	BC	FG	FG	FG	FG	FG	FG	FG	FG	FG	FG	FG	FG	FG	FG	
Did not utilize/Did not attend		2585	1060	950	464	100	1334	413	596	100	88	540	524	849	660	250	2230	457	1695	431	
		60%	57%	62%	63%	69%	63%	57%	58%	56%	69%	63%	61%	59%	60%	59%	61%	61%	64%	49%	S
		B	B	B	B	B	GH	GH	GH	GH	GH	GH	GH	GH	GH	GH	GH	GH	GH	GH	
NO RESPONSE		94	47	30	12	4	41	16	22	7	3	11	19	32	30	6	81	17	60	15	
		2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	
		K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	
MEAN		3.32	3.18	3.13	3.90	4.75	3.35	3.19	3.26	3.32	4.67	3.59	3.06	3.22	3.49	3.73	3.30	4.41	3.64	1.92	
BASE		4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 14

Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: Mentor Teacher

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION										TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class. Teachr Tested Gr&Sub	Class. Teachr Tested SchLvl	Non- Teachr NonSch	Non- Teachr NonSch	Elemen- tary	Middle	High	Other	Distr. /State Type (6, 7- 12K12)	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Ten- ured	Under- stand (8-10)	Neut- ral (4-7)	Do Not Under- stand (1-3)				
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)			
(1) Not at all effective	512 12%	258 14% DE	188 12% DE	56 8%	9 6%	247 12%	94 13% J	117 11% J	28 16% J	9 7%	93 11% J	105 12%	187 13%	123 11%	35 8%	446 12% O	57 8%	224 8%	231 26% QR				
(2)	214 5%	102 6% E	82 5% E	28 4% E	2 1%	103 5% J	38 5% J	66 6% IJ	5 3%	1 1%	36 4%	46 5%	64 4%	68 6%	22 5%	183 5%	13 2%	104 4% Q	97 11% QR				
(3)	168 4%	77 4% E	65 4% E	21 3% E	3 2%	71 3% E	34 5% E	49 5% E	7 4%	3 2%	31 4%	30 4%	66 5%	39 4%	18 4%	141 4%	10 1%	100 4% Q	58 7% QR				
(4)	141 3%	73 4% D	51 3% D	13 2% D	4 3% D	68 3% D	22 3% D	40 4% D	7 4%	2 2%	41 5% LM	17 2%	44 3%	35 3%	21 5%	116 3%	13 2%	95 4% Q	33 4% Q				
(5)	238 6%	107 6% E	89 6% E	39 5% E	2 1%	129 6% J	33 5% J	54 5% J	16 9%	2 2%	64 7% LM	40 5%	73 5%	60 5%	46 11% P	182 5%	28 4%	174 7% QS	36 4%				
(6)	169 4%	77 4% E	63 4% E	21 3% E	6 4% E	90 4% E	27 4% E	41 4% E	7 4%	4 3%	55 6% LMN	29 3%	51 4%	34 3%	33 8% P	131 4%	31 4% S	129 5% S	9 1%				
(7)	185 4%	89 5% E	66 4% E	27 4% E	2 1%	79 4% E	31 4% E	56 5% FJ	12 7%	2 2%	55 6% MN	41 5%	48 3%	39 4%	35 8% P	136 4%	34 5% S	133 5% S	16 2%				
(8)	195 5%	110 6% CDE	56 4% CDE	24 3% CDE	3 2% CDE	84 4% CDE	40 6% CDE	56 5% CDE	10 6%	3 2%	60 7% LMN	37 4%	54 4%	44 4%	45 11% P	144 4%	66 9% RS	122 5% S	6 1%				
(9)	86 2%	43 2% E	27 2% E	13 2% E	1 1%	42 2% E	16 2% E	24 2% E	3 2%	1 1%	32 4% LMN	13 2%	18 1%	23 2%	26 6% P	56 2%	41 5% R	45 2%	-				
(10) Very effective	112 3%	58 3% D	42 3% D	8 1% D	4 3% D	44 2% F	16 2% F	39 4% F	6 3%	4 3%	48 6% LMN	21 2%	20 1%	23 2%	38 9% P	69 2%	42 6% RS	57 2%	13 1%				

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 14  
(Continued)

Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: Mentor Teacher

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION								TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE				STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not			
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	High	Type	/State							(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)			
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	Gr&Sub	SchLvl	NonSch		(6,7-12K12)												
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)		
Did not utilize/Did not attend	2139	797	766	467	102	1109	356	468	69	92	329	448	762	590	99	1964	397	1384	355			
	50%	43%	50%	64%	71%	52%	49%	45%	39%	72%	38%	53%	53%	53%	23%	53%	53%	52%	41%			
NO RESPONSE	121	57	39	17	6	67	16	22	9	4	13	26	49	31	6	107	22	79	19			
	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	2%	2%	5%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	1%	3%	3%	3%	2%			
MEAN	4.44	4.48	4.32	4.50	4.75	4.35	4.35	4.65	4.55	4.81	5.25	4.32	3.98	4.27	5.84	4.17	6.11	4.77	2.52			
LMN															P		RS					
BASE	4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873			

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::





Table 16  
(Continued)

Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: School-led Training

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION								TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	High	Other	/State							(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)		
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	Gr&Sub	SchLvl	NonSch		(6,7-12K12)											
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
Did not utilize/Did not attend	205	48	34	45	78	73	20	23	11	74	30	37	75	62	17	178	51	125	29		
	5%	3%	2%	6%	54%	3%	3%	2%	6%	58%	4%	4%	5%	6%	4%	5%	7%	5%	3%		
NO RESPONSE	68	26	23	14	4	33	10	15	5	4	11	8	25	23	7	58	14	40	12		
	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	3%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%		
MEAN	5.12	5.04	5.05	5.50	4.55	5.18	4.95	5.23	4.57	4.39	5.38	5.32	4.88	5.08	5.71	5.07	7.11	5.34	2.79		
BASE	4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::



Table 18

Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: Other

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION										TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					UNDERSTANDING OF EES			
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not	(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	High	Type	/State										(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)		
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)				
(1) Not at all effective	132 3%	67 4% DE	47 3%	14 2%	2 1%	51 2%	25 3%	41 4% F	11 6% F	3 2%	25 3%	39 5% MN	35 2%	31 3%	19 4%	107 3%	12 2%	59 2%	61 7% QR					
(2)	50 1%	24 1%	20 1%	5 1%	1 1%	22 1%	9 1%	13 1%	3 2%	1 1%	8 1%	10 1%	14 1%	17 2%	4 1%	40 1%	6 1%	23 1%	21 2% QR					
(3)	40 1%	15 1%	22 1%	2 *%	1 1%	22 1%	6 1%	9 1%	2 1%	1 1%	5 1%	7 1%	15 1%	13 1%	1 *%	38 1% O	-	23 1%	17 2% R					
(4)	31 1%	15 1%	12 1%	4 1%	-	10 *%	6 1%	13 1%	2 1%	-	6 1%	5 1%	16 1%	4 *%	3 1%	25 1%	1 *%	24 1%	6 1% Q					
(5)	62 1%	25 1%	25 2%	11 1%	1 1%	33 2%	12 2%	12 1%	3 2%	1 1%	18 2%	8 1%	22 2%	14 1%	11 3%	51 1%	3 *%	54 2% QS	5 1%					
(6)	40 1%	17 1%	11 1%	9 1%	3 2%	22 1%	6 1%	7 1%	-	3 2%	8 1%	6 1%	15 1%	11 1%	4 1%	33 1%	7 1%	26 1%	7 1%					
(7)	46 1%	14 1%	13 1%	11 1%	8 6%	20 1%	7 1%	9 1%	2 1%	7 6%	8 1%	9 1%	14 1%	15 1%	6 1%	39 1%	7 1%	37 1%	2 *%	S				
(8)	61 1%	27 1%	21 1%	11 1%	2 1%	32 2%	12 2%	13 1%	2 1%	2 2%	11 1%	6 1%	24 2%	20 2%	3 1%	55 1%	25 3%	32 1% RS	4 *%	S				
(9)	45 1%	18 1%	16 1%	4 1%	6 4%	16 1%	3 *%	16 2%	3 2%	5 4%	4 *%	14 2%	6 *%	21 2%	2 1%	42 *%	23 3%	20 1% RS	2 *%	S				
(10) Very effective	49 1%	20 1%	13 1%	13 2%	3 2%	22 1%	11 2%	10 1%	2 1%	3 2%	4 *%	13 2%	15 1%	17 2%	2 1%	46 *%	21 1%	24 3%	4 *%	O RS				
Did not utilize/Did not attend	733 17%	329 18%	243 16%	124 17%	35 24%	344 16%	126 17%	187 18%	33 18%	32 25%	187 22%	167 20%	222 15%	155 14%	101 24%	602 16%	142 19%	487 18%	104 12%	S				

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 18  
(Continued)

Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: Other

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION						TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probat	Ten	Under	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	Medi-	Type	/State					ionary	-ured	stand	-ral	Under		
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	Gr&Sub	SchLvl	NonSch		(6,7- 12K12)											
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
NO RESPONSE	2991	1277	1091	526	82	1539	500	702	116	69	573	569	1038	791	268	2597	507	1837	640		
		70%	69%	71%	72%	57%	72%	69%	68%	65%	54%	67%	67%	72%	71%	63%	71%	67%	69%	73%	
		E	E	E	H I J	J	J							K L	K L	O		QR			
MEAN	4.91	4.64	4.63	5.79	6.89	5.03	4.77	4.61	4.10	6.58	4.52	4.64	4.92	5.40	4.09	5.05	7.15	5.07	2.71		
		BC	BC							FGHI				K		O	RS	S			
BASE	4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 19

## Q3. How effective were the following in bettering your understanding of the EES: Other

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION								TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	High	Other	/State							(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)		
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch	(6, 7-12K12)											
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) to (3)		222	106	89	21	4	95	40	63	16	5	38	56	64	61	24	185	18	105	99	
		5%	6%	6%	3%	3%	4%	6%	6%	9%	4%	4%	7%	6%	6%	5%	2%	4%	11%	QR	
		DE	DE	DE						F			M					Q			
(4) to (7)		179	71	61	35	12	85	31	41	7	11	40	28	67	44	24	148	18	141	20	
		4%	4%	4%	5%	8%	4%	4%	4%	4%	9%	5%	3%	5%	4%	6%	4%	2%	5%	2%QS	
(8) to (10)		155	65	50	28	11	70	26	39	7	10	19	33	45	58	7	143	69	76	10	
		4%	4%	3%	4%	8%	3%	4%	4%	4%	8%	2%	4%	3%	2%	4%	4%	9%	3%	1%RS	
Did not utilize/Did not attend		733	329	243	124	35	344	126	187	33	32	187	167	222	155	101	602	142	487	104	
		17%	18%	16%	17%	24%	16%	17%	18%	18%	25%	22%	20%	15%	14%	24%	16%	19%	18%	12%SS	
NO RESPONSE		2991	1277	1091	526	82	1539	500	702	116	69	573	569	1038	791	268	2597	507	1837	640	
		70%	69%	71%	72%	57%	72%	69%	68%	J	65%	54%	67%	67%	72%	71%	63%	71%	69%	73%QR	
MEAN		4.91	4.64	4.63	5.79	6.89	5.03	4.77	4.61	4.10	6.58	4.52	4.64	4.92	5.40	4.09	5.05	7.15	5.07	2.71	
BASE		4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 20

Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=完全理解 and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Classroom Observations

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-		Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not Understand	
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6, 7-, 12K12)	Office					(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)		
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) Do not understand at all		100 3%	58 3%	42 3%	-	-	38 2%	16 3%	36 4%	7 5%	-	19 2%	23 3%	26 2%	30 4%	12 3%	74 3%	3 1%	23 1%	74 10% QR	
(2)		208 6%	108 6%	100 7%	-	-	107 6%	29 5%	53 6%	14 10%	-	31 4%	44 6%	78 7%	52 7%	15 4%	179 6%	3 1%	46 2%	159 22% QR	
(3)		268 8%	139 8%	129 8%	-	-	140 8%	38 6%	76 9%	8 6%	-	53 7%	51 7%	103 9%	58 8%	28 7%	227 8%	8 1%	95 5%	165 23% QR	
(4)		232 7%	121 7%	111 7%	-	-	125 7%	37 6%	59 7%	8 6%	-	55 7%	50 7%	66 6%	61 8%	22 6%	201 7%	4 1%	138 7%	89 12% QR	
(5)		495 15%	277 15%	218 14%	-	-	271 16%	80 13%	117 14%	21 15%	-	114 14%	93 13%	167 15%	117 15%	55 14%	416 15%	15 3%	385 19%	95 13% QS	
(6)		349 10%	187 10%	162 11%	-	-	164 10%	62 10%	96 11%	15 11%	1	67 8%	82 11%	128 12%	70 9%	33 8%	302 11%	23 4%	286 14%	39 5% QS	
(7)		503 15%	255 14%	248 16%	-	-	250 15%	103 17%	121 14%	21 15%	-	117 15%	101 14%	166 15%	115 15%	58 15%	426 15%	53 9%	404 19%	46 6% QS	
(8)		542 16%	308 17%	234 15%	-	-	285 17%	117 19%	118 14%	12 9%	-	135 17%	124 17%	162 15%	119 16%	76 19%	450 16%	147 26%	362 17% RS		
(9)		328 10%	185 10%	143 9%	-	-	170 10%	56 9%	82 10%	15 11%	-	99 13%	69 10%	91 8%	69 9%	49 12%	263 9%	140 24%	181 9% RS		
(10) Completely understand		337 10%	201 11%	136 9%	-	-	154 9%	64 11%	99 12%	16 12%	-	96 12%	76 11%	100 9%	65 9%	46 12%	273 10%	173 30%	151 7% RS		
		C					F					MN									

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 20  
(Continued)

Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=完全理解 and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Classroom Observations

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not Understand		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	Type	/State	(6,7-	Office					(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)			
NO RESPONSE		20 1%	9 *%	11 1%	- -	12 1%	5 1%	2 *%	1 1%	- *	3 1%	4 1%	8 1%	4 1%	4 1%	16 1%	3 1%	10 *%	6 1%		
MEAN		6.25	6.31	6.17	-	-	6.23	6.49 FHI	6.17	6.01	6.00	6.59 LMN	6.31	6.10	6.06	6.60 P	6.22	8.43 RS	6.50 S	3.78	
BASE		3382	1848	1534	-	-	1716	607	859	138	1	789	717	1095	760	398	2827	572	2081	724	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 21

Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=完全理解 and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Classroom Observations

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not Understand		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	Type	/State	(6,7-12K12)						(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)			
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) to (3)		576 17%	305 17%	271 18%	-	-	285 17%	83 14%	165 19%	29 21%	-	103 13%	118 16%	207 19%	140 18%	55 14%	480 17%	14 2%	164 8%	398 55% QR	
(4) to (7)		1579 47%	840 45%	739 48%	-	-	810 47%	282 46%	393 46%	65 47%	1 100% FGHI	353 45%	326 45%	527 48%	363 48%	168 42%	1345 48% O	95 17%	1213 58% QS	269 37% Q	
(8) to (10)		1207 36%	694 38%	513 33%	-	-	609 35%	237 39%	299 35%	43 31%	-	330 42% MN	269 38% M	353 32%	253 33%	171 43% P	986 35%	460 80% RS	694 33% S	51 7%	
NO RESPONSE		20 1%	9 *%	11 1%	-	-	12 1%	5 1%	2 *%	1 1%	-	3 *% *	4 1%	8 1%	4 1%	4 1%	16 1%	3 1%	10 *% 1%	6	
MEAN		6.25	6.31	6.17	-	-	6.23	6.49 FHI	6.17	6.01	6.00	6.59 LMN	6.31	6.10	6.06	6.60 P	6.22	8.43 RS	6.50 S	3.78	
BASE		3382	1848	1534	-	-	1716	607	859	138	1	789	717	1095	760	398	2827	572	2081	724	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 22

Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=completely understand and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Core Professionalism

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES			
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elmnt	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not Understand		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6,7-	Office			(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)				
(1) Do not understand at all	387 9%	178 10%	160 D	38 5%	9 6%	186 J	58 8%	106 J	24 13%	6 5%	76 9%	85 10%	127 9%	94 8%	31 7%	325 9%	15 2%	155 6%	217 25%		
(2)	472 11%	192 10%	201 BDE	65 9%	11 8%	253 H	79 12%	97 11%	17 9%	10 8%	83 10%	95 11%	170 12%	119 11%	37 9%	414 11%	20 3%	216 8%	236 27%		
(3)	490 11%	208 11%	169 11%	98 13%	15 10%	241 11%	86 12%	121 12%	19 11%	11 9%	89 10%	97 11%	170 12%	131 12%	50 12%	423 12%	25 3%	282 11%	182 21%		
(4)	373 9%	146 8%	140 9%	71 10%	14 10%	187 9%	61 8%	93 9%	11 6%	14 11%	78 9%	79 9%	120 8%	94 8%	30 7%	333 9%	23 3%	285 11%	64 7%		
(5)	630 15%	278 15%	230 15%	101 14%	19 13%	335 16%	102 14%	136 13%	27 15%	17 13%	128 15%	116 14%	221 15%	161 15%	71 17%	527 14%	46 6%	501 19%	82 9%		
(6)	423 10%	168 9%	139 9%	100 14%	16 BC	214 11%	70 10%	102 10%	16 9%	14 11%	74 9%	79 9%	158 11%	110 10%	40 9%	376 10%	40 5%	360 14%	23 3%		
(7)	519 12%	214 12%	176 11%	98 13%	26 18%	252 BC	98 12%	117 14%	21 11%	23 12%	109 18%	101 13%	149 12%	158 10%	54 14%	446 13%	111 12%	385 15%	22 3%		
(8)	478 11%	213 12%	156 10%	88 12%	19 13%	237 11%	81 12%	119 9%	17 13%	100 12%	94 11%	163 11%	120 11%	51 12%	410 11%	194 26%	264 10%	20 2%			
(9)	249 6%	117 6%	80 5%	43 6%	7 5%	132 6%	37 5%	63 6%	7 4%	7 6%	53 6%	54 6%	76 5%	65 6%	26 6%	211 6%	139 18%	102 4%	6 1%		
(10) Completely understand	220 5%	119 6%	70 5%	22 3%	7 5%	80 4%	45 6%	67 6%	15 8%	7 6%	60 7%	47 6%	66 5%	47 4%	29 7%	177 5%	136 18%	72 3%	12 1%		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 22  
(Continued)

Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=完全理解 and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Core Professionalism

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not Understand		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	Type	/State	(6,7-	Office				(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)				
NO RESPONSE		39	15	13	10	1	16	6	11	5	1	7	6	16	10	5	33	5	24	9	
		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
MEAN		5.16	5.24	4.94	5.31	5.52	5.09	5.25	5.23	5.09	5.67	5.35	5.14	5.07	5.17	5.44	5.14	7.52	5.22	2.92	
BASE		4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 23

Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=完全理解 and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Core Professionalism

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probat	Ten	Under	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6,7-	(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)	ionary	-ured	stand	-ral	Under		
		Tested	Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	medio-	ate	(6,7-	Office	(12K12)										
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch																
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) to (3)		1349	578	530	201	35	680	223	324	60	27	248	277	467	344	118	1162	60	653	635	
		32%	31%	35%	27%	24%	32%	31%	31%	34%	21%	29%	32%	33%	31%	28%	32%	8%	25%	73%	
		D	BDE				J	J	J	J								Q	QR		
(4) to (7)		1945	806	685	370	75	988	331	448	75	68	389	375	648	523	195	1682	220	1531	191	
		45%	44%	45%	50%	52%	BC	B	46%	46%	43%	42%	54%	45%	44%	45%	47%	46%	29%	58%	22%
											HI							S	QS		
(8) to (10)		947	449	306	153	33	449	163	249	39	31	213	195	305	232	106	798	469	438	38	
		22%	24%	20%	21%	23%	21%	23%	24%	22%	24%	25%	23%	21%	21%	25%	22%	62%	17%	4%	
		C										MN						RS	S		
NO RESPONSE		39	15	13	10	1	16	6	11	5	1	7	6	16	10	5	33	5	24	9	
		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
MEAN		5.16	5.24	4.94	5.31	5.52	C	5.09	5.25	5.23	5.09	5.67	F	5.35	5.14	5.07	5.17	5.44	5.14	7.52	5.22
BASE		4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 24

Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=完全理解 and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Tripod Student Survey

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-		Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not Understand	
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6, 7-12K12)	Office					(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)		
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) Do not understand at all	332 10%	186 10%	146 10%	-	-	169 10%	50 8%	85 10%	18 13%	1 100%	73 9%	74 10%	103 9%	75 10%	36 9%	269 10%	19 3%	141 7%	172 24% QR		
(2)	381 11%	200 11%	181 12%	-	-	217 13%	57 9%	85 10%	15 11%	-	72 9%	74 10%	140 13%	94 12%	38 10%	319 11%	18 3%	169 8% QR	194 27% QR		
(3)	332 10%	163 9%	169 11%	-	-	181 B	60 11%	76 10%	10 9%	-	73 9%	59 8%	126 12%	70 9%	35 9%	284 10%	15 3%	180 9% QR	137 19% QR		
(4)	258 8%	122 7%	136 9%	-	-	120 B	43 7%	77 7%	11 9%	-	63 8%	43 6%	91 8%	61 8%	28 7%	222 8%	13 2%	189 9% QR	54 7% QR		
(5)	492 15%	267 14%	225 15%	-	-	263 15%	76 13%	119 14%	23 17%	-	119 15%	111 15%	150 14%	107 14%	68 17%	397 14%	41 7%	380 18% QS	71 10% QS		
(6)	312 9%	184 10%	128 8%	-	-	159 9%	61 10%	75 9%	10 7%	-	60 8%	72 10%	106 10%	73 10%	32 8%	273 10%	29 5%	257 12% QS	26 4% QS		
(7)	402 12%	216 12%	186 12%	-	-	188 11%	88 14%	108 13%	13 9%	-	101 13%	95 11%	121 11%	84 11%	42 11%	342 12%	61 11% S	314 15% QS	27 4% S		
(8)	382 11%	225 12%	157 10%	-	-	186 11%	90 15%	83 10%	19 14%	-	92 12%	83 12%	120 11%	85 11%	44 11%	325 11%	130 23% RS	233 11% S	19 3% S		
(9)	231 7%	139 8%	92 6%	-	-	109 6%	36 6%	73 8%	8 6%	-	65 8%	54 8%	59 5%	53 7%	36 9%	185 7%	116 20% RS	106 5% S	7 1% S		
(10) Completely understand	225 7%	130 7%	95 6%	-	-	99 6%	43 7%	72 8%	10 7%	-	60 8%	47 7%	67 6%	51 7%	31 8%	185 7%	123 22% RS	94 5% S	8 1% S		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 24  
(Continued)

Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=完全理解 and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Tripod Student Survey

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not Understand		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	Type	/State	(6,7-							(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)		
NO RESPONSE		35 1%	16 1%	19 1%	-	-	25 1% G	3 *%	6 1%	1 1%	-	11 1%	5 1%	12 1%	7 1%	8 2%	26 1%	7 1%	18 1%	9 1%	
MEAN		5.27 C	5.38	5.14	-	-	5.13	5.55 F	5.43 F	5.20	1.00	5.49 M	5.40 M	5.10	5.24	5.49	5.28	7.56 RS	5.42 S	3.05	
BASE		3382	1848	1534	-	-	1716	607	859	138	1	789	717	1095	760	398	2827	572	2081	724	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 25

Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=完全理解 and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Tripod Student Survey

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probat	Ten	Under	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State					ionary	-ured	stand	(8-10)	(4-7)	Under	
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr		medie-	ate	(6,7-	Office											
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch				12K12)												
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) to (3)	1045	549	496	-	-	567	167	246	43	1	218	207	369	239	109	872	52	490	503		
		31%	30%	32%		33% GH	28%	29%	31%	100% FGHI	28%	29%	34%	31%	27%	31%	9%	24%	69% QR		
(4) to (7)	1464	789	675	-	-	730	268	379	57	-	343	321	468	325	170	1234	144	1140	178		
		43%	43%	44%		43% 44%	44%	44%	41%		43%	45%	43%	43%	43%	44%	25%	55% QS	25%		
(8) to (10)	838	494	344	-	-	394	169	228	37	-	217	184	246	189	111	695	369	433	34		
		25%	27%	22%		23% F	28%	27%	27%		28% M	26%	22%	25%	28%	25%	65% RS	21% S	5%		
NO RESPONSE	35	16	19	-	-	25	3	6	1	-	11	5	12	7	8	26	7	18	9		
		1%	1%	1%		1% G	*%	1%	1%		1% 1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%		
MEAN	5.27	5.38	5.14	-	-	5.13	5.55	5.43	5.20	1.00	5.49	5.40	5.10	5.24	5.49	5.28	7.56	5.42	3.05		
BASE	3382	1848	1534	-	-	1716	607	859	138	1	789	717	1095	760	398	2827	572	2081	724		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 26

Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=完全理解 and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Working Portfolio

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not Understand		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type /State	(6,7-12K12)							(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)		
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) Do not understand at all	67 8%	-	-	63 9% E	4 3% GJ	44 11% J	5 4%	11 6%	4 10%	2 2%	7 11%	10 8%	19 6%	30 9%	2 8%	61 7%	2 1%	29 5% QR	36 25% QR		
(2)	86 10%	-	-	74 10%	12 8%	37 9%	18 16%	14 8%	4 10%	10 8%	5 8%	15 11%	38 12%	28 8%	1 4%	83 10%	4 2%	33 6% QR	49 34% QR		
(3)	113 13%	-	-	98 13%	15 10%	55 14%	14 12%	24 14%	6 15%	11 9%	7 11%	16 12%	47 14%	43 12%	3 12%	106 13%	7 4%	80 14% Q	26 18% Q		
(4)	75 9%	-	-	59 8%	16 11%	37 9% G	5 4%	15 9%	2 5%	15 12%	3 5%	13 10%	30 9%	28 8%	3 12%	71 9%	5 3%	61 11% QS	8 6%		
(5)	126 14%	-	-	107 15%	19 13%	66 16% H	15 13%	17 10%	5 13%	18 14%	12 18%	16 12%	46 14%	51 15%	5 20%	118 14%	7 4%	108 19% QS	11 8%		
(6)	109 12%	-	-	99 13%	10 7%	53 13% E	18 J	25 16% J	4 15%	8 10%	11 6%	19 17%	45 14%	34 10%	5 20%	102 12%	15 9% S	90 16% QS	4 3%		
(7)	116 13%	-	-	91 12%	25 17%	44 11%	11 10%	31 18% FG	6 15%	21 17%	8 12%	18 14%	42 13%	47 14%	2 8%	109 13%	24 14% S	89 14% S	2 1%		
(8)	100 11%	-	-	73 10%	27 19% D	38 9%	11 10%	18 11%	4 10%	27 21% FGH	3 5%	13 10%	38 12%	46 13%	1 4%	98 12%	54 12% RS	45 31% RS	1 1%		
(9)	42 5%	-	-	36 5%	6 4%	16 4%	9 8%	8 5%	1 3%	6 5%	4 6%	8 6%	12 4%	18 5%	1 4%	40 5%	34 20% RS	6 1%	2 1%		
(10) Completely understand	24 3%	-	-	17 2%	7 5%	5 1%	4 4%	5 3%	2 5%	7 6%	5 8%	3 2%	9 3%	7 2%	1 4%	23 3%	18 10% RS	4 1%	2 1%		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 26  
(Continued)

Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=完全理解 and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Working Portfolio

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not Understand		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-		Type	/State	(6,7-	(6,7-	(6,7-	(6,7-	(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)	(1-3)	(1-3)		
		Tested	Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	medi-	ate	(6,7-	Office	(12K12)										
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch																
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
NO RESPONSE		20	-	-	17	3	10	4	3	1	1	1	1	4	14	1	18	3	13	4	
		2%			2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	4%	4%	2%	2%	2%	3%	
MEAN		5.12	-	-	5.00	5.72	4.77	5.20	5.29	5.00	5.92	5.26	5.08	5.07	5.17	5.04	5.14	7.42	5.02	2.72	
BASE		878	-	-	734	144	405	114	171	39	126	66	132	330	346	25	829	173	558	145	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 27

Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=完全理解 and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Working Portfolio

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not Understand		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6,7-	(6,7-	(6,7-	(6,7-	(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)	(1-3)	(1-3)		
		Tested	Tested	Non-Sch	Non-Sch	Gr&Sub	SchLvl	NonSch	(12K12)												
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) to (3)	266 30%	-	-	235 32% E	31 22% J	136 34% J	37 32% J	49 29% J	14 36% J	23 18%	19 29%	41 31%	104 32%	101 29%	6 24%	250 30%	13 8%	142 25% QR	111 77% QR		
(4) to (7)	426 49%	-	-	356 49%	70 49%	200 49%	49 43%	88 51%	17 44%	62 49%	34 52%	66 50%	163 49%	160 46%	15 60%	400 48%	51 29% S	348 62% QS	25 17%		
(8) to (10)	166 19%	-	-	126 17%	40 28% D	59 15%	24 21%	31 18%	7 18%	40 32% FH	12 18%	24 18%	59 18%	71 21%	3 12%	161 19%	106 61% RS	55 10% S	5 3%		
NO RESPONSE	20 2%	-	-	17 2%	3 2%	10 2%	4 4%	3 2%	1 3%	1 1%	1 2%	1 1%	4 1%	14 4%	1 4%	18 2%	3 2%	13 2%	4 3%		
MEAN	5.12	-	-	5.00 D	5.72 D	4.77	5.20	5.29 F	5.00	5.92 FGH	5.26	5.08	5.07	5.17	5.04	5.14	7.42 RS	5.02 S	2.72		
BASE	878	-	-	734	144	405	114	171	39	126	66	132	330	346	25	829	173	558	145		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 28

Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=完全理解 and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Hawaii Growth Model

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-		Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not Understand	
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6, 7-, 12K12)	Office					(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)		
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch																
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) Do not understand at all	612 15%	292 16%	248 D	72 16%	-	278 13%	99 14%	191 19%	30 17%	1 25%	123 14%	135 16%	208 15%	139 13%	51 12%	519 15%	31 4%	269 11%	312 36%	QR	
(2)	652 16%	295 16%	246 16%	111 15%	-	336 16%	115 16%	161 16%	23 13%	1 25%	108 13%	134 16%	238 17%	170 16%	54 13%	572 16%	32 5%	342 13%	278 33%	QR	
(3)	519 13%	234 13%	198 13%	87 12%	-	281 13%	86 12%	120 12%	18 10%	1 25%	113 13%	109 13%	182 13%	112 11%	61 14%	436 12%	39 6%	359 14%	121 14%	Q	
(4)	364 9%	140 8%	155 10%	69 9%	-	207 10%	52 7%	86 8%	13 7%	-	73 9%	61 7%	129 9%	99 9%	36 9%	317 9%	40 6%	274 11%	49 6%	QS	
(5)	636 15%	273 15%	247 16%	116 16%	-	331 16%	116 16%	143 14%	33 19%	-	145 17%	121 15%	187 14%	177 17%	70 17%	535 15%	87 12%	502 20%	46 5%	S	
(6)	395 10%	170 9%	137 9%	88 12%	-	197 9%	82 11%	98 10%	11 6%	1 25%	83 10%	90 11%	118 9%	104 10%	40 9%	343 10%	63 9%	315 12%	15 2%	QS	
(7)	377 9%	172 9%	114 7%	91 12%	-	194 9%	73 10%	90 9%	17 10%	-	81 10%	72 9%	126 9%	96 9%	39 9%	324 9%	107 15%	257 10%	12 1%	S	
(8)	282 7%	142 8%	96 6%	44 6%	-	160 8%	41 6%	62 6%	13 7%	-	52 6%	53 6%	101 7%	75 7%	35 8%	241 7%	151 21%	125 5%	6 1%	RS	
(9)	131 3%	60 3%	43 3%	28 4%	-	66 3%	20 3%	37 4%	7 4%	-	36 4%	29 3%	31 2%	35 3%	20 5%	103 3%	83 12%	46 2%	1 *%	RS	
(10) Completely understand	85 2%	41 2%	31 2%	13 2%	-	31 1%	21 3%	27 3%	3 2%	-	21 2%	18 2%	33 2%	13 1%	9 2%	71 2%	62 9%	20 1%	3 *%	RS	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 28  
(Continued)

Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=完全理解 and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Hawaii Growth Model

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES			
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-		Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probat	Ten	Under	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-		Type	/State						ionary	-ured	stand	(8-10)	(4-7)	Under	
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr		medi-		(6,7-	Office												
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch		ate		12K12)													
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)		
NO RESPONSE		63	29	19	15	-	30	14	13	6	-	14	10	14	23	7	56	12	38	12		
		2%	2%	1%	2%		1%	2%	1%	3%		2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%		
MEAN		4.29	4.30	4.14	4.60	-	4.32	4.39	4.19	4.34	3.00	4.44	4.24	4.20	4.35	4.58	4.28	6.49	4.36	2.28		
BASE		4116	1848	1534	734	-	2111	719	1028	174	4	849	832	1367	1043	422	3517	707	2547	855		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 29

Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=完全理解 and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Hawaii Growth Model

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-		Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probat	Ten	Under	Neut	Do Not	
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6,7-					ionary	-ured	stand	(8-10)	(4-7)	Under
		Tested	Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	medio-	ate	(6,7-	Office	(12K12)										
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch																
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) to (3)	1783	821	692	270	-	895	300	472	71	3	344	378	628	421	166	1527	102	970	711		
	43%	44%	45%	37%		42%	42%	46%	41%	75%	41%	45%	46%	40%	39%	43%	14%	38%	83%		
		D	D							KN		KN					Q		QR		
(4) to (7)	1772	755	653	364	-	929	323	417	74	1	382	344	560	476	185	1519	297	1348	122		
	43%	41%	43%	50%	BC	44%	45%	41%	43%	25%	45%	41%	41%	46%	44%	43%	42%	53%	14%		
										M							S	QS			
(8) to (10)	498	243	170	85	-	257	82	126	23	-	109	100	165	123	64	415	296	191	10		
	12%	13%	11%	12%		12%	11%	12%	13%		13%	12%	12%	12%	15%	12%	42%	7%	1%		
										M							RS	S			
NO RESPONSE	63	29	19	15	-	30	14	13	6	-	14	10	14	23	7	56	12	38	12		
	2%	2%	1%	2%		1%	2%	1%	3%		2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%		
MEAN	4.29	4.30	4.14	4.60	BC	4.32	4.39	4.19	4.34	3.00	4.44	4.24	4.20	4.35	4.58	4.28	6.49	4.36	2.28		
BASE	4116	1848	1534	734	-	2111	719	1028	174	4	849	832	1367	1043	422	3517	707	2547	855		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 30

Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=完全理解 and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Student Learning Objectives

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES																		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-		Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not Understand																	
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6,7-12K12)	Office	(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)			
(1) Do not understand at all	282 7% CDE	153 8% D	91 6%	32 4%	5 3%	111 5%	58 8%	80 8%	23 13%	4 3%	48 6%	64 8%	98 7%	67 6%	23 5%	239 7%	12 2%	97 4%	20% QR	173 QR																	
(2)	554 13%	258 14%	209 14%	73 10%	14 10%	257 12%	109 15%	146 14%	19 11%	11 9%	103 12%	98 11%	210 15%	143 13%	44 10%	481 13%	14 2%	232 9%	308 35% QR																		
(3)	508 12%	226 12%	200 13%	66 9%	14 10%	269 13%	85 12%	117 11%	16 9%	11 9%	98 11%	103 12%	181 13%	123 11%	54 13%	442 12%	20 3%	312 12% QR	176 20% QR																		
(4)	392 9%	161 9%	144 9%	72 10%	13 9%	198 9%	60 8%	94 9%	18 10%	12 9%	79 9%	80 9%	136 9%	96 9%	29 7%	356 10% O	32 4%	280 11% Q	78 9% Q																		
(5)	632 15%	253 14%	244 16%	110 15%	23 16%	354 17%	94 13%	123 12%	27 15%	20 16%	138 16%	115 13%	199 14%	169 15%	69 16%	531 14%	63 8%	510 19% QS	59 7%																		
(6)	489 11%	182 10%	180 12%	111 15%	15 BC	252 10%	77 12%	121 11%	15 8%	16 13%	92 11%	103 12%	155 11%	137 12%	49 11%	422 12%	52 11%	407 15% QS	30 3%																		
(7)	518 12%	220 12%	171 11%	100 14%	21 15%	257 12%	88 12%	132 13%	14 8%	18 14%	116 14%	98 11%	175 12%	127 11%	54 13%	437 12%	114 15% S	388 15% S	15 2%																		
(8)	464 11%	198 11%	148 10%	91 12%	23 16%	228 C	81 11%	105 11%	21 12%	21 17%	88 10%	99 12%	151 11%	125 11%	46 11%	402 11%	202 27% RS	250 9% S	11 1%																		
(9)	233 5%	97 5%	81 5%	43 6%	11 8%	126 6%	32 4%	52 5%	12 7%	9 7%	51 6%	41 5%	73 5%	68 6%	31 7%	193 5%	128 17% RS	100 4% S	3 *%																		
(10) Completely understand	155 4%	73 4%	56 4%	21 3%	5 3%	58 3%	28 4%	49 5%	10 6%	5 4%	37 4%	43 5%	42 3%	33 3%	16 4%	128 3%	111 15% RS	38 1% S	6 1%																		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 30  
(Continued)

Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=完全理解 and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Student Learning Objectives

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-		Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not Understand	
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-		Type	/State	(6,7-	Office				(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)			
NO RESPONSE		53 1%	27 1%	10 1%	15 2%	- C	23 1%	11 2%	13 1%	4 2%	- 1%	7 1%	9 1%	16 1%	21 2%	9 2%	44 1%	6 1%	32 1%	14 2%	
MEAN		5.10	4.98	5.02	5.43	5.64	5.14	4.96	5.07	5.03	5.73	5.24	5.16	4.96	5.15	5.33	5.08	7.39	5.20	2.78	
BASE		4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 31

Q4. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=完全理解 and 1=do not understand at all, please indicate your overall level of understanding: Student Learning Objectives

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION								TYPE OF SCHOOL			YEARS AT DOE						STATUS			UNDERSTANDING OF EES			
		Class.		Class.		Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not				
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	Type	/State	(6,7-,12K12)	Office						(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)						
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch																				
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)					
(1) to (3)		1344	637	500	171	33	637	252	343	58	26	249	265	489	333	121	1162	46	641	657					
		31%	34%	33%	23%	23%	30%	35%	33%	32%	20%	29%	31%	34%	30%	29%	32%	6%	24%	75%		Q	QR		
(4) to (7)		2031	816	739	393	72	1061	319	470	74	66	425	396	665	529	201	1746	261	1585	182					
		47%	44%	48%	54%	50%	50%	44%	46%	41%	52%	50%	46%	46%	48%	47%	48%	35%	60%	21%		S	QS		
(8) to (10)		852	368	285	155	39	412	141	206	43	35	176	183	266	226	93	723	441	388	20					
		20%	20%	19%	21%	27%	C	19%	20%	20%	24%	28%	21%	19%	20%	22%	20%	58%	15%	2%		RS	S		
NO RESPONSE		53	27	10	15	-	23	11	13	4	-	7	9	16	21	9	44	6	32	14					
		1%	1%	1%	2%	C	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%					
MEAN		5.10	4.98	5.02	5.43	BC	5.64	5.14	4.96	5.07	5.03	5.73	5.24	5.16	4.96	5.15	5.33	5.08	7.39	5.20	2.78				
BASE		4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873					

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 32

Q5A. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I received actionable feedback during my observation cycle.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not Understand		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	Type	/State	(6,7-12K12)	Office				(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)				
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)		
(1) Strongly Disagree	367 11%	208 11%	159 10%	-	-	149 9%	68 11%	115 13%	29 21%	-	84 11%	81 11%	125 11%	75 10%	33 8%	310 11%	43 8%	165 8%	159 22% QR		
(2)	255 8%	135 7%	120 8%	-	-	125 7%	50 8%	64 7%	10 7%	-	52 7%	46 6%	86 8%	65 9%	21 5%	217 8%	13 2%	123 6% Q	119 16% QR		
(3)	238 7%	130 7%	108 7%	-	-	123 7%	40 7%	58 7%	12 9%	-	55 7%	56 8%	84 8%	42 6%	29 7%	199 7%	24 4%	118 6%	96 13% QR		
(4)	183 5%	90 5%	93 6%	-	-	84 5%	30 5%	55 6%	11 8%	-	44 6%	38 5%	60 5%	41 5%	25 6%	153 5%	15 3%	118 6% Q	50 7% Q		
(5)	429 13%	237 13%	192 13%	-	-	234 14%	76 13%	91 11%	14 10%	-	94 12%	90 13%	135 12%	104 14%	53 13%	346 12%	42 7%	272 13% Q	114 16% Q		
(6)	259 8%	142 8%	117 8%	-	-	141 8%	47 8%	61 7%	6 4%	-	56 7%	59 8%	88 8%	55 7%	24 6%	226 8%	33 6%	192 9% QS	34 5%		
(7)	405 12%	205 11%	200 13%	-	-	212 12%	74 12%	100 12%	11 8%	1 100%	93 12%	90 13%	126 12%	95 13%	51 13%	342 12%	55 10% S	308 15% QS	41 6%		
(8)	485 14%	270 15%	215 14%	-	-	244 14%	96 16%	130 15%	10 7%	-	121 15%	94 13%	159 15%	110 14%	65 16%	401 14%	111 19% S	333 16% S	41 6%		
(9)	333 10%	187 10%	146 10%	-	-	172 10%	62 10%	80 9%	15 11%	-	81 10%	63 9%	112 10%	76 10%	48 12%	275 10%	97 17% RS	207 10% S	28 4%		
(10) Strongly Agree	385 11%	217 12%	168 11%	-	-	207 12%	60 10%	96 11%	16 12%	-	100 13%	94 10%	109 11%	82 11%	44 11%	324 11%	130 23% RS	218 10% S	37 5%		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 32  
(Continued)

Q5A. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I received actionable feedback during my observation cycle.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probat	Ten	Under	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-		Type	/State					ionary	-ured	stand	(8-10)	(4-7)	Under	
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr		medi-		(6,7-	Office										Stand	
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch		ate		12K12)											(1-3)	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
NO RESPONSE		43	27	16	-	-	25	4	9	4	-	9	6	11	15	5	34	9	27	5	
		1%	1%	1%			1%	1%	1%	3%		1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	
MEAN		5.87	5.88	5.84	-	-	6.02	5.83	5.74	5.13	7.00	6.00	5.89	5.76	5.90	6.16	5.86	7.20	6.14	4.03	
BASE		3382	1848	1534	-	-	1716	607	859	138	1	789	717	1095	760	398	2827	572	2081	724	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 33

Q5A. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I received actionable feedback during my observation cycle.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6,7-	(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)	(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)	(8-10)	(4-7)		
		Tested	Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	medie-	ate	(6,7-	Office	(12K12)										
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch																
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) to (3)		860	473	387	-	-	397	158	237	51	-	191	183	295	182	83	726	80	406	374	
		25%	26%	25%			23%	26%	28%	37%		24%	26%	27%	24%	21%	26%	14%	20%	52%	
							F			FGH					O			Q	QR		
(4) to (7)		1276	674	602	-	-	671	227	307	42	1	287	277	409	295	153	1067	145	890	239	
		38%	36%	39%			39%	37%	36%	30%	100%	36%	39%	37%	39%	38%	38%	25%	43%	33%	
				I						FGHI					QS		Q				
(8) to (10)		1203	674	529	-	-	623	218	306	41	-	302	251	380	268	157	1000	338	758	106	
		36%	36%	34%			36%	36%	36%	30%		38%	35%	35%	35%	39%	35%	59%	36%	15%	
															RS		S				
NO RESPONSE		43	27	16	-	-	25	4	9	4	-	9	6	11	15	5	34	9	27	5	
		1%	1%	1%			1%	1%	1%	3%		1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	
MEAN		5.87	5.88	5.84	-	-	6.02	5.83	5.74	5.13	7.00	6.00	5.89	5.76	5.90	6.16	5.86	7.20	6.14	4.03	
BASE		3382	1848	1534	-	-	1716	607	859	138	1	789	717	1095	760	398	2827	572	2081	724	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::



Table 34  
(Continued)

Q5B. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I know how to write an acceptable SLO.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not Understand		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-		Type /State	(6,7-					(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)				
NO RESPONSE		13 *%	6 *%	3 *%	4 1%	-	7 *%	2 *%	4 *%	-	-	4 *%	1 *%	4 *%	4 *%	12 *%	4 *%	7 1%	1 *%	1 *%	
MEAN		5.02 C	4.99 BC	4.77 BC	5.50 BC	5.47 BC	4.97 FG	4.90 FG	5.17 FG	5.13 FG	5.47 FG	5.16 FG	5.05 FG	4.94 FG	5.02 FG	5.28 P	5.01 P	7.21 RS	5.11 S	2.87	
BASE		4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 35

Q5B. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I know how to write an acceptable SLO.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class. Teachr Tested Gr&Sub	Class. Teachr Tested	Non- Teachr Tested	Non- Teachr Tested	Elemen- try SchLvl	Middle Inter- medi- ate	High medi- ate	Other (6,7- 12K12)	Distr. /State Office	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary (8-10)	Tenured (4-7)	Understand (1-3)	Neut- ral (4-7)	Do Not Under- stand (1-3)		
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) to (3)	1419 33%	637 34%	564 37%	180 25%	35 24%	712 33%	258 36%	328 32%	61 34%	29 23%	255 30%	297 35%	489 34%	367 33%	118 28%	1230 33%	68 33%	726 9%	625 27%	72% QR	
DE	DE	DE	DE			J	J	J	J		K	K		O		Q					
(4) to (7)	1966 46%	813 44%	697 45%	370 50%	76 53%	991 B	324 46%	468 45%	72 40%	70 55%	414 48%	367 43%	658 46%	515 49%	206 46%	1689 36%	275 S	1483 56%	205 QS		
				BC	B					GHI	L										
(8) to (10)	882 21%	392 21%	270 18%	180 25%	33 23%	423 20%	139 19%	232 22%	46 26%	28 22%	184 21%	188 22%	285 20%	223 20%	99 23%	744 20%	407 54%	430 RS	42 S		
				C	C																
NO RESPONSE	13 *%	6 *%	3 *%	4 1%	-	7 *%	2 *%	4 *%	-	-	4 *%	1 *%	4 *%	4 *%	1 *%	12 *%	4 1%	7 *%	1 *%		
MEAN	5.02 C	4.99 BC	4.77 BC	5.50 BC	5.47 BC	4.97 BC	4.90 BC	5.17 FG	5.13 FG	5.47 FG	5.16 FG	5.05 FG	4.94 FG	5.02 FG	5.28 P	5.01 P	7.21 RS	5.11 S	2.87		
BASE	4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::



Table 36  
(Continued)

Q5C. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I know how to implement my SLO.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not Understand		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-		Type	/State	(6,7-	(6,7-	(6,7-	(6,7-	(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)	(1-3)	(1-3)		
NO RESPONSE		37 1%	19 1%	8 1%	6 1%	3 2%	12 1%	8 1%	10 1%	3 2%	2 2%	5 1%	7 1%	13 1%	12 1%	1 *%	34 1%	8 1%	20 1%	8 1%	
MEAN		5.43	5.36	5.25	5.86	5.86	5.48	5.22	5.43	5.32	5.93	5.52	5.43	5.32	5.51	5.58	5.42	7.52	5.55	3.23	
BASE		4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 37

Q5C. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I know how to implement my SLO.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class. Teachr Tested Gr&Sub	Class. Teachr Tested	Non- Teachr Tested	Non- Teachr Tested	Elemen -tary SchLvl	Middle Inter- medi- ate	High J	Other J	Distr. (6,7- 12K12)	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary (8-10)	Tenured (4-7)	Understand (1-3)	Neut- ral (4-7)	Do Not Under- stand (1-3)		
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) to (3)	1188 28% DE	533 29% DE	470 31% DE	149 20%	31 22%	576 27% J	223 31% J	283 27% J	57 32% J	24 19% J	226 26%	255 30%	406 28%	293 26%	103 24%	1026 28%	53 7% Q	558 21% QR	577		
(4) to (7)	1958 46%	822 44%	698 46%	364 50% B	66 46% I	997 47% I	321 44% I	472 46% I	68 38%	62 49%	404 47%	357 42%	676 47% L	510 46%	212 50%	1672 45%	235 31% S	1497 57% QS	223		
(8) to (10)	1097 26%	474 26%	358 23%	215 29% C	44 31%	548 26%	171 24%	267 26%	51 28%	39 31%	222 26%	234 27%	341 24%	294 27%	108 25%	943 26%	458 61% RS	571 22% S	65 7%		
NO RESPONSE	37 1%	19 1%	8 1%	6 1%	3 2%	12 1%	8 1%	10 1%	3 2%	2 2%	5 1%	7 1%	13 1%	12 1%	1 1%	34 *% O	8 1%	20 1%	8 1%		
MEAN	5.43	5.36	5.25	5.86 BC	5.86 BC	5.48 G	5.22	5.43	5.32	5.93 GH	5.52	5.43	5.32	5.51	5.58	5.42	7.52 RS	5.55 S	3.23		
BASE	4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::



Table 38  
(Continued)

Q5D. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I believe that if I implement all of the EES components, then I am an effective teacher/professional.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION						TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class. Teachr Tested	Class. Teachr Tested	Non-Teachr Gr&Sub	Non-SchLvl	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr. Type /State (6,7-12K12)	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand (8-10)	Neutral (4-7)	Do Not Stand (1-3)		
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
NO RESPONSE		26 1%	9 *%	9 1%	7 1%	-	13 1%	8 1%	4 *%	-	-	4 *%	5 1%	9 1%	8 1%	4 1%	21 1%	8 1%	10 *%	7 1%	
MEAN		4.03	3.89	3.91	4.43	5.00	4.11 BC	3.80 G	3.99	3.85	5.04 FGHI	4.18 L	3.90	3.98	4.12	4.52 P	3.98 RS	5.35 4.20 S	2.39		
BASE		4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 39

Q5D. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I believe that if I implement all of the EES components, then I am an effective teacher/professional.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION						TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class. Teachr Tested	Class. Teachr Tested	Non-Teachr Gr&Sub	Non-SchLvl	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr. (6,7-12K12)	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand (8-10)	Neut (4-7)	Do Not Stand (1-3)		
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) to (3)	2129	965	798	308	50	1029	384	529	95	42	395	449	726	542	173	1863	265	1172	691		
	50%	52%	52%	42%	35%	48%	53%	51%	53%	33%	46%	53%	51%	49%	41%	51%	35%	44%	79%		
		DE	DE	J	FJ	J	J	K	K	K	K	O	Q	QR							
(4) to (7)	1448	592	503	285	61	753	224	327	62	55	324	269	479	368	171	1212	215	1100	129		
	34%	32%	33%	39%	42%	35%	31%	32%	35%	43%	38%	32%	33%	33%	40%	33%	29%	42%	15%		
		BC	BC	GH						GH	LMN		P		S	QS					
(8) to (10)	677	282	224	134	33	338	107	172	22	30	134	130	222	191	76	579	266	364	46		
	16%	15%	15%	18%	23%	16%	15%	17%	12%	24%	16%	15%	15%	17%	18%	16%	35%	14%	5%		
		C	BC						FGI						RS	S					
NO RESPONSE	26	9	9	7	-	13	8	4	-	-	4	5	9	8	4	21	8	10	7		
	1%	*%	1%	1%		1%	1%	*%			*%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	*%	1%		
MEAN	4.03	3.89	3.91	4.43	5.00	4.11	3.80	3.99	3.85	5.04	4.18	3.90	3.98	4.12	4.52	3.98	5.35	4.20	2.39		
BASE	4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 40

**Q6. What do you need in order to improve your performance in each of these areas: Classroom Observations**

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES			
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probat	Ten	Under	Neut	Do Not	Under	Neut	Do Not
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6,7-				ionary	-ured	stand	(8-10)	(4-7)	Under	stand	(1-3)
		Tested	Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	med-	ate	(6,7-	Office	12K12)											
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)		
Preparing for the observation takes time/too much time/takes time away from teaching		61 19%	33 18%	28 20%	-	-	29 20%	14 19%	17 21%	1 6%	-	8 12%	14 19%	16 16%	23 28%	3 12%	55 20%	13 28%	32 16%	16 20%		
Timely/specific/unbiased/constructive feedback should be provided		52 16%	31 17%	21 15%	-	-	27 18%	12 16%	11 14%	1 6%	-	12 18%	13 18%	18 17%	9 11%	6 24%	41 15%	4 9%	35 18%	13 16%		
I need more information/it's not clear as to what they want to see in the observation		51 16%	26 14%	25 17%	-	-	27 18%	7 9%	13 16%	4 25%	-	13 20%	13 18%	12 12%	12 15%	5 20%	44 16%	4 9%	36 18%	11 14%		
The observer should be unbiased/ratings should be consistent		29 9%	17 9%	12 8%	-	-	12 8%	6 8%	9 11%	2 13%	-	5 8%	6 8%	10 10%	8 10%	2 8%	25 9%	3 6%	19 10%	7 9%		
Examples/videos/modeling should be provided		22 7%	14 8%	8 6%	-	-	8 5%	5 7%	5 6%	2 13%	-	5 8%	4 6%	7 7%	5 6%	1 4%	19 7%	3 6%	12 6%	7 9%		
You cannot hit all the rubrics in a 20 minute observation/observations should be longer		17 5%	11 6%	6 4%	-	-	5 3%	6 8%	4 5%	1 6%	-	3 5%	3 4%	6 6%	4 5%	1 4%	15 5%	3 6%	9 5%	5 6%		
Training is needed		16 5%	10 5%	6 4%	-	-	8 5%	3 4%	4 5%	1 6%	-	4 6%	2 3%	9 9%	1 1%	1 4%	15 5%	-	10 5%	6 8%		
Something relevant to my classroom situation (SPED, ELL, Hawaiian language, kindergarten, etc.)		12 4%	5 3%	7 5%	-	-	3 2%	4 5%	3 4%	2 13%	-	3 5%	3 4%	5 5%	1 1%	1 4%	10 4%	3 6%	8 4%	1 1%		
There should only be one observation a year		10 3%	4 2%	6 4%	-	-	7 5%	1 1%	2 3%	-	-	1 2%	2 3%	3 3%	4 5%	-	10 4%	1 2%	6 3%	3 4%		
The observer should be familiar with the discipline being observed		10 3%	7 4%	3 2%	-	-	1 1%	4 5%	5 6%	-	-	-	1 1%	4 4%	5 6%	-	10 4%	2 4%	6 3%	2 3%		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 40  
(Continued)

Q6. What do you need in order to improve your performance in each of these areas: Classroom Observations

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES			
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-		Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probat	Ten	Under	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6,7-					ionary	-ured	stand	(4-7)	Under		
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch	12K12)												
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)		
Do more observations/some informal observations/different kinds of observations		10 3%	8 4%	2 1%	-	-	4 3%	1 1%	4 5%	-	-	5 8%	1 1%	3 3%	1 1%	1 4%	7 2%	2 4%	5 3%	3 4%		
Practice/experience		10 3%	5 3%	5 3%	-	-	4 3%	1 1%	4 5%	-	-	2 3%	2 3%	1 1%	5 6%	-	10 4%	-	9 5%	1 1%		
The observer should be a teacher/someone with recent teaching experience		7 2%	4 2%	3 2%	-	-	2 1%	1 1%	4 5%	-	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	5 6%	-	6 2%	3 6%	4 2%	-		
Teachers should have a say as to when observations are done/more notice should be given		7 2%	2 1%	5 3%	-	-	4 3%	1 1%	2 3%	-	-	2 3%	2 3%	3 3%	-	-	7 2%	2 4%	3 2%	3 3%		
Competent/trained administrators/observers		7 2%	4 2%	3 2%	-	-	2 1%	3 4%	2 3%	-	-	4 6%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 4%	6 2%	1 2%	5 3%	1 1%		
I haven't been observed yet		6 2%	3 2%	3 2%	-	-	3 2%	2 3%	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	2 3%	3 3%	-	-	6 2%	1 2%	2 1%	3 4%		
Different rubrics/curriculum		6 2%	4 2%	2 1%	-	-	3 2%	1 1%	1 1%	1 6%	-	1 2%	3 4%	1 1%	1 1%	1 4%	5 2%	1 2%	4 2%	1 1%		
Observations shouldn't be cancelled/re-scheduled/start late/end early		5 2%	3 2%	2 1%	-	-	1 1%	2 3%	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	-	3 3%	1 1%	-	5 2%	1 2%	3 2%	1 1%		
This takes too much time for principals/administrators/more observers are needed		5 2%	3 2%	2 1%	-	-	4 3%	1 1%	-	-	-	2 3%	1 1%	2 2%	-	5 2%	1 2%	1 1%	1 4%	3		
Support		4 1%	4 2%	-	-	-	2 1%	2 3%	-	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	2 2%	-	4 1%	-	3 1%	1 2%	1 1%		
Observations should be done once every 2-5 years/not every year		3 1%	2 1%	1 1%	-	-	2 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	-	2 2%	-	-	3 1%	1 2%	-	2 3%		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 40  
(Continued)

Q6. What do you need in order to improve your performance in each of these areas: Classroom Observations

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-		Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probat	Ten	Under	Neut	Do Not	
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6,7-					ionary	-ured	stand	(8-10)	(4-7)	stand
		Tested	Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr		medi-	Type	/State	(6,7-					ionary	-ured	stand	(8-10)	(4-7)	stand
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch			ate			12K12)					ionary	-ured	stand	(1-3)		
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
Trainers should be fully versed in what they are training		2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	-	-	1 1%	-	2 1%	-	1 1%	1 1%	
Other		33 10%	16 9%	17 12%	-	-	15 10%	7 9%	9 11%	1 6%	-	8 12%	9 13%	11 11%	4 5%	3 12%	26 9%	2 4%	24 12%	7 9%	
None/nothing/I'm satisfied		18 6%	10 5%	8 6%	-	-	8 5%	6 8%	3 4%	1 6%	-	3 5%	6 8%	6 6%	3 4%	3 12%	15 5%	3 6%	13 7%	2 3%	
Don't know/refused		8 2%	3 2%	5 3%	-	-	3 2%	1 1%	3 4%	1 6%	-	1 2%	2 3%	3 3%	2 2%	1 4%	7 2%	2 4%	3 2%	3 4%	
BASE		325	182	143	-	-	148	74	80	16	-	66	72	103	81	25	282	47	199	79	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 41

**Q6. What do you need in order to improve your performance in each of these areas: Core Professionalism**

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES															
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not Understand															
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6, 7-, 12K12)	(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
I need more information/it's not clear what qualifies as core professionalism/some feedback on what I put in already	132 33%	56 33%	52 38%	18 25%	6 32%	69 39% H	24 28%	22 24%	7 30%	6 38%	30 45% N	31 38%	41 31%	29 25%	13 46%	114 32%	16 28%	84 34%	32 36%															
Time it takes to gather/scan/organize/input date/takes time away from teaching/prep time/it wastes my time	77 19%	31 18%	29 21%	14 19%	3 16%	32 18%	19 22%	16 18%	6 26%	3 19%	12 18%	11 13%	28 21%	26 22%	4 14%	69 20%	13 22%	51 20%	13 14%															
Training is needed	61 15%	27 16%	15 11%	14 19%	5 26%	21 12%	21 24% FH	11 12%	4 17%	3 19%	9 13%	9 11%	25 19%	18 16%	3 11%	55 16%	1 2%	36 14%	24 27% QR															
Examples should be provided	49 12%	19 11%	10 7%	16 22% BC	4 21%	23 13%	12 14%	7 8%	3 13%	2 13%	5 7%	11 13%	19 14%	14 12%	3 11%	45 13%	10 17%	28 11%	11 12%	11 11%														
I am a professional/Why do I have to prove it?/It's insulting	18 5%	10 6%	6 4%	2 3%	-	9 5%	2 2%	4 4%	2 9%	-	3 4%	5 6%	7 5%	3 3%	1 4%	16 5%	-	14 6%	4 4%															
Something relevant to my situation (Non-classroom teacher, counselor, my discipline)	13 3%	2 1%	1 1%	9 13% BC	1 5%	4 2%	4 5%	4 4%	-	1 6%	1 1%	3 4%	6 5%	3 3%	1 4%	12 3%	1 2%	12 5%	1 2%	1 2%	12 5%	-												
Professional Development is needed	10 3%	3 2%	2 1%	4 6%	1 5%	9 5%	1 1%	-	-	-	4 6%	3 4%	2 2%	1 1%	1 4%	8 2%	2 3%	7 3%	2 3%	2 3%	7 3%	-												
I already do extra things at the school/other things should be included	10 3%	3 2%	3 2%	3 4%	1 5%	3 2%	3 3%	2 2%	1 4%	1 6%	2 3%	3 4%	2 2%	3 3%	2 3%	8 7%	1 2%	8 2%	1 3%	8 3%	1 1%													
It should have been presented at the beginning of the school year/information shouldn't come out piecemeal	9 2%	5 3%	3 2%	1 1%	-	5 3%	3 3%	1 1%	-	-	2 2%	4 3%	3 3%	-	9 3%	-	6 2%	3 3%	8 3%	1 1%	8 3%	-												

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 41  
(Continued)

Q6. What do you need in order to improve your performance in each of these areas: Core Professionalism

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES			
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-		Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probat	Ten	Under	Neut	Do Not	Under	Stand
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-		Type	/State						ionary	-ured	stand	(8-10)	(4-7)	Under	Stand
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch	(6,7-12K12)	Office											
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)		
I should do additional things (presentations, meetings, mentoring, etc.)		9	3	3	3	-	5	2	1	1	-	2	3	2	2	1	8	2	5	2		
		2%	2%	2%	4%		3%	2%	1%	4%		3%	4%	2%	2%	4%	2%	3%	2%	5	2%	2%
Trainers should be fully versed in what they are training		7	3	2	2	-	1	5	1	-	-	2	-	1	4	1	6	-	4	3		
		2%	2%	1%	3%		1%	6%	1%	F		3%		1%	3%	4%	2%	2%	2%	4%	3%	
Consistent expectations from school to school/among administrators		5	1	3	1	-	2	2	1	-	-	-	-	3	2	-	5	-	3	2		
		1%	1%	2%	1%		1%	2%	1%					2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	
Money/the State should pay for training/teachers shouldn't have to pay		5	1	4	-	-	3	-	2	-	-	1	1	1	2	-	5	-	2	3		
		1%	1%	3%			2%		2%			1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
Administration is already aware of what I do/why do I have to document it?		4	1	1	2	-	1	1	2	-	-	-	2	-	2	1	3	2	2	1	-	
		1%	1%	1%	3%		1%	1%	2%					2%	2%	1%	4%	1%	3%	1%	1%	
I do what my contract requires/Why is Core Professionalism for things outside of my work day?		4	1	3	-	-	3	1	-	-	-	2	-	1	1	-	4	-	1	*%	3	
		1%	1%	2%			2%	1%				3%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
Other		39	18	16	4	1	17	6	11	3	1	6	7	12	14	2	35	7	24	8		
		10%	11%	12%	6%	5%	10%	7%	12%	13%	6%	9%	9%	12%	7%	10%	12%	10%	12%	10%	9%	
None/nothing/I'm doing good		26	12	7	6	1	10	4	9	2	1	4	4	11	7	2	22	9	13	4		
		7%	7%	5%	8%	5%	6%	5%	10%	9%	6%	6%	5%	8%	6%	7%	6%	7%	16%	5%	4%	
Don't know/refused		18	7	8	2	1	5	3	8	1	1	5	2	5	6	2	14	4	11	3		
		5%	4%	6%	3%	5%	3%	3%	9%	4%	6%	7%	2%	4%	5%	7%	4%	7%	4%	4%	3%	
BASE		398	169	138	72	19	176	86	90	23	16	67	82	132	116	28	353	58	250	90		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 42

Q6. What do you need in order to improve your performance in each of these areas: Tripod Student Survey

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS			UNDERSTANDING OF EES				
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-		Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probat	Ten	Under	Neut	Do Not	Under	Neut	Do Not	
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6, 7-					ionary	-ured	stand	(4-7)	Under	(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)	
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch																(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)				
Students do not understand the questions/the meaning behind the questions/need better questions		96 28%	58 31%	38 25%	-	-	48 30%	27 37%	17 21%	2 12%	-	16 24%	26 33%	29 27%	24 29%	4 16%	89 30%	8 15%	65 31%	23 30%	Q	Q		
It's a waste of time/takes away from class time/How can this be taken seriously?/Not fair/Data is not valid/Questions are ridiculous		49 14%	24 13%	25 16%	-	-	19 12%	18 25%	8 10%	3 18%	-	5 7%	11 14%	18 17%	15 18%	2 8%	45 15%	6 12%	29 14%	14 18%	K			
Students will not answer the survey truthfully/accurately/seriously/will use it to retaliate/are not objective		41 12%	25 13%	16 11%	-	-	13 8%	8 11%	14 17%	5 29%	-	11 16%	9 11%	12 11%	9 11%	3 12%	35 12%	9 17%	20 10%	12 16%				
Kindergarten/lower grades don't understand the survey/shouldn't be given it		29 9%	4 2%	25 16%	-	-	28 18%	-	-	-	-	6 9%	7 9%	9 8%	7 9%	2 8%	25 9%	4 8%	18 9%	7 9%				
I need more information about the survey		28 8%	13 7%	15 10%	-	-	9 6%	5 7%	11 14%	2 12%	-	9 13%	6 8%	7 7%	5 6%	4 16%	23 8%	-	22 11%	6 8%				
Why am I being evaluated by students?/Students shouldn't judge teachers/it shouldn't be part of teacher evaluations		27 8%	17 9%	10 7%	-	-	16 10%	5 7%	6 7%	-	-	7 10%	8 8%	9 8%	3 4%	4 16%	21 7%	3 6%	21 10%	3 4%	S			
ELL/SPED students have difficulty with it/can't read/understand it		24 7%	15 8%	9 6%	-	-	12 8%	10 14%	2 2%	-	-	3 4%	4 5%	12 11%	5 6%	1 4%	23 8%	4 8%	14 7%	6 8%				
Wording should be age appropriate for kindergarten/lower grades		22 7%	5 3%	17 11%	-	-	19 12%	-	-	1 6%	-	4 6%	7 9%	3 3%	7 9%	-	20 7%	3 6%	14 7%	5 6%				
Inconsistently given/not given to all classes/given to one class and then to another months later/some students take the survey multiple times/given too frequently/sometimes given at the start of the semester before they know the teacherscomment2		21 6%	13 7%	8 5%	-	-	3 2%	6 8%	11 14%	1 6%	-	4 6%	3 4%	7 7%	7 9%	1 4%	19 6%	6 12%	13 12%	2 3%				

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 42  
(Continued)

Q6. What do you need in order to improve your performance in each of these areas: Tripod Student Survey

	TOTAL CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION										TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES			
	Class.		Class.		Non-Teachr		Non-Teachr		Elemen		Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not Understand		
	Tested	Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch	Teacher	Teacher	Teachr	Elementary	Intermediate	Mediate	Type (6,7-12K12)	/State Office						(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)				
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)						
Questions are too long/survey is too long/should be shorter	16	6	10	-	-	8	4	4	-	-	2	4	7	3	-	14	2	10	4	5%	3%	5%	5%		
It's given to students who can't read/read slowly/read at a lower level	13	7	6	-	-	3	6	3	1	-	4	3	3	3	1	11	2	10	1	4%	4%	4%	5%	1%	
Double negatives are used in the questions	11	4	7	-	-	6	2	1	2	-	1	2	3	5	-	11	1	8	2	3%	2%	4%	3%	3%	
My salary should not be tied to student evaluations/Why should students decide my pay?	11	5	6	-	-	6	2	2	1	-	2	1	3	5	-	10	2	7	2	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	
Training is needed	9	5	4	-	-	2	2	4	1	-	1	3	3	2	-	9	-	5	4	3%	3%	2%	5%	5%	
Don't do it/it's not needed	9	6	3	-	-	6	1	2	-	-	1	2	5	1	-	9	2	5	2	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	
I haven't had any surveys done/my students don't take the survey	8	6	2	-	-	4	1	2	1	-	2	1	3	2	1	6	1	4	3	2%	2%	2%	4%	4%	
Feedback/results of survey	7	4	3	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	2	1	4	-	3	3	2	4	1	12%	1%	4%	2%	1%	
Helpful/good tool	7	5	2	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	2	1	4	-	1	6	2	3	2	4%	2%	4%	1%	3%	
More support from administration	5	4	1	-	-	3	1	1	-	-	2	1	2	-	1	4	1	3	1	4%	1%	2%	1%	1%	
Other	37	22	15	-	-	11	14	12	-	-	4	9	12	12	1	34	5	24	8	11%	10%	11%	10%	10%	
None/nothing/I'm good with this	10	8	2	-	-	4	3	2	1	-	2	4	1	3	1	8	2	5	3	4%	3%	4%	2%	4%	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 42  
(Continued)

Q6. What do you need in order to improve your performance in each of these areas: Tripod Student Survey

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probat	Ten	Under	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-		Type	/State					ionary	-ured	stand	-ral	Under		
Don't know/refused		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	medi-		(6,7-													
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch	ate		Office													
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
Don't know/refused		17	10	7	-	-	8	3	4	2	-	5	3	6	3	3	13	4	8	5	
		5%	5%	5%			5%	4%	5%	12%		7%	4%	6%	4%	12%	4%	8%	4%	6%	
BASE		338	186	152	-	-	160	73	81	17	-	67	80	106	82	25	293	52	209	77	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 43

## Q6. What do you need in order to improve your performance in each of these areas: Working Portfolio

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION									TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class. Teachr Tested Gr&Sub	Class. Teachr Tested SchLvl	Non- Teachr NonSch	Non- Teachr NonSch	Elemen- tary	Middle Inter- medi- ate	High School	Other Type (6, 7- 12K12)	Distr. /State Office	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probat- ionary	Ten- ured	Under- stand (8-10)	Neut- ral (4-7)	Do Not Under- stand (1-3)			
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)			
Examples should be provided	33 31%	-	-	30 35% E	3 15% HJ	22 47% 31%	5 31%	1 7%	1 17%	2 12%	-	3 27%	11 29%	19 38%	-	32 32%	5 28%	23 32%	5 33%			
Time it takes/it's a waste of time	31 30%	-	-	25 29%	6 30%	9 19%	5 31%	6 40%	4 67% F	5 29%	-	3 27%	15 39%	13 26%	-	29 29%	6 33%	22 31%	3 20%			
Training is needed	23 22%	-	-	17 20%	6 30%	11 23%	5 31%	2 13%	-	4 24%	1 20%	2 18%	13 34% N	7 14%	1 50%	21 21%	-	18 25%	5 33%			
I need more information/it's not clear what should be in the portfolio	16 15%	-	-	12 14%	4 20%	10 21%	-	2 13%	-	3 18%	2 40%	-	4 11%	9 18%	-	16 16%	4 22%	10 14%	2 13%			
Something relevant to my position (SPED, ELL, Hawaiian language, my discipline, etc.)	8 8%	-	-	6 7%	2 10%	5 11%	2 13%	-	-	1 6%	1 20%	2 18%	2 5%	3 6%	1 50%	7 7%	1 6%	7 10%	-			
Administration is already aware of what I do/Why do I have to document it?	3 3%	-	-	3 4%	-	2 4%	-	1 7%	-	-	-	1 9%	-	2 4%	-	3 3%	-	2 3%	1 7%			
Consistent expectations from school to school/among administrators	2 2%	-	-	1 1%	1 5%	1 2%	-	-	-	1 6%	-	-	1 3%	1 2%	-	2 2%	-	1 1%	1 7%			
Trainers should be fully versed in what they are training	2 2%	-	-	2 2%	-	1 2%	1 6%	-	-	-	1 20%	-	-	1 2%	1 50%	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 7%			
I am a professional/Why do I have to do this?	2 2%	-	-	2 2%	-	1 2%	-	1 7%	-	-	-	-	1 3%	1 2%	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 7%			
Professional Development is needed	2 2%	-	-	2 2%	-	2 4%	-	-	-	-	-	1 9%	1 3%	-	-	2 2%	1 6%	1 1%	-			
Other	12 11%	-	-	11 13%	1 5%	4 9%	4 25%	2 13%	1 17%	1 6%	20%	1 18%	2 8%	3 12%	1 50%	10 10%	2 11%	8 11%	2 13%			

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 43  
(Continued)

Q6. What do you need in order to improve your performance in each of these areas: Working Portfolio

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION						TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	High	Type	/State						(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)			
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	Medi-	Medi-	Type	(6,7-	Office											
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch	ate	ate		12K12)												
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
None/nothing/I'm good with this		4	-	-	3	1	2	-	1	-	1	1	-	3	-	-	3	1	2	1	
		4%			4%	5%	4%		7%		6%	20%		8%			3%	6%	3%	7%	
Don't know/refused		4	-	-	2	2	-	1	1	-	2	-	-	-	4	-	4	1	3	-	
		4%			2%	10%		6%	7%		12%				8%		4%	6%	4%		
BASE	105	-	-	85	20	47	16	15	6	17	5	11	38	50	2	99	18	72	15		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::



Table 44  
(Continued)

Q6. What do you need in order to improve your performance in each of these areas: Hawaii Growth Model

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES			
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-		Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probat	Ten	Under	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6, 7-					ionary	-ured	stand	(4-7)	Under		
		Tested	Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	medio-	medi-	Type	/State	(6, 7-					ionary	-ured	stand	(4-7)	Under		
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch		ate	ate	(6, 7-		(6, 7-					ionary	-ured	stand	(4-7)	Under		
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)		
Feedback about the scores is needed		4	3	1	-	-	2	1	1	-	-	-	2	-	2	-	4	1	1	2		
		1%	2%	1%			1%	1%	1%				3%		2%		1%	2%	*%	3%		
More support from administration/more resources		4	4	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	1	1	2	-	-	4	-	4	-		
		1%	3%				1%	3%				2%	1%	2%			1%	1%	2%	2%		
Students who work/learn/take the test seriously		4	4	-	-	-	1	3	-	-	-	-	1	-	2	-	4	-	4	-		
		1%	3%				1%	4%					1%		2%		1%	1%	2%	2%		
Different test/better system		3	1	1	1	-	1	-	2	-	-	1	1	-	1	1	2	-	3	-		
		1%	1%	1%	2%		1%		2%			2%	1%		1%	4%	1%	1%	1%	1%		
Professional Development		1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	
		*	%	1%			1%						1%				*	%			1%	
Other		30	15	13	2	-	13	8	6	2	-	4	12	9	5	2	28	4	20	6		
		9%	10%	10%	3%		9%	10%	7%	11%		7%	16%	8%	6%	9%	9%	8%	9%	9%	8%	
None/nothing/I think this is good		21	12	5	4	-	9	2	7	2	-	5	7	3	6	1	19	8	11	2		
		6%	8%	4%	6%		6%	3%	8%	11%		9%	9%	3%	7%	4%	6%	17%	5%	5%	3%	
I don't know what this is/this is the first I've heard about this		18	8	8	2	-	4	4	8	2	-	8	2	5	3	2	12	-	11	7		
		5%	5%	6%	3%		3%	5%	9%	11%		14%	3%	4%	3%	9%	4%	4%	5%	5%	9%	
Don't know/refused		21	3	13	5	-	6	3	10	-	-	2	5	6	8	-	20	4	12	5		
		6%	2%	10%	8%		4%	4%	12%	F		3%	7%	5%	9%		7%	8%	6%	6%	6%	
BASE		344	154	124	66	-	152	78	86	19	-	58	76	117	89	23	305	48	218	78		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 45

Q6. What do you need in order to improve your performance in each of these areas: Student Learning Objectives

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-		Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand (8-10)	Neutral (4-7)	Do Not Understand (1-3)	
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type (6,7-12K12)	/State Office											
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch																
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
Time it takes/waste of time/too much work/complicated	130	56	48	22	4	66	22	27	8	4	26	21	48	35	10	114	21	79	30		
	30%	31%	31%	28%	20%	34%	25%	26%	33%	24%	35%	24%	32%	28%	36%	30%	33%	28%	32%		
Training is needed	75	33	20	15	7	35	17	12	5	5	13	11	29	22	4	67	4	50	21		
	17%	18%	13%	19%	35% C	18%	19%	12%	21%	29%	18%	13%	20%	18%	14%	17%	6%	18%	23% Q		
I need more information/it's not clear what they want	72	19	38	11	4	35	13	16	2	3	19	14	19	19	7	62	5	51	16		
	17%	10%	24% B	14%	20%	18%	15%	16%	8%	18%	26% M	16%	13%	15%	25%	16%	8%	18% Q	17%		
Examples/samples should be provided	67	21	27	17	2	28	16	17	3	1	10	13	23	20	1	62	9	45	13		
	15%	12%	17%	22%	10%	15%	18%	17%	13%	6%	14%	15%	16%	16%	4%	16% O	14%	16%	14%		
Useless/subjective/waste of money/doesn't measure student learning/not fair/ridiculous/data and objectives can be manipulated	33	10	19	4	-	14	8	9	2	-	4	4	15	10	-	27	6	20	7		
	8%	6%	12% B	5%		7%	9%	9%	8%		5%	5%	10%	8%		7%	10%	7%	7%		
Something relevant to my situation (discipline, position, etc.)	32	9	9	13	1	15	10	3	2	1	5	10	10	7	2	29	3	23	6		
	7%	5%	6%	17% BC	5%	8%	11% H	3%	8%	6%	7%	12%	7%	6%	7%	8%	5%	8%	6%		
Stop changing it/there's conflicting information	21	9	8	4	-	9	5	4	1	-	3	6	7	4	1	19	4	12	5		
	5%	5%	5%	5%		5%	6%	4%	4%		4%	7%	5%	3%	4%	5%	6%	4%	5%		
Consistent expectations from school to school/among administrators	18	3	10	2	3	10	2	3	-	3	2	2	7	7	2	16	3	11	4		
	4%	2%	6% B	3%	15%	5%	2%	3%		18%	3%	2%	5%	6%	7%	4%	5%	4%	4%		
Feedback on what's been turned in	15	7	5	2	1	4	4	5	1	1	2	2	6	4	-	13	2	13	-		
	3%	4%	3%	3%	5%	2%	5%	5%	4%	6%	3%	2%	4%	3%		3%	3%	5%			
More support from administration/resources	14	9	3	1	1	6	3	3	1	1	2	1	10	1	1	13	2	8	4		
	3%	5%	2%	1%	5%	3%	3%	3%	4%	6%	3%	1%	7%	1%	4%	3%	3%	3%	4%		
														LN							

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 45  
(Continued)

Q6. What do you need in order to improve your performance in each of these areas: Student Learning Objectives

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES			
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-		Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not Understand	
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6, 7-12K12)	Office	(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)		
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
The trainer should be fully versed in what they are training		12 3%	8 4%	3 2%	1 1%	-	6 3%	4 5%	2 2%	-	-	3 4%	3 3%	2 1%	4 3%	2 7%	10 3%	-	8 3%	4 4%	
Practice/experience		10 2%	6 3%	1 1%	2 3%	1 5%	3 2%	2 2%	3 3%	1 4%	1 6%	1 1%	1 1%	3 2%	5 4%	-	10 3%	1 2%	6 2%	3 3%	
Do only one a year		9 2%	4 2%	1 1%	4 5%	-	6 3%	1 1%	-	2 8%	-	1 1%	2 2%	4 3%	2 2%	-	8 2%	3 5%	4 1%	2 2%	
Don't use SLOs/not needed		9 2%	3 2%	5 3%	1 1%	-	7 4%	-	2 2%	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	2 1%	5 4%	-	7 2%	-	7 3%	2 2%	
It should have been presented at the beginning of the school year/information shouldn't come out piecemeal		8 2%	4 2%	4 3%	-	-	2 1%	3 3%	3 3%	-	-	1 1%	2 2%	2 1%	3 2%	1 4%	6 2%	2 3%	4 1%	2 2%	
Helpful/good too/had support doing this		7 2%	6 3%	1 1%	-	-	3 2%	2 2%	2 2%	-	-	3 4%	1 1%	2 1%	1 1%	1 4%	6 2%	1 2%	6 2%	-	
Hard to measure for SPED/ELL students		6 1%	2 1%	3 2%	1 1%	-	1 1%	3 3%	2 2%	-	-	-	2 2%	4 3%	-	-	6 2%	1 2%	4 1%	1 1%	
I haven't been evaluated/I am not a classroom teacher/I don't have SLOs		5 1%	1 1%	1 1%	3 4%	-	1 1%	3 3%	-	1 4%	-	-	2 2%	1 1%	2 2%	-	5 1%	1 2%	3 1%	1 1%	
Pay me for my time to do this		5 1%	1 1%	4 3%	-	-	2 1%	1 1%	2 2%	-	-	-	2 2%	3 2%	-	-	5 1%	2 3%	-	3 3%	
Professional Development is needed		4 1%	3 2%	-	1 1%	-	4 2%	-	-	-	-	-	2 2%	1 1%	1 1%	-	4 1%	1 2%	3 1%	-	
Other		36 8%	17 9%	14 9%	5 6%	-	21 11%	7 8%	7 7%	1 4%	-	4 5%	10 12%	12 8%	10 8%	3 11%	31 8%	3 5%	29 10%	4 S	
None/nothing/I'm good with this		19 4%	11 6%	5 3%	3 4%	-	4 2%	2 2%	6 6%	5 21%	-	4 5%	5 6%	3 2%	7 6%	2 7%	16 4%	7 11%	9 3%	3 3%	
FG																					

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 45  
(Continued)

Q6. What do you need in order to improve your performance in each of these areas: Student Learning Objectives

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES			
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probat	Ten	Under	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-		Type	/State					ionary	-ured	stand	-ral	Under		
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr		medi-		(6,7-	Office							(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)		
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch		ate		12K12)												
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
Don't know/refused		15	3	7	3	2	5	2	4	-	2	1	2	5	6	1	13	3	8	4	
		3%	2%	4%	4%	10%	3%	2%	4%		12%	1%	2%	3%	5%	4%	3%	5%	3%	4%	
BASE	436	181	157	78	20	193	88	102	24	17	74	86	148	124	28	386	63	280	93		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 46

Q7A. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I understand how the final performance rating is calculated for my evaluation.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES			
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not	Understand	Neut	Do Not
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6, 7-					(8-10)	(4-7)	Understand	(1-3)	(1-3)	(1-3)	(1-3)
		Tested	Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	med-	ate	(6, 7-	Office	12K12)											
		Gr&Sub	CDE	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch	GJ	J	12K12)													
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)		
(1) Strongly Disagree		792 19%	407 22%	273 18%	89 12%	19 13%	398 19%	127 J	190 18%	38 21%	13 10%	138 16%	151 18%	291 20%	203 18%	56 13%	686 19%	51 19%	376 7%	365 14%	42% QR	
(2)		566 13%	243 13%	228 15%	82 11%	10 7%	299 14%	79 11%	149 14%	25 14%	7 6%	111 13%	125 15%	200 14%	126 11%	52 12%	493 13%	25 3%	305 12%	236 27% QR		
(3)		449 10%	180 10%	166 11%	84 11%	17 12%	242 11%	79 11%	93 9%	11 6%	15 12%	76 9%	99 12%	150 10%	122 11%	39 9%	397 11%	49 9%	297 11%	103 12% Q		
(4)		325 8%	143 8%	112 7%	63 9%	6 4%	165 8%	47 7%	89 9%	11 6%	8 6%	68 8%	64 8%	112 8%	80 7%	35 8%	280 8%	17 2%	260 10% QS	46 5% Q		
(5)		603 14%	251 14%	220 14%	116 16%	15 10%	303 14%	105 15%	137 13%	28 16%	16 13%	116 14%	111 13%	200 14%	172 16%	59 14%	525 14%	67 9%	480 18%	55 6% QS		
(6)		405 9%	165 9%	133 9%	81 11%	24 17%	195 9%	79 11%	90 9%	14 8%	19 15%	85 10%	78 9%	137 10%	104 9%	37 9%	344 9%	75 10%	316 12% S	13 1% S		
(7)		436 10%	183 10%	151 10%	83 11%	15 10%	206 10%	92 13%	104 10%	17 9%	13 10%	99 12%	92 11%	143 10%	101 9%	53 13%	365 10%	123 16% RS	289 11% S	22 3%		
(8)		370 9%	147 8%	125 8%	78 11%	17 12%	180 8%	54 7%	91 9%	22 12%	17 13%	91 11%	62 7%	105 10%	111 11%	47 11%	307 11%	145 8% RS	207 19% S	17 2%		
(9)		178 4%	71 4%	61 4%	34 5%	12 8%	79 4%	35 5%	44 4%	7 4%	11 9%	39 5%	44 5%	51 3%	26 5%	147 4%	104 4%	69 14% RS	5 3% S			
(10) Strongly Agree		132 3%	48 3%	52 3%	23 3%	9 6%	51 2%	25 3%	38 4%	5 3%	8 6%	25 3%	25 3%	48 3%	33 3%	15 4%	113 3%	89 12% RS	37 1% S	6 1% S		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 46  
(Continued)

Q7A. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I understand how the final performance rating is calculated for my evaluation.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-		Type	/State							(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)		
NO RESPONSE		Tested	Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	medi-		(6,7-	Office											
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch		ate		12K12)												
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
NO RESPONSE		24	10	13	1	-	15	1	7	1	-	5	7	6	6	5	18	9	10	5	
		1%	1%	1%	*%		1%	*%	1%	1%		1%	1%	*%	1%	1%	*%	1%	*%	1%	
		D			G												R				
MEAN		4.45	4.26	4.40	4.86	5.36	4.33	4.64	4.47	4.48	5.54	4.70	4.39	4.29	4.54	4.93	4.41	6.64	4.51	2.39	
BASE		4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 47

Q7A. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I understand how the final performance rating is calculated for my evaluation.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class. Teachr Tested	Class. Teachr Tested	Non- Teachr Gr&Sub	Non- Teachr Tested	Non- Teachr NonSch	Elemen- tary SchLvl	Middle Inter- medi- ate	High (6,7- 12K12)	Other Type /State Office	Distr. (1-5)	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probat ionary (8-10)	Ten- ured (4-7)	Under- stand (1-3)	Neut- ral (4-7)	Do Not Under- stand (1-3)	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) to (3)		1807 42%	830 45%	667 43%	255 35%	46 32%	939 44%	285 GJ	432 J	74 42%	35 41%	325 28%	375 38%	641 44%	451 45%	147 41%	1576 35%	125 43%	978 17%	704 37%	
		DE	DE				J	J	J			K	KN	O			Q	QR			
(4) to (7)		1769 41%	742 40%	616 40%	343 47%	60 42%	869 BC	323 41%	420 45%	70 41%	56 39%	368 44%	345 43%	592 40%	457 41%	184 41%	1514 43%	282 41%	1345 37%	136 S	
																		QS			
(8) to (10)		680 16%	266 14%	238 16%	135 18%	38 26%	310 BCD	114 15%	173 16%	34 17%	36 19%	159 FGH	126 LM	197 15%	195 14%	88 18%	567 21%	338 15%	313 RS	28 S	
NO RESPONSE		24 1%	10 1%	13 1%	1 *%	- D	15 1%	1 *%	7 1%	1 1%	- 1%	5 1%	7 1%	6 *%	6 1%	5 1%	18 1%	9 *%	10 1%	5 R	
MEAN		4.45	4.26	4.40	4.86	5.36	4.33	4.64	4.47	4.48	5.54	4.70	4.39	4.29	4.54	4.93	4.41	6.64	4.51	2.39	
BASE		4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 48

**Q7B. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree...: I understand what behaviors characterize a highly effective performance rating, an effective rating, a marginal rating, and an unsatisfactory rating.**

	TOTAL		CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES			
	Class.	Class.	Non- Tested	Non- Tested	Elemen-	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probat	Ten	Under	Neut	Do Not	stand	(8-10)	(4-7)	Under
	Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	High	Type	/State					ionary	-ured	stand	(8-10)	(4-7)	Under	(1-3)	stand	(1-3)
	Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch		medi-		Type	/State	(6,7- 12K12)							(8-10)	(4-7)	Under	(1-3)	stand	(1-3)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)			
(1) Strongly Disagree	415 10%	203 11%	153 DE	48 10%	9 7%	206 8%	55 11%	113 GJ	22 12%	8 6%	58 7%	86 10%	147 10%	116 10%	27 6%	358 10%	27 4%	151 6%	237 27%	QR		
(2)	450 11%	185 10%	185 D	66 12%	13 9%	229 11%	76 11%	105 10%	20 11%	8 6%	80 9%	91 11%	154 11%	122 11%	29 7%	402 11%	14 2%	218 8%	218 25%	QR		
(3)	416 10%	178 10%	142 9%	79 11%	14 10%	202 9%	74 10%	106 10%	15 8%	13 10%	72 8%	83 10%	160 11%	99 9%	31 7%	368 10%	26 3%	246 9%	144 16%	QR		
(4)	353 8%	150 8%	127 8%	66 9%	9 6%	173 8%	49 7%	106 10%	14 8%	8 6%	78 9%	64 8%	132 9%	77 7%	36 8%	302 8%	22 3%	271 10%	60 7%	QS		
(5)	652 15%	276 15%	241 16%	116 16%	17 12%	320 15%	120 17%	153 15%	21 12%	19 15%	129 15%	130 15%	201 14%	188 17%	66 16%	560 15%	71 9%	484 18%	96 11%	QS		
(6)	430 10%	186 10%	136 9%	85 12%	22 15%	209 10%	81 11%	93 9%	22 12%	16 13%	95 11%	95 11%	137 10%	102 9%	53 13%	366 10%	65 9%	327 12%	36 4%	QS		
(7)	533 12%	236 13%	196 13%	79 11%	19 13%	258 12%	106 15%	121 12%	24 13%	18 14%	110 13%	104 12%	188 13%	129 12%	56 13%	460 13%	95 13%	405 15%	31 4%	S		
(8)	515 12%	204 11%	176 11%	112 15%	20 14%	278 G	75 10%	117 11%	17 9%	20 16%	124 14%	94 11%	159 11%	137 12%	69 16%	425 12%	175 23%	316 12%	22 3%	RS		
(9)	277 6%	124 7%	90 6%	52 7%	9 6%	143 7%	49 7%	61 6%	12 7%	7 6%	68 8%	50 6%	88 6%	70 6%	34 8%	225 6%	131 17%	135 5%	11 1%	RS		
(10) Strongly Agree	196 5%	85 5%	72 5%	26 4%	11 8%	87 4%	33 5%	49 5%	11 6%	9 7%	37 4%	49 6%	57 4%	53 5%	19 4%	171 5%	121 16%	61 2%	14 2%	RS		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 48  
(Continued)

Q7B. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree...: I understand what behaviors characterize a highly effective performance rating, an effective rating, a marginal rating, and an unsatisfactory rating.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class. Teachr Tested	Class. Teachr Tested	Non-Teachr Gr&Sub	Non-SchLvl	Elemen	Middle	High	Other (6,7-12K12)	Distr. Type /State Office	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand (8-10)	Neutral (4-7)	Do Not Understand (1-3)		
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
NO RESPONSE		43	21	16	5	1	28	5	8	1	1	6	7	13	16	4	38	7	32	4	
		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	*%	
MEAN		5.22	5.17	5.13	5.42	5.69	5.23	5.33	5.09	5.18	5.75	5.53	5.22	5.09	5.19	5.74	5.18	7.26	5.35	3.08	
BASE		4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 49

Q7B. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree...: I understand what behaviors characterize a highly effective performance rating, an effective rating, a marginal rating, and an unsatisfactory rating.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION						TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class. Teachr Tested	Class. Teachr Tested	Non-Teachr Gr&Sub	Non-SchLvl	Elemen	Middle	High	Other (6,7-12K12)	Distr. Type /State Office	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand (8-10)	Neutral (4-7)	Do Not Understand (1-3)		
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) to (3)	1281	566	480	193	36	637	205	324	57	29	210	260	461	337	87	1128	67	615	599		
	30%	31%	31%	26%	25%	30%	28%	31%	32%	23%	25%	30%	32%	30%	21%	31%	9%	23%	69%		
	D	D	D	J	J	K	K	K	K	O	QR	Q	Q	O	Q	Q	Q	Q	QR		
(4) to (7)	1968	848	700	346	67	960	356	473	81	61	412	393	658	496	211	1688	253	1487	223		
	46%	46%	46%	47%	47%	45%	49%	46%	45%	48%	48%	46%	46%	45%	50%	46%	34%	56%	26%		
	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	S	QS	QS	QS	QS		
(8) to (10)	988	413	338	190	40	508	157	227	40	36	229	193	304	260	122	821	427	512	47		
	23%	22%	22%	26%	28%	24%	22%	22%	22%	28%	27%	23%	21%	23%	29%	22%	57%	19%	5%		
	C	C	C	LM	LM	LM	LM	LM	LM	LM	LM	LM	LM	LM	P	RS	S	S	S		
NO RESPONSE	43	21	16	5	1	28	5	8	1	1	6	7	13	16	4	38	7	32	4		
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	*%		
MEAN	5.22	5.17	5.13	5.42	5.69	5.23	5.33	5.09	5.18	5.75	5.53	5.22	5.09	5.19	5.74	5.18	7.26	5.35	3.08		
BC	BC	BC	BC	FH	LMN	FH	FH	FH	FH	FH	FH	FH	FH	FH	P	RS	S	S	S		
BASE	4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 50

Q7C. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: The time I am investing in completing the tasks in the EES are helping me to become a more effective teacher.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION								TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES																												
		Class.		Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen		Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probat	Ten	Under	Neut	Do Not																												
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6,7-					ionary	-ured	stand	-ral	Under	(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)																										
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch						(6,7K12)										(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)										
(1) Strongly Disagree		1914	846	727	290	42	936	342	473	77	35	331	391	652	522	135	1694	249	1070	594																													
		45%	46%	47%	40%	29%	44%	47%	46%	43%	28%	39%	46%	45%	47%	32%	46%	33%	40%	68%	QR																												
		DE	DE	E	J	J	J	J	K	J	K	K	K	K	K	O	O	O	O	Q	QR																												
(2)		617	249	230	115	21	304	115	141	23	19	121	114	217	162	58	536	81	396	138																													
		14%	13%	15%	16%	15%	14%	16%	14%	13%	15%	14%	13%	15%	15%	14%	15%	15%	11%	15%	Q																												
(3)		406	154	155	84	13	221	52	101	19	12	97	91	128	88	53	336	61	287	57																													
		9%	8%	10%	11%	B	9%	10%	7%	10%	11%	9%	11%	N	N	P	9%	8%	8%	11%	QS																												
(4)		237	94	80	52	11	114	30	70	10	9	51	39	84	63	29	197	47	164	25																													
		6%	5%	5%	7%	8%	5%	4%	7%	6%	7%	G	6%	6%	7%	7%	7%	5%	6%	6%	S																												
(5)		400	172	125	79	21	199	67	92	18	18	89	77	117	117	52	330	80	291	29																													
		9%	9%	8%	11%	15%	C	9%	9%	10%	14%	10%	9%	M	12%	P	9%	11%	11%	S																													
(6)		218	99	65	40	12	120	32	43	10	11	49	44	84	41	31	182	43	161	12																													
		5%	5%	4%	5%	8%	6%	4%	4%	6%	9%	6%	N	6%	N	4%	7%	5%	6%	S																													
(7)		199	95	61	31	9	97	33	45	11	9	50	41	61	46	24	165	59	136	4																													
		5%	5%	4%	4%	6%	5%	5%	4%	6%	7%	6%	5%	4%	4%	6%	6%	5%	5%	RS																													
(8)		163	84	45	23	10	80	33	35	5	10	42	26	53	42	22	132	72	83	8																													
		4%	5%	3%	3%	7%	4%	5%	3%	3%	8%	5%	L	3%	4%	5%	5%	4%	5%	RS																													
(9)		69	27	24	15	3	38	7	18	2	2	15	13	24	17	11	57	36	32	1																													
		2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	RS																													
(10) Strongly Agree		40	20	16	3	1	16	8	11	4	1	11	12	10	7	8	31	23	14	3																													
		1%	1%	1%	*	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	RS																													

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 50  
(Continued)

Q7C. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: The time I am investing in completing the tasks in the EES are helping me to become a more effective teacher.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Ellemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not	
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	Type	/State	(6,7-						(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)		
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	medi-	medi-	(6,7-	Office	12K12)										
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch	ate	ate													
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)
NO RESPONSE		17	8	6	2	1	8	4	3	-	1	1	5	6	4	1	15	3	12	2
		*%	*%	*%	*%	1%	*%	1%	*%		1%	*%	1%	*%	*%	*%	*%	*%	*%	*%
MEAN		2.89	2.94	2.72	2.98	3.66	2.92	2.80	2.84	3.04	3.75	3.20	2.86	2.84	2.78	3.53	2.82	3.97	2.98	1.71
BASE		4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 51

Q7C. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: The time I am investing in completing the tasks in the EES are helping me to become a more effective teacher.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION						TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS			UNDERSTANDING OF EES			
		Class. Teachr Tested Gr&Sub	Class. Teachr Tested SchLvl	Non-Teachr NonSch	Ellemen Class.	Middle Class.	High -tary Inter- medi- ate	Other (6,7- 12K12)	Distr. Type /State Office	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary ionary	Ten- ured	Under- stand- (8-10)	Neut- ral (4-7)	Do Not Stand- ard (1-3)					
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)			
(1) to (3)	2937	1249	1112	489	76	1461	509	715	119	66	549	596	997	772	246	2566	391	1753	789				
	69%	68%	72%	67%	53%	68%	70%	69%	66%	52%	64%	70%	69%	70%	58%	70%	52%	66%	90%				
	E	BDE	E	J	J	J	J	J	K	K	K	K	K	K	O		Q	QR					
(4) to (7)	1054	460	331	202	53	530	162	250	49	47	239	201	346	267	136	874	229	752	70				
	25%	25%	22%	28%	37%	25%	22%	24%	27%	37%	28%	24%	24%	24%	32%	24%	30%	28%	8%				
	C	C	BCD					FGH	LM						P		S	S					
(8) to (10)	272	131	85	41	14	134	48	64	11	13	68	51	87	66	41	220	131	129	12				
	6%	7%	6%	6%	10%	6%	7%	6%	6%	10%	8%	6%	6%	6%	10%	6%	17%	5%	1%				
															P		RS	S					
NO RESPONSE	17	8	6	2	1	8	4	3	-	1	1	5	6	4	1	15	3	12	2				
	*%	*%	*%	*%	1%	*%	1%	*%		1%	*%	1%	*%	*%	*%	*%	*%	*%	*%				
MEAN	2.89	2.94	2.72	2.98	3.66	2.92	2.80	2.84	3.04	3.75	3.20	2.86	2.84	2.78	3.53	2.82	3.97	2.98	1.71				
	C	C	BCD						FGHI	LMN					P		RS	S					
BASE	4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873				

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 52

Q7D. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree...: I am confident that the observer conducting the classroom observations will be knowledgeable of the Danielson framework and fair.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not	
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	High	Type	/State						(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)		
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch	(6,7-12K12)										
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)
(1) Strongly Disagree		519 15%	292 16%	227 15%	-	-	218 13%	100 16% F	147 17% F	39 28% FGH	1 100% FGHI	92 12%	102 14%	183 17% K	138 18% KL	29 7%	454 16% O	60 10%	234 11%	225 31% QR
(2)		363 11%	197 11%	166 11%	-	-	174 10%	62 10%	107 12%	11 8%	-	73 9%	81 11%	123 11%	84 11%	28 7%	315 11% O	28 5%	187 9% Q	148 20% QR
(3)		287 8%	141 8%	146 10%	-	-	132 8%	56 9%	75 9%	18 13%	-	48 6%	77 11% K	93 8% K	67 9% K	21 5%	252 9% O	33 6%	176 8% Q	77 11% Q
(4)		232 7%	122 7%	110 7%	-	-	109 6%	48 8%	65 8%	6 4%	-	52 7%	38 5%	94 9% LN	45 6%	25 6%	197 7%	26 5%	164 8% QS	42
(5)		447 13%	238 13%	209 14%	-	-	244 14%	82 14%	99 12%	13 9%	-	94 12%	94 13%	143 13%	112 15%	52 13%	379 13%	55 10%	311 15% QS	80 11%
(6)		237 7%	133 7%	104 7%	-	-	122 7%	50 8%	51 6%	12 9%	-	63 8%	41 6%	85 8%	47 6%	37 9%	191 7%	35 6%	173 8% S	29
(7)		320 9%	172 9%	148 10%	-	-	169 10%	52 9%	85 10%	9 7%	-	93 12% MN	81 11% MN	87 8%	59 8%	51 13% P	259 9%	55 10% S	230 11% S	34
(8)		367 11%	215 12%	152 10%	-	-	198 12% I	68 11%	86 10%	9 7%	-	102 13% M	80 11%	100 9%	83 11%	61 15% P	292 10%	90 16% RS	241 12% S	35
(9)		292 9%	164 9%	128 8%	-	-	166 10% G	43 7%	70 8%	11 8%	-	86 11% LM	55 8%	84 8%	67 9%	46 12% P	232 8%	78 14% RS	190 9% S	23
(10) Strongly Agree		306 9%	171 9%	135 9%	-	-	174 10% G	45 7%	73 8%	10 7%	-	84 11% N	66 9%	99 9%	54 7%	47 12% N	245 9%	109 19% RS	168 8% S	29

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 52  
(Continued)

Q7D. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree...: I am confident that the observer conducting the classroom observations will be knowledgeable of the Danielson framework and fair.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION						TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	Type	/State	(6,7-											
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr		medi-			(6,7-											
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch		ate			Office											
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
NO RESPONSE		12	3	9	-	-	10	1	1	-	-	2	2	4	4	1	11	3	7	2	
		*%	*%	1%			1%	*%	*%			*%	*%	*%	1%	*%	1%	*%	1%	*%	
MEAN		5.21	5.25	5.15	-	-	5.48	5.01	5.00	4.42	1.00	5.74	5.21	5.01	4.96	6.19	5.10	6.50	5.45	3.48	
BASE		3382	1848	1534	-	-	1716	607	859	138	1	789	717	1095	760	398	2827	572	2081	724	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 53

Q7D. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree...: I am confident that the observer conducting the classroom observations will be knowledgeable of the Danielson framework and fair.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION								TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class. Teachr Tested		Non-Teachr		Elemen		Middle	High	Other	Distr. Type /State (6,7-12K12)	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand (8-10)	Neutral (4-7)	Do Not Stand (1-3)	
		Gr&Sub	SchLvl	Tested	NonSch	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Office										
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) to (3)		1169	630	539	-	-	524	218	329	68	1	213	260	399	289	78	1021	121	597	450	
		35%	34%	35%			31%	36%	38%	49%	100%	27%	36%	36%	38%	20%	36%	21%	29%	62%	
(4) to (7)		1236	665	571	-	-	644	232	300	40	-	302	254	409	263	165	1026	171	878	185	
		37%	36%	37%			38%	38%	35%	29%		38%	35%	37%	35%	41%	36%	30%	42%	26%	
(8) to (10)		965	550	415	-	-	538	156	229	30	-	272	201	283	204	154	769	277	599	87	
		29%	30%	27%			31%	26%	27%	22%		34%	28%	26%	27%	39%	27%	48%	29%	12%	
NO RESPONSE		12	3	9	-	-	10	1	1	-	-	2	2	4	4	1	11	3	7	2	
		*%	*%	1%			1%	*%	*%			*%	*%	*%	1%	*%	1%	*%			
MEAN		5.21	5.25	5.15	-	-	5.48	5.01	5.00	4.42	1.00	5.74	5.21	5.01	4.96	6.19	5.10	6.50	5.45	3.48	
BASE		3382	1848	1534	-	-	1716	607	859	138	1	789	717	1095	760	398	2827	572	2081	724	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 54

Q7E. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: Bias will factor onto the classroom observations.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES				
		Class.		Class.		Non-	Non-	Elemen		Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not	
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	medi-	Type	/State	(6, 7-	Office	12K12)	(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)				
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)			
(1) Strongly Disagree		319 9%	173 9%	146 10%	-	-	181 HI	60 I	67 10%	7 8%	-	91 12%	62 9%	91 8%	71 9%	51 13%	250 P	58 9%	176 10%	84 8%	12% R		
(2)		241 7%	131 7%	110 7%	-	-	133 8%	40 7%	54 6%	10 7%	-	62 8%	46 6%	86 8%	45 6%	36 9%	194 7%	37 6%	157 8%	47 6%			
(3)		181 5%	105 6%	76 5%	-	-	93 5%	26 4%	50 6%	7 5%	-	51 6%	39 5%	56 5%	34 4%	28 7%	149 5%	36 6%	115 6%	30 4%			
(4)		128 4%	63 3%	65 4%	-	-	67 4%	21 3%	33 4%	6 4%	-	31 4%	24 3%	40 4%	33 4%	21 5%	106 4%	22 4%	83 4%	23 3%			
(5)		473 14%	255 14%	218 14%	-	-	270 H	84 14%	97 11%	17 12%	-	113 14%	92 13%	139 13%	127 17%	59 15%	394 14%	74 13%	330 16%	67 9%			
(6)		240 7%	125 7%	115 7%	-	-	138 8%	32 5%	55 6%	11 8%	-	65 8%	56 8%	64 6%	53 7%	37 9%	195 7%	23 4%	191 9%	26 4%	QS		
(7)		288 9%	171 9%	117 8%	-	-	143 8%	51 8%	85 10%	8 6%	-	62 8%	66 9%	98 9%	61 8%	28 7%	245 9%	52 9%	186 9%	50 7%			
(8)		396 12%	222 12%	174 11%	-	-	182 FI	87 14%	104 12%	12 9%	-	101 13%	90 13%	133 12%	70 9%	53 13%	324 11%	72 13%	252 12%	71 10%			
(9)		288 9%	157 8%	131 9%	-	-	141 8%	56 9%	72 8%	12 9%	-	63 8%	59 8%	103 8%	62 9%	34 9%	245 9%	51 9%	170 8%	67 9%			
(10) Strongly Agree		778 23%	426 23%	352 23%	-	-	339 20%	146 24%	229 27%	44 32%	1 100%	141 18%	173 24%	271 25%	187 25%	48 25%	679 12%	137 24%	392 19%	248 34%	QR		
NO RESPONSE		50 1%	20 1%	30 2%	-	-	29 B	4 2%	13 1%	4 2%	-	9 1%	10 1%	14 1%	17 2%	3 1%	46 2%	10 2%	29 1%	11 2%			

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 54  
(Continued)

Q7E. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: Bias will factor onto the classroom observations.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probat	Ten	Under	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-		Type	/State					ionary	-ured	stand	(8-10)	(4-7)	Under	
MEAN		Tested	Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	medi-		(6,7-	Office											
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch		ate		(12K12)												
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
MEAN		6.37	6.39	6.35	-	-	6.10	6.52	6.66	6.89	10.00	5.98	6.51	6.53	6.41	5.61	6.45	6.41	6.20	6.81	QR
BASE		3382	1848	1534	-	-	1716	607	859	138	1	789	717	1095	760	398	2827	572	2081	724	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 55

Q7E. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: Bias will factor onto the classroom observations.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not Understand		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6,7-	(6,7-	(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)	(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)			
		Tested	Tested	Non-Sch	Non-Sch	Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch	Office	(12K12)										
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) to (3)		741 22%	409 22%	332 22%	-	-	407 24%	126 21%	171 20%	24 17%	-	204 26%	147 21%	233 21%	150 20%	115 29%	593 21%	131 23%	448 22%	161 22%	
(4) to (7)		1129 33%	614 33%	515 34%	-	-	618 36%	188 31%	270 31%	42 30%	-	271 34%	238 33%	341 31%	274 36%	145 36%	940 33%	171 30%	790 38%	166 23%	
(8) to (10)		1462 43%	805 44%	657 43%	-	-	662 39%	289 48%	405 47%	68 49%	1 100%	305 39%	322 45%	507 46%	319 42%	135 34%	1248 44%	260 45%	814 39%	386 53%	
NO RESPONSE		50 1%	20 1%	30 2%	-	-	29 2%	4 1%	13 2%	4 3%	-	9 1%	10 1%	14 1%	17 2%	3 1%	46 2%	10 2%	29 1%	11 2%	
MEAN		6.37	6.39	6.35	-	-	6.10	6.52 F	6.66 F	6.89 F	10.00	5.98	6.51 K	6.53 K	6.41 K	5.61 O	6.45 O	6.41	6.20	6.81 QR	
BASE		3382	1848	1534	-	-	1716	607	859	138	1	789	717	1095	760	398	2827	572	2081	724	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 56

**Q7F.** On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: My students will put thought and effort into their Tripod Student Survey answers.

	TOTAL CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION						TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
	Class. Tested Gr&Sub	Class. Tested SchLvl	Non- Teachr NonSch	Elemen tary	Middle	High	Other Type (6,7- 12K12)	Distr. /State Office	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Under stand (8-10)	Neut ral (4-7)	Do Not Under stand (1-3)			
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) Strongly Disagree	1174 35%	561 30%	613 40%	- B	- GH	656 27%	164 32%	273 38%	52 38%	1 100%	248 31%	244 34%	398 36%	271 36%	120 30%	990 35%	169 30%	631 30%	373 52% QR	
(2)	560 17%	292 16%	268 17%	- -	- 17%	293 17%	109 18%	123 14%	24 17%	- 17%	138 18%	130 15%	163 17%	126 15%	61 17%	470 17%	66 12%	364 17%	129 18% Q	
(3)	415 12%	219 12%	196 13%	- -	- -	189 11%	87 14%	117 14%	15 11%	- -	95 12%	85 12%	145 13%	89 12%	49 12%	347 12%	61 11%	276 13%	78 11%	
(4)	226 7%	130 7%	96 6%	- -	- -	104 6%	58 10%	50 6%	12 9%	- 7%	57 8%	54 7%	74 5%	41 5%	25 6%	193 7%	37 6%	152 7% S	37 5%	
(5)	425 13%	259 14%	166 11%	- C	- -	198 12%	74 12%	136 16%	12 9%	- -	109 14%	72 10%	137 13%	105 14%	61 15%	345 12%	85 15%	285 14% S	55 8%	
(6)	159 5%	110 6%	49 3%	- C	- -	79 5%	37 6%	32 4%	6 4%	- -	39 5%	38 5%	52 5%	29 4%	24 6%	130 5%	34 6%	113 5% S	11 2%	
(7)	157 5%	114 6%	43 3%	- C	- -	71 4%	36 6%	43 5%	6 4%	- -	44 6%	37 5%	39 4%	36 5%	25 6%	130 5%	35 6%	111 5% S	11 2%	
(8)	115 3%	83 4%	32 2%	- C	- -	46 3%	24 4%	41 5%	3 2%	- -	26 3%	26 4%	39 4%	24 3%	18 5%	91 3%	34 6%	74 4% RS	7 1%	
(9)	53 2%	34 2%	19 1%	- -	- -	23 1%	9 1%	19 2%	2 1%	- -	10 1%	11 2%	16 1%	16 2%	4 1%	49 1%	21 4% RS	25 1%	6 1%	
(10) Strongly Agree	39 1%	26 1%	13 1%	- -	- -	18 1%	4 1%	13 2%	4 3%	- -	11 1%	9 1%	12 1%	7 1%	4 1%	31 1%	16 3% R	11 1%	12 2% R	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 56  
(Continued)

Q7F. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: My students will put thought and effort into their Tripod Student Survey answers.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neutral	Do Not	
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	Type	/State	(6,7-						(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)		
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	medi-	medi-	(6,7-	Office	(12K12)										
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch	ate	ate	12K12)												
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)
NO RESPONSE		59	20	39	-	-	39	5	12	2	-	12	11	20	16	7	51	14	39	5
		2%	1%	3%			2%	1%	1%	1%		2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%
		B		G												S	S	S	S	
MEAN		3.13	3.45	2.74	-	-	2.95	3.38	3.39	3.04	1.00	3.26	3.14	3.07	3.11	3.41	3.11	3.81	3.23	2.31
		C		F			F		F						P	RS	S			
BASE		3382	1848	1534	-	-	1716	607	859	138	1	789	717	1095	760	398	2827	572	2081	724

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 57

Q7F. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: My students will put thought and effort into their Tripod Student Survey answers.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not stand		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	Type	/State	(6,7-						(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)			
		Gr&Sub	Tested	Non-Sch	Teachr	Teachr	medi-	ate	(6,7-	Office											
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) to (3)	2149	1072	1077	-	-	1138	360	513	91	1	481	459	706	486	230	1807	296	1271	580		
	64%	58%	70%	B		66%	59%	60%	66%	100%	61%	64%	64%	58%	64%	52%	61%	80%	QR		
(4) to (7)	967	613	354	-	-	452	205	261	36	-	249	201	302	211	135	798	191	661	114		
	29%	33%	23%	C		26%	34%	30%	26%	32%	28%	28%	28%	34%	28%	33%	32%	32%	16%		
(8) to (10)	207	143	64	-	-	87	37	73	9	-	47	46	67	47	26	171	71	110	25		
	6%	8%	4%	C		5%	6%	8%	7%	6%	6%	6%	6%	7%	6%	12%	5%	3%	RS		
NO RESPONSE	59	20	39	-	-	39	5	12	2	-	12	11	20	16	7	51	14	39	5		
	2%	1%	3%	B		2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	S		
MEAN	3.13	3.45	2.74	-	-	2.95	3.38	3.39	3.04	1.00	3.26	3.14	3.07	3.11	3.41	3.11	3.81	3.23	2.31		
BASE	3382	1848	1534	-	-	1716	607	859	138	1	789	717	1095	760	398	2827	572	2081	724		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 58

**Q7G.** On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: The working portfolio requirement accurately reflects my professional responsibilities.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class. Teachr Tested Gr&Sub	Class. Teachr Tested SchLvl	Non- Teachr NonSch	Elemen- tary Sch	Middle Inter- medi- ate	High (6,7- 12K12)	Other Type (6,7- 12K12)	Distr. /State Office	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary (8-10)	Tenured (4-7)	Understand (8-10)	Neut- ral (4-7)	Do Not Stand (1-3)		
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)
(1) Strongly Disagree	223	-	-	200	23	116	28	44	10	18	18	37	79	88	6	213	20	131	72	
	25%			27% E	16%	29% J	25% J	26% J	14%	27%	28%	24%	25%	24%	26%	12%	23% Q	50% QR		
(2)	121	-	-	106	15	57	18	24	3	15	4	16	55	45	-	115	22	73	26	
	14%			14% E	10%	14% E	16%	14%	8%	12%	6%	12%	17% K	13% K		14%	13%	13%	18%	
(3)	104	-	-	93	11	47	14	24	6	11	7	17	37	42	3	100	8	76	20	
	12%			13% E	8%	12% E	12%	14%	15%	9%	11%	13%	11% L	12%	12%	12%	5%	14% Q	14% Q	
(4)	57	-	-	42	15	22	6	10	2	12	5	13	21	18	-	53	12	41	4	
	6%			6% H	10%	5% H	5%	6%	5%	10%	8%	10%	6%	5%		6%	7%	7%	3% S	
(5)	133	-	-	109	24	57	15	30	8	20	14	11	47	60	8	121	24	95	12	
	15%			15% H	17%	14% H	13%	18%	21%	16%	21%	8%	14% L	17% L	32%	15%	14%	17% S	8%	
(6)	63	-	-	52	11	32	12	6	2	11	5	12	22	24	1	60	24	37	2	
	7%			7% H	8% H	8% H	11% H	4%	5%	9%	8%	9%	7%	7%	4%	7%	14%	7%	1% S	
(7)	81	-	-	67	14	35	9	17	6	13	6	12	30	33	2	77	24	55	2	
	9%			9% H	10%	9% H	8%	10%	15%	10%	9%	9%	9% L	10%	8%	9%	14% S	10% S	1%	
(8)	46	-	-	33	13	22	6	7	-	11	3	6	22	15	2	44	17	27	2	
	5%			4% D	9% D	5% D	5%	4%		9%	5%	5%	7%	4%	8%	5%	10% RS	5%	1% S	
(9)	23	-	-	17	6	8	2	6	1	5	1	4	5	13	1	21	14	8	1	
	3%			2% D	4% D	2% D	2% D	4% D	3% D	4% D	2% D	3% D	2% D	4% D	4% D	4% D	3% RS	8% RS	1% D	
(10) Strongly Agree	15	-	-	8	7	5	2	2	-	6	1	1	9	4	-	15	6	9	-	
	2%			1% D	5% D	1% D	2% D	1% D		5%	2%	1%	3%	1%		2%	3% D	2% D		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 58  
(Continued)

Q7G. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: The working portfolio requirement accurately reflects my professional responsibilities.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class. Teachr Tested	Class. Teachr Tested	Non-Teachr Gr&Sub	Non-SchLvl	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr. Type /State (6,7-12K12)	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand (8-10)	Neutral (4-7)	Do Not Stand (1-3)	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)
NO RESPONSE		12	-	-	7	5	4	2	1	1	4	2	3	3	4	2	10	2	6	4
		1%			1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	3%	3%	3%	2%	1%	1%	8%	1%	1%	1%	3%
MEAN		3.86	-	-	3.69	4.73	3.69	3.80	3.76	3.82	4.75	3.94	3.70	3.89	3.88	4.35	3.85	5.20	3.86	2.21
					D						FGHI					RS		S		
BASE		878	-	-	734	144	405	114	171	39	126	66	132	330	346	25	829	173	558	145

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 59

Q7G. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: The working portfolio requirement accurately reflects my professional responsibilities.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION						TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class. Teachr Tested	Class. Teachr Tested	Non-Teachr Gr&Sub	Non-SchLvl	Elemen Class.	Middle Class.	High -tary	Other Inter- medi- ate	Distr. Type (6,7- 12K12)	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary (8-10)	Ten- ured (4-7)	Under- stand- (8-10)	Neut- ral (4-7)	Do Not Under- stand (1-3)		
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) to (3)	448	-	-	399	49	220	60	92	19	44	29	70	171	175	9	428	50	280	118		
	51%			54% E	34%	54% J	53% J	54% J	49%	35%	44%	53%	52%	51%	36%	52%	29%	50% Q	81% QR		
(4) to (7)	334	-	-	270	64	146	42	63	18	56	30	48	120	135	11	311	84	228	20		
	38%			37%	44%	36%	37%	37%	46%	44%	45%	36%	36%	39%	44%	38%	49%	41% S	14% S		
(8) to (10)	84	-	-	58	26	35	10	15	1	22	5	11	36	32	3	80	37	44	3		
	10%			8% D	18% I	9% I	9% I	9% I	3% I	17% FGHI	8%	8%	11%	9%	12%	10%	21% RS	8% S	2% S		
NO RESPONSE	12	-	-	7	5	4	2	1	1	4	2	3	3	4	2	10	2	6	4		
	1%			1% D	3% I	1% I	2% I	1% I	3% I	3% FGHI	3% FGHI	2% FGHI	1% FGHI	1% FGHI	1% FGHI	1% FGHI	1% FGHI	1% FGHI	1% FGHI		
MEAN	3.86	-	-	3.69	4.73	3.69	3.80	3.76	3.82	4.75	3.94	3.70	3.89	3.88	4.35	3.85	5.20	3.86	2.21		
BASE	878	-	-	734	144	405	114	171	39	126	66	132	330	346	25	829	173	558	145		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 60

Q7H. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: The Hawaii Growth Model is equitable across classroom teachers and non-classroom teachers.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class. Teachr Tested Gr&Sub	Class. Teachr Tested SchLvl	Non- Teachr Tested SchLvl	Non- Teachr NonSch	Elemen tary	Middle Inter- medi- ate	High (6,7- 12K12)	Other Type /State Office	Distr. (1-5 K)	1-5 273 32% 41% K	6-10 341 32% 41% K	11-20 604 425 41% K	20+ 114 1469 27% O	Probat ionary	Ten ured	Under stand (8-10) (4-7)	Neut ral (4-7)	Do Not Under stand (1-3)		
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) Strongly Disagree	1660 40%	767 42%	605 39%	288 39%	-	820 39%	308 43%	412 40%	74 43%	2 50%	273 32%	341 41%	604 44%	425 41%	114 27%	1469 42%	234 33%	904 35%	519 61%	QR	
(2)	595 14%	260 14%	216 14%	119 16%	-	324 15% I	95 13%	150 15%	18 10%	1 25%	103 12%	121 15%	189 14%	182 17%	47 11%	527 15%	64 9%	391 15%	140 16%	Q	
(3)	457 11%	185 10%	187 12%	85 12%	-	238 B	80 11%	114 11%	20 11%	-	89 10%	97 12%	156 11%	113 11%	53 13%	391 11%	70 10%	323 13%	63 QS		
(4)	256 6%	120 6%	89 6%	47 6%	-	124 6%	48 7%	71 7%	9 5%	-	70 8% MN	65 8% MN	70 5%	51 5%	29 7%	218 6%	40 6%	191 7%	24 S		
(5)	672 16%	290 16%	278 18%	104 14%	-	358 D	113 17%	155 16%	30 15%	1 17%	181 25%	128 21%	199 15%	160 15%	101 24%	536 15%	146 21%	452 18%	73 S		
(6)	142 3%	69 4%	40 3%	33 4%	-	76 4%	21 3%	38 4%	5 3%	-	47 6% LMN	19 2% LMN	41 3% LMN	35 3% LMN	27 6% P	112 3% P	47 6% RS	91 7%	4 S		
(7)	107 3%	53 3%	34 2%	20 3%	-	56 3%	18 3%	25 2%	8 5%	-	31 4% N	18 2% N	37 3% N	21 2% N	20 5% P	80 2% P	26 4% S	78 3%	2 S		
(8)	78 2%	42 2%	25 2%	11 1%	-	41 2%	11 2%	23 2%	2 1%	-	25 3% LM	11 1% LM	22 2% LM	19 2% LM	14 3% LM	58 2% RS	33 5%	36 1%	9 1%		
(9)	28 1%	13 1%	9 1%	6 1%	-	12 1%	5 1%	9 1%	2 1%	-	7 1% *	4 *% *	9 1% *	8 1% *	5 1% *	23 1% *	17 2% RS	10 2% *	1 *		
(10) Strongly Agree	34 1%	15 1%	11 1%	8 1%	-	15 1%	5 1%	9 1%	4 2%	-	6 1% *	9 1% *	13 1% *	6 1% *	3 1% *	28 1% *	10 1% *	18 1% *	6 1%		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 60  
(Continued)

Q7H. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: The Hawaii Growth Model is equitable across classroom teachers and non-classroom teachers.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class. Teachr Tested	Class. Teachr Tested	Non-Teachr Gr&Sub	Non-SchLvl	NonSch	Elemen Class.	Middle Class.	High -tary	Other Inter- medi- ate	Distr. Type (6,7- 12K12)	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Ten- ured	Under- stand (8-10)	Neut- ral (4-7)	Do Not Under- stand (1-3)
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)
NO RESPONSE		87 2%	34 2%	40 3%	13 2%	-	47 2%	15 2%	22 2%	2 1%	-	17 2%	19 2%	27 2%	23 2%	9 2%	75 2%	20 3%	53 2%	14 2%
MEAN		2.83	2.84	2.82	2.84	-	2.86	2.74	2.85	3.00	2.25	3.31 LMN	2.74	2.70	2.72	3.58 P	2.74	3.56 RS	2.94 S	1.94
BASE		4116	1848	1534	734	-	2111	719	1028	174	4	849	832	1367	1043	422	3517	707	2547	855

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 61

Q7H. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: The Hawaii Growth Model is equitable across classroom teachers and non-classroom teachers.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION						TYPE OF SCHOOL						YEARS AT DOE					UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class. Teachr Tested	Class. Teachr Tested	Non-Teachr Gr&Sub	Non-Sch SchLvl	Elemen Class.	Middle Class.	High -tary	Other Inter- medi- ate	Distr. Type (6,7- 12K12)	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Ten- ured	Under- stand (8-10)	Neut- ral (4-7)	Do Not Under- stand (1-3)		
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) to (3)	2712	1212	1008	492	-	1382	483	676	112	3	465	559	949	720	214	2387	368	1618	722		
	66%	66%	66%	67%		65%	67%	66%	64%	75%	55%	67%	69%	69%	51%	68%	52%	64%	84%		
(4) to (7)	1177	532	441	204	-	614	200	289	52	1	329	230	347	267	177	946	259	812	103		
	29%	29%	29%	28%		29%	28%	28%	30%	25%	39%	28%	25%	26%	42%	27%	37%	32%	12%		
(8) to (10)	140	70	45	25	-	68	21	41	8	-	38	24	44	33	22	109	60	64	16		
	3%	4%	3%	3%		3%	3%	4%	5%		4%	3%	3%	3%	5%	3%	8%	3%	2%		
NO RESPONSE	87	34	40	13	-	47	15	22	2	-	17	19	27	23	9	75	20	53	14		
	2%	2%	3%	2%		2%	2%	2%	1%		2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%		
MEAN	2.83	2.84	2.82	2.84	-	2.86	2.74	2.85	3.00	2.25	3.31	2.74	2.70	2.72	3.58	2.74	3.56	2.94	1.94		
BASE	4116	1848	1534	734	-	2111	719	1028	174	4	849	832	1367	1043	422	3517	707	2547	855		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 62

Q7I. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I understand the difference between proficiency and growth as determined by the Hawaii Growth Model.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION						TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	High	Type	/State						(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)			
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch	(6,7-12K12)											
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) Strongly Disagree		1014	448	412	154	-	507	167	269	49	1	180	222	348	253	78	888	71	537	406	
		25%	24%	27%	21%	D	24%	23%	26%	28%	25%	21%	27%	25%	24%	18%	25%	10%	21%	47%	
(2)		536	217	211	108	-	281	86	145	17	1	103	93	178	162	46	464	54	315	167	
		13%	12%	14%	15%	B	13%	12%	14%	10%	25%	12%	11%	13%	16%	11%	13%	8%	12%	20%	
(3)		464	213	167	84	-	248	75	118	15	-	75	95	168	123	42	409	46	316	100	
		11%	12%	11%	11%		12%	10%	11%	9%		9%	11%	12%	12%	10%	12%	7%	12%	12%	
(4)		293	135	108	50	-	146	48	82	12	-	62	62	93	76	30	255	45	210	38	
		7%	7%	7%	7%		7%	7%	8%	7%		7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	6%	8%	4%	
(5)		597	278	228	91	-	303	91	167	21	-	127	111	207	148	66	504	100	438	57	
		15%	15%	15%	12%		14%	13%	16%	12%		15%	13%	15%	14%	16%	14%	14%	17%	7%	
(6)		285	145	100	40	-	134	64	67	14	1	88	56	92	48	47	227	57	206	21	
		7%	8%	7%	5%	D	6%	9%	7%	8%	25%	10%	7%	7%	5%	11%	6%	8%	8%	2%	
(7)		278	123	88	67	-	150	54	56	12	-	57	59	90	71	35	226	67	195	16	
		7%	7%	6%	9%	BC	7%	8%	5%	7%		7%	7%	7%	8%	6%	9%	8%	9%	2%	
(8)		289	141	99	49	-	163	55	56	10	1	74	61	82	71	34	242	110	159	19	
		7%	8%	6%	7%		8%	8%	5%	6%	25%	9%	7%	6%	7%	8%	7%	16%	6%	2%	
(9)		158	67	49	42	-	82	37	28	8	-	40	31	48	38	24	130	71	76	11	
		4%	4%	3%	6%	BC	4%	5%	3%	5%		5%	4%	4%	4%	6%	4%	10%	3%	1%	
(10) Strongly Agree		150	58	51	41	-	68	36	32	9	-	35	33	45	36	15	128	80	59	11	
		4%	3%	3%	6%	BC	3%	5%	3%	5%		4%	4%	3%	3%	4%	4%	11%	2%	1%	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 62  
(Continued)

Q7I. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I understand the difference between proficiency and growth as determined by the Hawaii Growth Model.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probat	Ten	Under	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	Type	/State	(6,7-					ionary	-ured	stand	-ral	Under		
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	medi-	Office	(6,7-									(8-10)	(4-7)	Stand		
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch	ate		12K12)									(1-3)				
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
NO RESPONSE		52	23	21	8	-	29	6	8	7	-	8	9	16	17	5	44	6	36	9	
		1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	1%	4%		1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
MEAN		4.07	4.11	3.88	4.36	-	4.08	4.36	3.81	4.14	4.25	4.43	4.06	3.95	3.94	4.58	4.01	5.89	4.10	2.45	
		C	BC	H	FH					LMN					P	RS	S				
BASE		4116	1848	1534	734	-	2111	719	1028	174	4	849	832	1367	1043	422	3517	707	2547	855	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 63

Q7I. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I understand the difference between proficiency and growth as determined by the Hawaii Growth Model.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION						TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class. Teachr Tested	Class. Teachr Tested	Non-Teachr Gr&Sub	Non-Sch	Elemen SchLvl	Middle NonSch	High 12K12)	Other Office	Distr. (6,7-	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand (8-10)	Neut (4-7)	Do Not Stand (1-3)		
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) to (3)		2014 49%	878 48%	790 51%	346 47%	-	1036 49%	328 46%	532 52%	81 47%	2 50%	358 42%	410 49%	694 51%	538 52%	166 39%	1761 50%	171 O	1168 24%	673 46%	79% QR
B									G				K	K							
(4) to (7)		1453 35%	681 37%	524 34%	248 34%	-	733 35%	257 36%	372 36%	59 34%	1 25%	334 39%	288 35%	482 35%	343 33%	178 42%	1212 34%	269 38%	1049 41%	132 15%	
												LN				P		S	S		
(8) to (10)		597 15%	266 14%	199 13%	132 18%	-	313 BC	128 H	116 H	27 16%	1 25%	149 18%	125 15%	175 13%	145 14%	73 17%	500 14%	261 37%	294 12%	41 5%	
												MN				RS		S			
NO RESPONSE		52 1%	23 1%	21 1%	8 1%	-	29 1%	6 1%	8 1%	7 4%	-	8 1%	9 1%	16 1%	17 2%	5 1%	44 1%	6 1%	36 1%	9 1%	
												GH									
MEAN		4.07 C	4.11	3.88	4.36 BC	-	4.08 H	4.36 FH	3.81	4.14	4.25	4.43 LMN	4.06	3.95	3.94	4.58 P	4.01	5.89 RS	4.10 S	2.45	
BASE		4116	1848	1534	734	-	2111	719	1028	174	4	849	832	1367	1043	422	3517	707	2547	855	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 64

Q7J. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I am confident that administrators will be data driven and objective when assigning SLO ratings.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION						TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class. Teachr Tested	Class. Teachr Tested	Non-Teachr Gr&Sub	Non-Sch SchLvl	Elemen tary	Middle Inter- medi- ate	High (6,7- 12K12)	Other Type Office	Distr. (6,7- 12K12)	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Ten- ured	Under- stand (8-10)	Neut- ral (4-7)	Do Not Under- stand (1-3)		
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) Strongly Disagree		841 20%	364 20%	277 18%	159 22%	34 C	342 16%	162 22%	244 F	43 F	30 24%	117 F	172 24%	295 20%	247 21%	45 11%	755 21%	104 14%	385 15%	351 40% QR	
(2)		493 12%	202 11%	181 12%	98 13%	12 8%	255 12%	82 11%	115 J	26 J	8 15%	77 J	95 6%	175 9%	145 11%	27 12%	446 13%	52 6%	282 12%	159 7% 11% Q	
(3)		397 9%	157 8%	156 10%	70 10%	14 10%	198 9%	60 8%	99 10%	16 9%	15 12%	78 9%	77 9%	141 10%	99 9%	37 9%	344 9%	43 6%	275 10% Q	79 9% Q	
(4)		281 7%	138 7%	103 5%	34 4%	6 7%	139 7%	51 7%	70 7%	12 7%	5 4%	75 9%	62 7%	89 6%	54 5%	34 8%	235 6%	33 4%	200 8% QS	47	
(5)		738 17%	312 17%	269 18%	123 17%	31 22%	374 18%	111 15%	172 17%	30 17%	26 20%	142 17%	144 17%	251 17%	193 17%	73 17%	628 17%	104 14%	520 20% QS	112 13%	
(6)		282 7%	132 7%	107 5%	36 4%	6 7%	148 8%	56 6%	61 5%	9 5%	6 5%	69 8%	61 7%	81 6%	71 6%	30 7%	244 7%	45 6%	209 8% S	28	
(7)		394 9%	180 10%	132 9%	67 9%	12 8%	189 9%	66 9%	105 10%	17 9%	11 9%	91 11%	67 8%	134 9%	102 9%	56 13%	326 9%	93 12%	275 10% S	24	
(8)		412 10%	180 10%	142 9%	73 10%	13 9%	229 11%	71 10%	80 8%	13 7%	11 9%	108 13%	83 10%	124 9%	97 9%	61 14%	334 9%	132 18% RS	257 10% S	23	
(9)		227 5%	98 5%	85 6%	37 5%	6 4%	141 7%	29 4%	43 4%	5 3%	6 5%	53 6%	47 5%	75 5%	51 5%	33 8%	184 5%	75 10% RS	131 5% S	20	
(10) Strongly Agree		174 4%	70 4%	62 4%	32 4%	9 6%	101 5%	26 4%	33 3%	5 3%	8 6%	41 5%	37 4%	53 4%	42 4%	24 6%	145 4%	63 8% RS	87 3% 3%	24	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 64  
(Continued)

Q7J. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I am confident that administrators will be data driven and objective when assigning SLO ratings.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class. Teachr Tested	Class. Teachr Tested	Non-Teachr Gr&Sub	Non-SchLvl	NonSch	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr. Type /State (6,7-12K12)	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand (8-10)	Neutral (4-7)	Do Not Stand (1-3)	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
NO RESPONSE		41 1%	15 1%	20 1%	5 1%	1 1%	17 1%	9 1%	10 1%	3 2%	1 1%	6 1%	8 1%	18 1%	8 1%	4 1%	34 1%	10 1%	25 1%	6 1%	
MEAN		4.57	4.60	4.59	4.44	4.52	4.82 GHI	4.41	4.27	4.05	4.57	5.07 LMN	4.56	4.44	4.37	5.50 P	4.47	5.74 RS	4.75 S	2.99	
BASE		4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 65

Q7J. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I am confident that administrators will be data driven and objective when assigning SLO ratings.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION						TYPE OF SCHOOL						YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class. Teachr Tested	Class. Teachr Tested	Non-Teachr Gr&Sub	Non-SchLvl	Elemen	Middle	High	Other (6,7-12K12)	Distr. Type /State Office	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand (8-10)	Neutral (4-7)	Do Not Stand (1-3)				
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)			
(1) to (3)	1731	723	614	327	60	795	304	458	85	53	272	344	611	491	109	1545	199	942	589				
	40%	39%	40%	45%	42%	37%	42%	44%	47%	42%	32%	40%	43%	44%	26%	42%	26%	36%	67% QR				
(4) to (7)	1695	762	611	260	55	850	284	408	68	48	377	334	555	420	193	1433	275	1204	211				
	40%	41%	40%	35%	38%	40%	39%	40%	38%	38%	44%	39%	39%	38%	46%	39%	36%	46%	24% QS				
(8) to (10)	813	348	289	142	28	471	126	156	23	25	202	167	252	190	118	663	270	475	67				
	19%	19%	19%	19%	19%	22%	17%	15%	13%	20%	24%	20%	18%	17%	28%	18%	36%	18%	8% RS				
NO RESPONSE	41	15	20	5	1	17	9	10	3	1	6	8	18	8	4	34	10	25	6 1%				
MEAN	4.57	4.60	4.59	4.44	4.52	4.82	4.41	4.27	4.05	4.57	5.07	4.56	4.44	4.37	5.50	4.47	5.74	4.75	2.99 RS				
BASE	4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873				

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 66

Q7K. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I have applied the EES information towards improving my instructional practice.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES			
		Class. Teachr Tested Gr&Sub	Class. Teachr Tested SchLvl	Non-Teachr Tested NonSch	Non-Teachr Tested NonSch	Elemen tary 12K12)	Middle Inter- medi- ate	High 12K12)	Other (6, 7- 12K12)	Distr. /State Office	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary (8-10)	Tenured (4-7)	Understand (1-3)	Neutral (4-7)	Do Not Understand (1-3)			
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)		
(1) Strongly Disagree		608 18%	340 18%	268 17%	-	-	255 15%	128 21%	181 21%	31 22%	-	122 15%	134 19%	201 18%	144 19%	52 13%	525 19%	74 13%	292 14%	242 33%	QR	
(2)		335 10%	161 9%	174 11%	-	-	166 B	59 10%	89 10%	8 6%	-	72 9%	60 8%	128 12%	74 10%	28 7%	294 10%	25 4%	169 8%	141 19%	QR	
(3)		332 10%	168 9%	164 11%	-	-	183 11%	57 9%	76 9%	10 7%	-	65 8%	79 11%	117 11%	70 9%	31 8%	291 10%	33 6%	209 10%	89 12%	QQ	
(4)		235 7%	124 7%	111 7%	-	-	118 7%	46 8%	57 7%	11 8%	-	54 7%	42 6%	88 8%	49 6%	30 8%	200 7%	23 4%	167 8%	44 6%	Q	
(5)		508 15%	294 16%	214 14%	-	-	266 16%	91 15%	115 13%	27 20%	-	137 17%	110 15%	148 14%	110 14%	70 18%	402 14%	71 12%	351 17%	85 12%	QS	
(6)		341 10%	176 10%	165 11%	-	-	173 10%	63 10%	92 11%	11 8%	-	91 12%	75 10%	100 9%	74 10%	52 13%	278 10%	44 8%	256 12%	40 6%	QS	
(7)		371 11%	217 12%	154 10%	-	-	195 I	60 11%	104 10%	8 12%	1 6%	84 100%	83 12%	116 11%	87 11%	44 11%	308 11%	72 13%	269 13%	30 4%	S	
(8)		332 10%	203 11%	129 8%	-	-	179 10%	60 10%	70 8%	16 12%	-	84 11%	75 10%	91 8%	79 10%	40 10%	275 10%	102 18%	209 10%	21 3%	RS	
(9)		156 5%	79 4%	77 5%	-	-	97 6%	18 3%	37 4%	3 2%	-	44 6%	25 3%	50 5%	37 5%	30 8%	120 4%	58 10%	87 4%	10 1%	RS	
(10) Strongly Agree		124 4%	65 4%	59 4%	-	-	65 4%	17 3%	31 4%	8 6%	-	29 4%	30 4%	38 3%	26 3%	16 4%	102 4%	61 11%	46 2%	17 2%	RS	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 66  
(Continued)

Q7K. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I have applied the EES information towards improving my instructional practice.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-		Type	/State	(6,7-	(6,7-	(6,7-	(6,7-	(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)	(1-3)	(1-3)		
		Tested	Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	medi-	ate	(6,7-	Office	(12K12)										
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch																
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
NO RESPONSE		40	21	19	-	-	19	8	7	5	-	7	4	18	10	5	32	9	26	5	
		1%	1%	1%			1%	1%	1%	4%		1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	
MEAN		4.70	4.76	4.62	-	-	4.89	4.45	4.55	4.61	7.00	4.93	4.72	4.52	4.70	5.19	4.62	6.01	4.87	3.16	
BASE		3382	1848	1534	-	-	1716	607	859	138	1	789	717	1095	760	398	2827	572	2081	724	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 67

Q7K. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I have applied the EES information towards improving my instructional practice.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	Type	/State	(6,7-						(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)			
(1) to (3)	1275 38%	669 36%	606 40%	- B	- B	604 35%	244 F	346 F	49 36%	- 33%	259 K	273 K	446 K	288 K	111 28%	1110 39%	132 O	670 23%	472 32%		
(4) to (7)	1455 43%	811 44%	644 42%	- -	- -	752 44%	260 43%	368 43%	57 41%	1 100% FGHI	366 M	310 46%	452 43%	320 41%	196 42%	1188 49%	210 P	1043 42%	199 37%		
(8) to (10)	612 18%	347 19%	265 17%	- -	- -	341 20% GH	95 16%	138 16%	27 20%	- 20%	157 M	130 18%	179 16%	142 19%	86 22%	497 18%	221 18%	342 39%	48 16%		
NO RESPONSE	40 1%	21 1%	19 1%	- -	- -	19 1%	8 1%	7 1%	5 4%	- 1%	7 1%	4 1%	18 2%	10 1%	5 1%	32 1%	9 1%	26 2%	5 1%		
MEAN	4.70	4.76	4.62	-	-	4.89 GH	4.45	4.55	4.61	7.00	4.93 M	4.72	4.52	4.70	5.19 P	4.62	6.01 RS	4.87 S	3.16		
BASE	3382	1848	1534	-	-	1716	607	859	138	1	789	717	1095	760	398	2827	572	2081	724		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 68

Q7L. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I have applied the EES information toward improving student growth and learning.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES			
		Class. Teachr Tested Gr&Sub	Class. Teachr Tested SchLvl	Non-Teachr Tested NonSch	Non-Teachr Tested NonSch	Elemen- tary FJ	Middle Inter- medi- ate I	High FJ	Other Type (6, 7- 12K12) FJ	Distr. /State Office I	1-5 14% K	6-10 16% K	11-20 20% K	20+ 18% K	Probationary (8-10) O	Tenured (4-7) O	Understand (1-3) Q	Neut- ral (4-7) Q	Do Not Under- stand (1-3) QR			
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)		
(1) Strongly Disagree		798 19%	345 19%	280 18%	148 20%	22 15%	332 16%	164 23%	222 22%	41 23%	18 14%	136 16%	171 20%	265 18%	219 20%	53 13%	711 19%	89 12%	394 15%	314 15% QR		
(2)		436 10%	164 9%	163 11%	98 13%	11 8%	222 BE	73 I	109 10%	6 3%	10 8%	85 10%	78 9%	161 11%	111 10%	39 9%	380 10%	39 5%	230 9%	167 19% QR		
(3)		395 9%	159 9%	160 10%	62 8%	13 9%	206 10%	67 9%	86 8%	20 11%	12 9%	63 7%	79 9%	147 10%	105 9%	29 7%	354 10%	47 6%	238 9%	109 12% QR		
(4)		310 7%	130 7%	122 8%	48 7%	9 6%	143 7%	55 8%	83 8%	15 8%	8 6%	65 8%	64 8%	113 8%	65 6%	34 8%	269 7%	33 4%	220 8%	56 6% Q		
(5)		683 16%	306 17%	222 14%	127 17%	25 17%	356 17%	115 16%	146 14%	32 18%	22 17%	158 18%	128 15%	211 15%	181 16%	79 19%	567 15%	90 12%	497 19%	94 11% QS		
(6)		409 10%	165 9%	164 11%	66 9%	12 8%	214 10%	67 9%	105 10%	10 6%	9 7%	92 11%	90 11%	128 11%	98 9%	51 9%	340 12%	62 8%	309 12% QS	37		
(7)		451 11%	215 12%	148 10%	70 10%	13 9%	229 11%	68 9%	115 11%	21 12%	13 10%	78 9%	92 11%	147 10%	133 12%	40 9%	398 11%	95 13%	324 12% S	32		
(8)		415 10%	202 11%	128 8%	61 8%	21 15%	227 CD	67 11%	79 9%	16 9%	20 16%	96 11%	79 9%	136 9%	100 9%	44 10%	345 9%	135 18%	258 10% RS	21		
(9)		198 5%	88 5%	81 5%	23 3%	5 3%	120 6%	22 3%	41 4%	7 4%	4 3%	48 6%	31 4%	66 5%	53 5%	34 8%	156 4%	80 11%	105 11% RS	13 1%		
(10) Strongly Agree		147 3%	56 3%	59 4%	22 3%	9 6%	72 3%	17 2%	36 3%	9 5%	7 6%	30 4%	32 4%	52 4%	32 3%	17 4%	123 3%	72 10%	52 2%	23 3% RS		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 68  
(Continued)

Q7L. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I have applied the EES information toward improving student growth and learning.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probat	Ten	Under	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-		Type	/State					ionary	-ured	stand	(8-10)	(4-7)	Under	
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr		medi-		(6,7-	Office										Stand	
		Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch		ate		12K12)											(1-3)	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
NO RESPONSE		38	18	7	9	4	12	8	10	2	4	6	9	10	12	4	32	12	19	7	
		1%	1%	*%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	
MEAN		4.64	4.73	4.61	4.36	5.07	4.83	4.32	4.46	4.64	5.10	4.87	4.59	4.58	4.59	5.15	4.57	5.99	4.79	3.04	
BASE		4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 69

Q7L. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I have applied the EES information toward improving student growth and learning.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not		
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	medi-	Type	/State	(6,7-	(6,7-	(6,7-	(6,7-	(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)	(1-3)	(1-3)		
		Tested	Tested	Non-Sch	Non-Sch	Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch	12K12)											
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) to (3)		1629	668	603	308	46	760	304	417	67	40	284	328	573	435	121	1445	175	862	590	
		38%	36%	39%	42%	32%	36%	42%	40%	37%	31%	33%	38%	40%	39%	29%	39%	23%	33%	68%	
					BE		FJ		FJ			K	K	K		O		Q		QR	
(4) to (7)		1853	816	656	311	59	942	305	449	78	52	393	374	599	477	204	1574	280	1350	219	
		43%	44%	43%	42%	41%	44%	42%	44%	44%	41%	46%	44%	42%	43%	48%	43%	37%	51%	25%	
(8) to (10)		760	346	268	106	35	419	106	156	32	31	174	142	254	185	95	624	287	415	57	
		18%	19%	17%	14%	24%	20%	15%	15%	18%	24%	20%	17%	18%	17%	22%	17%	38%	16%	7%	
		D			D		GH				GH	N				P		RS		S	
NO RESPONSE		38	18	7	9	4	12	8	10	2	4	6	9	10	12	4	32	12	19	7	
		1%	1%	*%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	
MEAN		4.64	4.73	4.61	4.36	5.07	4.83	4.32	4.46	4.64	5.10	4.87	4.59	4.58	4.59	5.15	4.57	5.99	4.79	3.04	
BASE		4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 70

Q7M. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I have applied the EES information toward improving my professional practice.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class. Teachr Tested Gr&Sub	Class. Teachr Tested	Non-Teachr SchLvl	Non-Teachr NonSch	Elemen- tary	Middle Inter- mediate	High medi- ate	Other (6, 7- 12K12)	Distr. /State Office	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary (8-10)	Tenured (4-7)	Understand (1-3)	Neut- ral (4-7)	Do Not Under- stand (1-3)		
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) Strongly Disagree		825 19%	369 20%	300 20%	132 18%	21 15%	363 17%	163 FJ	224 FJ	36 20%	18 14%	146 17%	175 21%	266 19%	230 21%	57 13%	734 20%	96 13%	413 16%	315 36% QR	
(2)		448 10%	176 10%	173 11%	86 12%	13 9%	228 11%	72 I	105 10%	12 7%	12 9%	83 10%	74 9%	172 12%	116 10%	40 9%	386 11%	38 5%	246 9%	164 19% QR	
(3)		394 9%	159 9%	162 11%	58 8%	14 10%	212 10%	60 8%	87 8%	19 11%	12 9%	71 8%	79 9%	141 10%	102 9%	31 7%	354 10%	37 5%	250 9%	106 12% QR	
(4)		290 7%	124 7%	105 7%	57 8%	3 2%	136 6%	55 J	77 J	16 9%	3 2%	51 6%	59 7%	114 8%	64 6%	29 7%	253 7%	29 4%	194 7%	67 8% Q	
(5)		694 16%	299 16%	241 16%	127 17%	24 17%	355 17%	125 17%	150 15%	30 17%	22 17%	153 18%	148 17%	211 15%	178 16%	74 17%	582 16%	101 13%	494 19%	99 11% QS	
(6)		376 9%	147 8%	151 10%	65 9%	12 8%	197 9%	60 8%	91 9%	17 9%	7 6%	91 11%	81 9%	114 8%	89 8%	50 12%	311 8%	60 8%	285 11% QS	28	
(7)		442 10%	208 11%	135 9%	77 10%	17 12%	213 10%	70 10%	119 12%	17 9%	17 13%	81 9%	89 10%	149 11%	122 11%	46 10%	379 11%	90 12%	317 12% S	33	
(8)		425 10%	205 11%	125 8%	72 10%	19 13%	226 11%	67 9%	93 9%	17 9%	17 13%	101 12%	75 9%	132 10%	114 11%	47 10%	359 10%	135 18% RS	272 10% S	18	
(9)		198 5%	82 4%	71 5%	33 4%	11 8%	113 5%	24 3%	44 4%	3 2%	10 8%	42 5%	34 4%	70 5%	52 5%	28 7%	159 4%	85 11%	100 4% RS	13	
(10) Strongly Agree		156 4%	66 4%	58 4%	23 3%	8 6%	75 4%	23 3%	37 4%	9 5%	7 6%	33 4%	33 4%	58 4%	31 3%	20 5%	129 4%	73 10%	58 2%	25 3% RS	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 70  
(Continued)

Q7M. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I have applied the EES information toward improving my professional practice.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class. Teachr Tested Gr&Sub	Class. Teachr Tested SchLvl	Non- Teachr NonSch	Non- Teachr NonSch	Elemen- tary Tested	Middle Teachr medi- ate	High Teachr 12K12)	Other (6,7- 12K12)	Distr. /State Office	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probat ionary (8-10)	Ten- ured (4-7)	Under stand (1-3)	Neut- ral (4-7)	Do Not Under- stand (1-3)		
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
NO RESPONSE		32 1%	13 1%	13 1%	4 1%	2 1%	15 1%	4 1%	5 *%	3 2%	2 2%	5 1%	6 1%	9 1%	11 1%	2 *%	29 1%	10 1%	17 1%	5 1%	
MEAN		4.62	4.67	4.49	4.62	5.23 BCD	4.73 GH	4.40	4.52	4.57	5.26 FGHI	4.84 LMN	4.58	4.58	4.55	5.13 P	4.55	6.01 RS	4.75 S	3.03	
BASE		4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873	

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 71

Q7M. On the scale of 1 to 10 where 10=strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree, please indicate your level of agreement: I have applied the EES information toward improving my professional practice.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION					TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class. Teachr Tested	Class. Teachr Tested	Non-Teachr Gr&Sub	Non-Teachr Tested	Non-Sch Lvl	Elemen try	Middle Inter- medi- ate	High (6,7- 12K12)	Other Type (6,7- Office	Distr. /State	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary (8-10)	Tenured (4-7)	Understand (1-3)	Neut- ral (4-7)	Do Not Understand (1-3)	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	
(1) to (3)	1667 39%	704 38%	635 41%	276 38%	48 33%	803 38%	295 41%	416 40%	67 37%	42 33%	300 35%	328 38%	579 40%	448 40%	128 30%	1474 40%	171 23%	909 34%	585 67% QR		
(4) to (7)	1802 42%	778 42%	632 41%	326 44%	56 39%	901 42%	310 43%	437 42%	80 45%	49 39%	376 44%	377 44%	588 41%	453 41%	199 47%	1525 41%	280 37%	1290 49%	227 26% QS		
(8) to (10)	779 18%	353 19%	254 17%	128 17%	38 26%	414 CD	114 19%	174 16%	29 17%	34 16%	176 27%	142 21%	260 17%	197 18%	95 22%	647 18%	293 18%	430 39%	56 16% RS		
NO RESPONSE	32 1%	13 1%	13 1%	4 1%	2 1%	15 1%	4 1%	5 *%	3 2%	2 2%	5 1%	6 1%	9 1%	11 1%	2 *%	29 1%	10 1%	17 1%	5 1% 1%		
MEAN	4.62	4.67	4.49	4.62	5.23 BCD	4.73 GH	4.40	4.52	4.57	5.26 FGHI	4.84 LMN	4.58	4.58	4.55	5.13 P	4.55	6.01 RS	4.75 S	3.03		
BASE	4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873		

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 72

## Q8. How can DOE/HSTA better prepare you for the EES?

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION								TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES			
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not					
		Teachr Tested Gr&Sub	Teachr Tested SchLvl	Class. Non- Tested NonSch	Class. Non- Tested NonSch	-tary	Inter-	Mediate	Type (6, 7- 12K12)	/State Office					(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)							
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)				
Time it takes/too much time/takes time away from teaching/waste of time/too much work	169 37%	76 40%	61 37%	25 30%	7 33%	82 38%	35 38%	33 32%	9 43%	5 28%	22 30%	37 39%	63 41%	45 33%	6 21%	155 38% O	25 35%	105 36%	39 39%					
Training is needed/more training	111 24%	46 24%	36 22%	24 29%	5 24%	57 27%	23 25%	21 20%	4 19%	5 28%	21 29%	20 21%	40 26%	30 22%	9 32%	97 24%	8 11%	68 24% Q	35 35% QR					
I need more information/it's not clear as to what they want	83 18%	25 13%	36 22%	18 22%	4 19%	44 20%	16 17%	15 14%	4 19%	3 17%	16 22%	15 16%	22 14%	30 22%	4 14%	74 18%	10 14%	60 21%	13 13%					
Useless/subjective/waste of money/cannot be taken seriously/not fair/data is not valid/questions are ridiculous/outcomes can be manipulated/does not help students/hurts students/does not help teachers/hurts teachers	81 18%	37 19%	34 21%	7 9%	3 14%	36 17%	20 22%	20 19%	1 5%	2 11%	7 10%	25 26%	31 20%	18 13%	2 7%	75 18% O	12 17%	51 18%	18 18%					
All information should have been presented at the beginning of the school year/decide what you want before you start	67 15%	24 13%	28 17%	11 13%	4 19%	36 17%	12 13%	17 16%	-	2 11%	10 14%	14 15%	24 16%	19 14%	2 7%	63 15%	8 11%	41 14%	18 18%					
Slower implementation/implement one thing at a time/too much too fast	61 13%	27 14%	15 9%	15 18%	4 19%	33 15%	9 10%	11 11%	4 19%	2 11%	8 11%	10 10%	26 17%	17 13%	1 4%	58 14% O	8 11%	42 15%	11 11%					
Examples/videos/modeling should be provided	56 12%	18 9%	22 13%	13 16%	3 14%	34 16% GH	6 6%	8 8%	3 14%	3 17%	11 15%	13 14%	15 10%	16 12%	5 18%	48 12%	7 10%	37 13%	12 12%					
Trainers should be fully versed in what they are training	47 10%	20 10%	16 10%	11 13%	-	26 12%	9 10%	11 11%	1 5%	-	8 11%	10 10%	15 10%	14 10%	2 7%	43 10%	4 6%	28 10%	15 15% Q					

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 72  
(Continued)

Q8. How can DOE/HSTA better prepare you for the EES?

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION										TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE				UNDERSTANDING OF EES			
		Class. Teachr Tested Gr&Sub	Class. Teachr Tested SchLvl	Non- Teachr Tested NonSch	Non- Teachr Tested NonSch	Elemen- tary	Middle	High	Other	Distr. /State Type (6, 7- 12K12)	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Ten- ured	Under- stand (8-10)	Neut- ral (4-7)	Do Not Under- stand (1-3)				
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)			
Consistent expectations from school to school/among administrators	41 9%	10 5%	23 14%	6 7%	2 10%	18 8%	7 8%	10 10%	3 14%	2 11%	8 11%	6 6%	17 11%	10 7%	3 11%	37 9%	5 7%	30 10%	6 6%				
Stop changing it/there's conflicting information	39 8%	10 5%	19 12%	9 11%	1 5%	24 11%	5 5%	8 8%	1 5%	1 6%	7 10%	9 9%	16 10%	7 5%	3 11%	34 8%	4 6%	24 8%	11 11%				
Competent/trained administrators/observers	37 8%	11 6%	16 10%	9 11%	1 5%	20 9%	5 5%	6 6%	4 19%	1 6%	8 11%	5 5%	10 6%	14 10%	- -	34 8%	3 4%	26 9%	8 8%				
Something relevant to my situation (SPED, ELL, NCT, discipline, grade, etc.)	34 7%	9 5%	12 7%	9 11%	4 19%	11 5%	10 11%	8 8%	1 5%	4 22%	4 5%	9 9%	9 6%	12 9%	2 7%	29 7%	5 7%	23 8%	6 6%				
Creates stress/frustration/burn out/is driving teachers away	29 6%	16 8%	9 5%	4 5%	- -	18 8%	4 4%	6 6%	- -	- -	4 5%	9 9%	6 4%	10 7%	2 7%	26 6%	5 7%	17 6%	7 7%				
I am a professional/Why do I have to prove it?/Treat me like the professional I am	23 5%	11 6%	7 4%	5 6%	- -	14 7%	6 6%	3 3%	- -	- -	5 7%	3 3%	9 6%	5 4%	1 4%	21 5%	1 1%	18 6%	4 4%				
Don't do it/it's not needed	23 5%	7 4%	11 7%	4 5%	1 5%	7 3%	4 4%	9 9%	3 14%	- -	2 3%	6 6%	6 4%	9 7%	- -	20 5%	3 4%	12 4%	8 8%				
It shouldn't be part of teacher evaluations/use different evaluation methods	19 4%	5 3%	7 4%	6 7%	1 5%	9 4%	6 6%	3 3%	- -	1 6%	2 3%	4 4%	11 7%	2 1%	1 4%	17 4%	3 4%	14 5%	2 2%				
More support from administration/resources/funding	17 4%	9 5%	4 2%	3 4%	1 5%	6 3%	6 6%	3 3%	1 5%	1 6%	3 4%	2 2%	4 3%	8 6%	1 4%	15 4%	2 3%	11 4%	4 4%				
Timely/specific/unbiased/constructive feedback should be provided	14 3%	7 4%	3 2%	4 5%	- -	6 3%	3 3%	3 3%	2 10%	- -	3 4%	1 1%	4 3%	6 4%	2 7%	10 2%	- -	12 4%	2 2%				
There should only be one observation/SLO/Tripod survey/evaluation	13 3%	4 2%	8 5%	1 1%	- -	8 4%	2 2%	3 3%	- -	- -	2 3%	2 2%	6 4%	3 2%	- -	12 3%	2 3%	9 3%	2 2%				

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 72  
(Continued)

Q8. How can DOE/HSTA better prepare you for the EES?

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION										TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE				UNDERSTANDING OF EES			
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not				
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	High	Other	/State					(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)						
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch	(6, 7-12K12)													
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)			
This takes too much time for principals/administrators		12	8	2	2	-	7	3	2	-	-	-	3	6	3	-	12	5	5	2			
		3%	4%	1%	2%		3%	3%	2%				3%	4%	2%		3%	7%	2%	2%			
Practice/experience/implementation		11	5	5	-	1	8	-	2	-	-	3	2	4	2	2	8	-	8	3			
		2%	3%	3%		5%	4%		2%			4%	2%	3%	1%	7%	2%		3%	3%			
Students do not understand the Tripod Survey questions/the meaning behind the questions/need better questions/poor design		11	7	4	-	-	9	1	1	-	-	-	2	5	4	-	11	5	5	1			
		2%	4%	2%			4%	1%	1%				2%	3%	3%		3%	7%	2%	1%			
Other factors outside of school (home issues, parents, socio-economic, transiency) affect students/ I am not the only one responsible		11	6	3	1	1	6	3	1	-	1	1	1	7	2	-	10	-	9	2			
		2%	3%	2%	1%	5%	3%	3%	1%		6%	1%	1%	5%	1%		2%		3%	2%			
Need timelines/deadlines		11	5	4	2	-	6	2	2	1	-	2	1	5	3	-	10	1	8	2			
		2%	3%	2%	2%		3%	2%	2%	5%		3%	1%	3%	2%		2%	1%	3%	2%			
Teachers should have a say/choice about evaluations/listen to us		10	5	4	1	-	4	4	2	-	-	-	4	4	2	-	10	-	7	3			
		2%	3%	2%	1%		2%	4%	2%				4%	3%	1%		2%		2%	3%			
Professional Development is needed		9	5	3	-	1	3	3	2	-	-	2	4	2	1	2	6	1	7	1			
		2%	3%	2%		5%	1%	3%	2%			3%	4%	1%	1%	7%	1%	1%	2%	1%			
Pay me for this extra time		9	2	7	-	-	4	3	2	-	-	2	1	4	2	1	8	-	4	5			
		2%	1%	4%			2%	3%	2%			3%	1%	3%	1%	4%	2%		1%	5%			
Observations/evaluations should be done once every 2-5 years/not every year		8	1	7	-	-	5	1	2	-	-	1	1	3	3	-	8	-	5	3			
		2%	1%	4%		B	2%	1%	2%			1%	1%	2%	2%		2%		2%	3%			
Teachers should rate the DOE/administration/BOE		8	4	4	-	-	3	2	3	-	-	1	2	2	3	-	8	2	5	1			
		2%	2%	2%			1%	2%	3%			1%	2%	1%	2%		2%	3%	2%	1%			
The contract should have spelled everything out/HSTA should have done a better job		8	4	3	1	-	3	2	3	-	-	2	-	2	4	-	8	-	7	1			
		2%	2%	2%	1%		1%	2%	3%			3%	1%	3%	3%		2%		2%	1%			

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 72  
(Continued)

Q8. How can DOE/HSTA better prepare you for the EES?

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION										TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE				STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES			
		Class. Teachr Tested Gr&Sub	Class. Teachr Tested SchLvl	Non- Teachr Tested NonSch	Non- Teachr Tested NonSch	Elemen- tary	Middle Inter- medi- ate	High	Other	Distr. Type (6, 7- 12K12)	/State Office	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Ten- ured	Under- stand (8-10)	Neut- ral (4-7)	Do Not Under- stand (1-3)					
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)					
Do more observations/some informal observations/different kinds of observations		7 2%	3 2%	2 1%	2 2%	-	2 1%	2 2%	1 1%	1 5%	-	2 3%	1 1%	2 1%	2 1%	-	7 2%	1 1%	6 2%	-					
Support		7 2%	3 2%	2 1%	1 1%	1 5%	5 2%	-	1 1%	-	1 6%	1 1%	-	4 3%	2 1%	2 7%	5 1%	2 3%	3 1%	2 2%					
Evaluation shouldn't count towards my pay		7 2%	2 1%	3 2%	1 1%	1 5%	4 2%	2 2%	-	1 5%	-	2 3%	1 1%	1 1%	3 2%	-	7 2%	1 1%	4 1%	2 2%					
Difficulty applying to ELL/SPED/my students/classes		7 2%	3 2%	3 2%	-	1 5%	5 2%	1 1%	-	-	-	2 3%	-	4 3%	1 1%	1 4%	5 1%	2 3%	5 2%	-					
The observer/evaluator should be a teacher/someone with recent teaching experience		4 1%	2 1%	2 1%	-	-	1 *%	1 1%	2 2%	-	-	1 1%	-	3 2%	-	4 1%	-	3 1%	1 1%						
Other		28 6%	10 5%	12 7%	5 6%	1 5%	14 7%	5 5%	5 5%	2 10%	1 6%	2 3%	3 3%	12 8%	11 8%	-	27 7%	5 7%	20 7%	3 3%					
None/nothing		3 1%	1 1%	2 1%	-	-	1 *%	1 1%	-	1 5%	-	1 1%	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	3 1%	2 3%	1 *%	-					
Don't know/refused		6 1%	4 2%	-	1 1%	1 5%	1 *%	1 1%	3 3%	-	1 6%	-	1 1%	4 3%	1 1%	-	6 1%	1 1%	2 1%	3 1%					
BASE	460	192	165	82	21	215	93	104	21	18	73	96	154	135	28	411	71	288	101						

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 73

Q10. Please indicate the complex area in which you work.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION										TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					UNDERSTANDING OF EES			
		Class. Teachr Tested Gr&Sub	Class. Teachr Tested SchLvl	Non- Teachr Tested NonSch	Non- Teachr Tested NonSch	Elemen- tary	Middle	High	Other	Distr. Type (6, 7- 12K12)	/State Office	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Ten- ured	Under- stand (8-10)	Neut- ral (4-7)	Do Not Under- stand (1-3)				
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)				
Farrington - Kaiser - Kalani	374 9%	169 8% E	122 8% E	72 10% E	7 5% IJ	176 8% FIJ	86 12% IJ	98 9% IJ	6 3% 3%	4 3% 3%	73 9% 9%	72 8% 8%	125 9% 9%	104 9% 9%	30 7% 7%	332 9% 9%	47 6% 6%	242 9% 9%	84 10% Q					
Kaimuki - McKinley - Roosevelt	372 9%	155 8%	137 9%	66 9%	11 8%	190 9% I	80 11% HI	81 8% HI	9 5% 5%	9 7% 7%	63 7% 7%	53 6% 6%	134 9% 9%	121 11% KL	26 6% 6%	333 9% 9%	77 10% 10%	231 9% 9%	64 7%					
Aiea - Moanalua - Radford	320 7%	148 8%	115 7%	49 7%	8 6%	172 8% I	45 6% I	90 9% GI	5 3% 3%	7 6% 6%	65 8% 8%	69 8% 8%	100 7% 7%	85 8% 8%	33 8% 8%	278 8% 8%	59 8% 8%	205 8% 8%	56 6%					
Leilehua - Mililani - Waialua	335 8%	137 7% E	130 8% E	63 9%	5 3% E	196 9% HIJ	63 9% HJ	61 6% HJ	9 5% 5%	5 4% 4%	70 8% 8%	62 7% 7%	103 7% 7%	99 9% 9%	30 7% 7%	292 8% 8%	57 8% 8%	213 8% 8%	65 7%					
Campbell - Kapolei	335 8%	119 6% B	139 9% B	64 9%	10 7%	190 9% GI	44 6% I	86 8% I	7 4% 4%	7 6% 6%	82 10% N	74 9% N	115 8% N	64 6% N	42 10% 10%	282 8% 8%	80 11% RS	210 8% S	45 5%					
Pearl City - Waipahu	324 8%	145 8% E	114 7% E	59 8%	4 3% E	179 8% IJ	55 8% IJ	77 7% IJ	7 4% 4%	3 2% 2%	48 6% 6%	64 8% 8%	126 9% K	85 8% K	24 6% 6%	292 8% 8%	66 9% 9%	199 8% 8%	58 7%					
Nanakuli - Waianae	200 5%	90 5% E	67 4% E	42 6%	1 1%	84 4% J	36 5% J	59 6% FJ	18 10% FGJ	1 1%	71 8% FGJ	35 4% LMN	53 4% LMN	40 4% P	41 10% P	144 4% 4%	30 4% 4%	137 5% 5%	33 4%					
Castle - Kahuku	233 5%	118 6% C	70 5%	39 5%	5 3% C	115 5% 4%	31 5% 4%	49 5% FGHJ	29 16% FGHJ	4 3% 3%	40 5% 5%	45 5% 5%	70 5% 5%	76 5% 5%	18 4% 4%	203 6% KM	50 4% 4%	146 6% S	35 4%					
Kailua - Kalaheo	177 4%	68 4%	68 4%	36 5%	5 3%	102 5% G	10 1%	40 4% G	15 8% GHJ	3 2% 2%	39 5% 5%	34 4% 4%	54 4% 4%	48 4% 4%	18 4% 4%	153 4% 4%	32 4% 4%	112 4% 4%	32 4%					
Hilo - Waiakea	196 5%	92 5%	75 5%	25 3%	4 3%	76 4% FIJ	47 7% FIJ	50 5% FIJ	5 3% 3%	3 2% 2%	28 3% 3%	40 5% 5%	63 4% 4%	65 6% K	14 3% 3%	175 5% 5%	42 6% 6%	104 4% R	50 6%					

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 73  
(Continued)

Q10. Please indicate the complex area in which you work.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION										TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES			
		Class. Teachr Tested Gr&Sub	Class. Teachr Tested Gr&Sub	Non- Teachr Tested SchLvl	Non- Teachr Tested NonSch	Elemen Class.	Middle Class.	High -tary Inter- medi- ate	Other Type (6, 7- 12K12)	Distr. /State Office	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probat ionary	Ten ured	Under stand (8-10)	Neut ral (4-7)	Do Not Under stand (1-3)							
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)						
Kau - Keaau - Pahoa	156	65	48	37	5	74	26	26	21	5	34	39	45	38	18	135	19	99	38							
	4%	4%	3%	5%	3%	4%	4%	3%	12%	4%	4%	5%	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%							
Honokaa - Kealakehe - Kohala - Konawaena	363	173	125	53	10	161	67	98	10	9	70	80	133	77	35	310	51	213	98							
	8%	9%	8%	7%	7%	8%	9%	9%	6%	7%	8%	9%	9%	7%	8%	8%	7%	8%	8%	11%	QR					
Maui - Baldwin - Kekaulike	376	161	155	52	6	200	68	92	10	5	84	86	130	75	48	314	72	222	82							
	9%	9%	10%	7%	4%	IJ	9%	9%	6%	4%	10%	10%	9%	7%	11%	9%	10%	7%	8%	9%						
Hana - Lahainaluna - Lanai - Molokai	150	77	45	21	5	55	15	56	19	4	39	25	65	21	26	118	22	96	32							
	4%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	5%	11%	3%	5%	3%	5%	2%	5%	6%	3%	3%	4%	4%						
Kauai - Kapaa - Waimea	246	103	99	35	9	139	44	48	6	8	43	59	83	59	18	216	32	142	71							
	6%	6%	6%	5%	6%	7%	6%	5%	3%	6%	5%	7%	6%	5%	4%	6%	4%	5%	5%	8%	QR					
District/State Office	53	1	-	6	46	2	-	1	-	48	1	8	16	28	1	49	8	38	7							
	1%	*%		1%	32%	*%		*		38%	*%	1%	1%	3%	1%	*%	1%	1%	1%	1%						
NO RESPONSE	70	27	25	15	3	22	6	20	3	2	7	8	21	24	2	49	10	37	23							
	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	*%	1%	1%	1%	3%	R					
BASE	4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873							

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 74

Q11. Please indicate the type of school in which you work.

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION										TYPE OF SCHOOL					YEARS AT DOE					UNDERSTANDING OF EES			
		Class. Teachr Tested Gr&Sub	Class. Teachr Tested SchLvl	Non- Teachr Tested NonSch	Non- Teachr Tested NonSch	Elemen- tary	Middle	High	Other	Distr. Type (6, 7- 12K12)	/State Office	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Ten- ured	Under- (8-10)	Neut- (4-7)	Do Not Under- (1-3)					
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)					
Elementary School	2133 50%	838 45% E	878 57% BE	395 54% BE	10 7%	2133 100%	-	-	-	-	473 55% LM	403 47%	679 52%	573 56%	236 50%	1829 P	314 42%	1367 52% Q	447 51% Q						
Middle/Intermediate School	723 17%	420 23% CDE	187 12% E	112 15% E	2 1%	-	723 100%	-	-	-	142 17%	152 18%	260 18%	167 15%	66 16%	624 17%	133 18%	449 17%	140 16%						
High School	1032 24%	468 25% E	391 25% E	169 23% E	2 1%	-	-	1032 100%	-	-	191 22%	229 27%	359 25%	250 23%	101 24%	887 24%	221 29%	600 RS	210 23%	210 24%					
6 or 7-12 School	96 2%	50 3%	29 2%	16 2%	-	-	-	-	96 54%	-	18 2%	17 2%	34 2%	26 2%	9 2%	79 2%	20 3%	58 2%	18 2%						
K-12 School	83 2%	39 2%	20 1%	20 3%	3 2%	-	-	-	83 46%	-	19 2%	16 2%	29 2%	18 2%	7 2%	70 2%	12 2%	47 2%	24 3%						
District/State Office	127 3%	1 *%	-	3 *%	123 85% BD	-	-	-	-	127 100%	5 1%	17 2%	51 4%	54 5%	2 *%	122 3%	36 5%	80 RS	11 S						
Other	43 1%	13 1%	19 1%	8 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	-	-	6 1%	15 2%	11 1%	11 1%	3 1%	38 1%	14 2%	20 1%	9 1%						
NO RESPONSE/PREFER NOT TO ANSWER	43 1%	19 1%	10 1%	11 1%	3 2%	-	-	-	-	-	3 *%	4 *%	13 1%	10 1%	-	26 1%	4 1%	25 1%	14 2%	Q					
BASE	4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873						

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 75

Q12. How many years have you worked for the State of Hawaii Department of Education?

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION								TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					STATUS		UNDERSTANDING OF EES																	
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probationary	Tenured	Understand	Neut	Do Not																			
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	High	Type	/State							(8-10)	(4-7)	(1-3)																			
		Gr&Sub	Tested	Non-Teachr	Tested	Teachr	SchLvl	NonSch		(6,7-12K12)										(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)
1-5 years	857	466	323	60	6	473	142	191	37	5	857	-	-	-	393	385	148	551	158	20%	25%	21%	8%	4%	22%	HJ	J	J	J	4%	100%	P	93%	10%	20%	21%	18%	
6-10 years	853	372	345	115	17	403	152	229	33	17	-	853	-	-	-	15	815	156	519	178	20%	20%	22%	16%	12%	19%	J	FJ	13%	100%	4%	22%	O	4%	21%	20%	20%	20%
11-15 years	806	349	283	141	27	361	154	223	35	24	-	-	806	-	-	13	775	135	493	177	19%	19%	19%	18%	19%	19%	F	F	20%	19%	3%	21%	O	3%	18%	19%	19%	20%
16-20 years	630	268	195	131	31	318	106	136	28	27	-	-	630	-	-	1	615	95	398	135	15%	15%	13%	18%	22%	BC	BC	13%	13%	16%	17%	O	*%	13%	15%	15%	15%	
21-25 years	598	203	216	150	28	332	79	135	19	23	-	-	-	-	598	1	579	107	370	118	14%	11%	14%	20%	19%	B	BC	GI	11%	11%	18%	G	54%	*%	14%	14%	14%	
More than 25 years	511	178	163	133	35	241	88	115	25	31	-	-	-	-	511	1	495	112	298	100	12%	10%	11%	18%	24%	BC	BC	11%	11%	46%	46%	RS	13%	15%	11%	11%	11%	
NO RESPONSE	25	12	9	4	-	5	2	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	1	11	1	17	7	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	*%	*%	*%	*%	*%	*%	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q		
BASE	4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873																			

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::

Table 76

## Q13. What is your current teacher status?

	TOTAL	CURRENT EES CLASSIFICATION										TYPE OF SCHOOL				YEARS AT DOE					UNDERSTANDING OF EES		
		Class.	Class.	Non-	Non-	Elemen	Middle	High	Other	Distr.	1-5	6-10	11-20	20+	Probat	Ten	Under	Neut	Do Not				
		Teachr	Teachr	Class.	Class.	-tary	Inter-	High	Type	/State					ionary	-ured	stand	(8-10)	(4-7)	Under			
		Tested	Non-	Teachr	Teachr	Gr&Sub	Tested	SchLvl	NonSch	12K12)													
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)			
1st Year Probationary Teacher	213	129	78	6	-	111	38	54	8	-	203	6	2	1	213	-	44	134	35				
	5%	7%	5%	1%		5%	5%	5%	4%		24%	1%	*%	*%	50%		6%	5%	4%				
		CD	D								LMN	N											
Probationary Teacher other than 1st Year	211	120	71	18	1	125	28	47	8	2	190	9	12	-	211	-	31	137	43				
	5%	6%	5%	2%	1%	6%	4%	5%	4%	2%	22%	1%	1%		50%		4%	5%	5%				
		CDE	DE			GJ	J				LM												
Tenured	3675	1501	1326	690	139	1829	624	887	149	122	385	815	1390	1074	-	3675	653	2274	741				
	86%	81%	86%	94%	97%	86%	86%	86%	83%	96%	45%	96%	97%	97%		100%	87%	86%	85%				
		B	BC	BC						FGHI	K	K	K	K									
Other	38	24	9	3	2	12	8	9	5	1	15	7	5	11	-	-	10	22	6				
	1%	1%	1%	*%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	*%	1%			1%	1%	1%				
		CD								M													
Not sure/PREFER not to answer	122	63	42	15	2	49	23	32	8	2	64	15	23	17	-	-	14	68	40				
	3%	3%	3%	2%	1%	2%	3%	3%	4%	2%	7%	2%	2%	2%			2%	3%	5%	QR			
		D								LMN													
NO RESPONSE	21	11	8	2	-	7	2	3	1	-	-	1	4	6	-	-	2	11	8				
	*%	1%	1%	*%		*%	*%	*%	1%			*%	*%	1%				*%	1%	1%			
BASE	4280	1848	1534	734	144	2133	723	1032	179	127	857	853	1436	1109	424	3675	754	2646	873				

Comparison Groups: BCDE/FGHIJ/KLMN/OP/QRS

Independent T-Test for Means, Independent Z-Test for Percentages (unpooled proportions)

Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level.

Ward Research, Inc. (6428) ::Castle Foundation - Educator Effectiveness System (EES) Survey ::Mar 2014::