

FFY 2017 Part B State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Report (APR)

Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion

Historical Data and Targets

Monitoring Priority: FAPE in the LRE

Compliance indicator: Rates of suspension and expulsion:

- A. Percent of districts that have a significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and
- B. Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A); 1412(a)(22))

Historical Data

Baseline Data: 2009

FFY	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Target			0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Data						0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

FFY	2015	2016
Target	0%	0%
Data	0%	0%

Key: Gray – Data Prior to Baseline Yellow – Baseline Blue – Data Update

FFY 2017 - FFY 2018 Targets

FFY	2017	2018
Target	0%	0%

FFY 2017 Part B State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Report (APR)
Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion
 FFY 2017 Data

Monitoring Priority: FAPE in the LRE

Compliance indicator: Rates of suspension and expulsion:

- A. Percent of districts that have a significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and
- B. Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A); 1412(a)(22))

FFY 2017 SPP/APR Data

Has the State Established a minimum n-size requirement? Yes No

Number of districts that have a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity	Number of those districts that have policies, procedures, or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements	Number of districts in the State	FFY 2016 Data	FFY 2017 Target	FFY 2017 Data	Status	Slippage
0	0	1	0%	0%	0%	Met Target	No Slippage

All races and ethnicities were included in the review

State's definition of "significant discrepancy" and methodology

Definition of Significant Discrepancy and Methodology

Methodology

For the analysis of the data HIDOE used the data collected on 618 Data Table 5 (Report of Children with Disabilities Unilaterally Removed or Suspended/Expelled for More than ten Days) for SY 2016-2017 due November 2017. Sampling was not used in the analysis of the data used for Indicator 4B.

For the FFY 2017 State Performance Plan (SPP/APR), HIDOE used a rate difference methodology to compare the statewide suspension/expulsion rate for children with disabilities in each racial/ethnic category to the statewide suspension/expulsion rate for children without disabilities in the analysis of the SY 2016-2017 data. This is one of the OSEP-approved comparison methodologies that is used to determine whether significant discrepancies in the rates of suspension and expulsion by race/ethnicity are occurring between children with disabilities and children without disabilities [34 CFR §300.170(a)].

Definition of significant discrepancy

HIDOE defines "significant discrepancy" when the suspension/expulsion rate for children with disabilities from any of the seven racial/ethnic categories is at least three (3) percentage points more than the State's suspension/expulsion rate for all children without disabilities. HIDOE used a minimum cell size of five in order for the data to be included for analysis.

Hawaii rate difference percentages by race/ethnicity category (state rate of each ethnicity w/ disabilities minus state rate of students w/out disabilities)			
State rate for students w/o disabilities = $(584/162453)*100=0.36\%$			
*For significant discrepancy must be larger than 3%.			
	Suspension/Expulsion rate (more than 10 days) for Special Education student	State rate for students w/o disabilities = .36%	Rate Difference *For significant discrepancy, rate difference must be larger than 3%.
AMERICAN INDIAN	Cell size in this category is less than 5.	$(584/162453)*100=0.36\%$	Cell size in this category is less than 5.
ASIAN	$(13/3715)*100=0.35\%$	$(584/162453)*100=0.36\%$	$0.35\% - 0.36\% = -0.01\%$
BLACK	Cell size in this category is less than 5.	$(584/162453)*100=0.36\%$	Cell size in this category is less than 5.

FFY 2017 Part B State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Report (APR)

HISPANIC	$(18/2894)*100=0.62\%$	$(584/162453)*100=0.36\%$	$0.62\% - 0.36\% = 0.26\%$
MULTIPLE	$(10/2258)*100=.44\%$	$(584/162453)*100=0.36\%$	$0.44\% - 0.36\% = 0.08\%$
PACIFIC ISLANDER	$(109/7401)*100=1.47\%$	$(584/162453)*100=0.36\%$	$1.47\% - 0.36\% = 1.11\%$
WHITE	$(10/2589)*100=.39\%$	$(584/162453)*100=0.36\%$	$0.39\% - 0.36\% = 0.03\%$

Provide additional information about this indicator (optional)

FFY 2017 Part B State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Report (APR)
Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion
Required Actions from FFY 2016

Monitoring Priority: FAPE in the LRE

Compliance indicator: Rates of suspension and expulsion:

- A. Percent of districts that have a significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and
- B. Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A); 1412(a)(22))

Actions required in FFY 2016 response

none

Note: Any actions required in last year's response table that are related to correction of findings should be responded to on the "Correction of Previous Findings of Noncompliance" page of this indicator. If your State's only actions required in last year's response are related to findings of noncompliance, a text field will not be displayed on this page.