

One-Year Academic Plan SY 2023-2024 KAHALU'U ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

47-280 Waihee Road Kāne'ohe, HI 96744 (808) 305-6300

https://www.kahaluuelementary.com/

MALU DELEMENT
Personal States and I

Submitted by: Walter Santiago, Principal

Date

04/04/2023

Approved by: Dr. Ed Noh, Complex Area Superintendent

Date

9/1/23

Where are we now?

CNA (January 2022)

- Quality Tier I explicit, differentiated, standards based, rigorous instruction that engages our students in real world experiences
- K-6 RTI data driven plans using researched based interventions and instructional strategies (intentional progress monitoring)
- Clear MTSS process focusing on the whole child (Academic, Behavior, Social Emotional, Physical)
- Clear PLC process focusing on data driven decision making (intentional progress monitoring)

WASC recommendations (March 2022)

- **Student Success:** Minimize students' performance gap in ELA and Math. The principal, leadership teams, and SSC will be accountable for the enabling activities that lead to closing achievement gaps.
- Staff Success: Decrease behavior incidents in the classroom and on-campus. The principal, VP, SSC and counselors will be accountable for staff PD and implementation.
 - Continue to develop and refine the behavior RTI process to support students social and emotional needs
 - Clear and cohesive HMTSS Plan and SEL Program
- Successful Systems of Support: Continue to focus on the implementation of quality Tier I standards-based explicit differentiation in small group instruction. Principal, VP, GLC, DH and AC will be accountable for the continued implementation.
 - o Develop rigorous student performance expectations and criteria for standards based instruction and assessment for all content areas
 - Provide more opportunities for students to apply their learning in real life, global, and career applications that include reading rich texts and writing

As you plan and implement your work, include:

- Student Enrichment: Create and engage students in accelerated learning opportunities.
 - The leadership team, PLCs, and kissing grade levels will articulate to develop an accelerated program that supports student growth beyond the essential academic standards.
- KES will revisit the PLC process.
 - Build-in the necessary time to meet with PLCs; meet with intention, create timelines to abide by, create & implement self-assessment/monitoring protocols that will drive KES' work. Assessing the effectiveness of program and processes
 - Continue to refine the PLT/Data Team process to support deeper analysis of student performance data to facilitate more targeted instruction for all levels of learners
 - o Process for PD/Training, PD follow-up and implementation, PD sharing, PD professional folder.

Addressing Equity: Sub Group Identification

In order to address equity, list the targeted sub group(s) and their identified needs. **Specific enabling activities listed in the academic plan should address identified sub group(s) and their needs.

						Enrollment							
School Total Vear Enrollment		Female		Male		Native Hawaiians		Low SES		IDEA		EL	
Year	Emonnen	#	%	#	0/0	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
18-19	296	129	43.58%	167	56.42%	162	54.73%	141	47.64%	61	20.61%	11	3.72%
19-20	286	127	44.41%	159	55.59%	159	55.59%	139	48.60%	66	23.08%	12	4.20%
20-21	270	113	41.85%	157	58.15%	156	57.78%	153	56.67%	66	24.44%	11	4.07%
21-22	254	106	41.73%	148	58.27%	143	56.30%	165	64.96%	61	24.02%	9	3.54%

LDS Data Report 21-22

					(Exceed	ELA s or Met the S	standard)						
School	All		Non-High Needs		High 1	High Needs		Low SES		IDEA		ELL	
Year	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
18-19	36.77%	57	47.54%	29	29.79%	28	31.76%	27	0.00%	0	33.33%	2	
19-20		NO Testing SY 19-20											
20-21	30.20%	45	50.88%	29	17.39%	16	19.75%	16	3.33%	1	20.00%	1	
21-22	29.50%	41	56.41%	22	19.0%	19	20.0%	18	6.06%	2	25.0%	1	

		ELA GAP					
School Year	Non-High Needs	GAP	High Needs (IDEA, Low SES ELL)				
18-19	57%	25	32%				
19-20	NO Testing						

20-21	51%	30	22%	
21-22	69%	48	21%	

Strive HI Data Report 18-22

3rd Grade Reading Proficiency							
School Year	Non-High Needs						
18-19	65%						
19-20	NO Testing						
20-21	60%						
21-22	52%						

Strive HI Data Report 18-22

	Math (Exceeds or Met the Standard											
School	All		Non-High Needs		High Needs		Low SES		IDEA		ELL	
Year	%	#	0/0	#	0/0	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
18-19	29.03%	45	40.98%	25	21.28%	20	22.35%	19	0.00%	0	50.00%	3
19-20	NO Testing SY 19-20											
20-21	19.86%	28	36.84%	21	8.99%	8	10.26%	8	3.33%	1	33.33%	1
21-22	30.43%	42	58.97%	23	19.19%	19	20.22%	18	0%	0	50.0%	2

	M	ath GAP	
School Year	Non-High Needs	GAP	High Needs (IDEA, Low SES, ELL)

18-19	54%	29	25%			
19-20	NO Testing					
20-21	31%	16	16%			
21-22	76%	55	20%			

Strive HI Data Report 18-22

Science (Exceed or Met the Standard)												
School	All		Non-High Needs		High Needs		Low SES		IDEA		EL	
Year	%	#	0/0	#	%	#	0/0	#	%	#	%	#
18-19	36.84%	14	36.36%	4	37.04%	10	34.78%	8	33.33%	2	0.00%	0
19-20	NO Testing SY 19-20											
20-21	24.32%	9	38.46%	5	16.67%	4	14.29%	3	12.50%	1	0.00%	0
21-22	25.0%	10	53.85%	7	3	11.11%	12.50^	3	7.69%	1	0.00%	0

			Attendance			
School Year	All	Non-High Needs	High Needs	Low SES	IDEA	EL
18-19	93.95%	95.53%	92.82%	93.35%	91.66%	95.44%
19-20	93.92%	95.19%	93.27%	93.34%	92.04%	93.42%
20-21	92.14%	96.34%	90.22%	89.55%	91.41%	87.40%
21-22	87.11%	88.15%	86.73%	86.58%	86.58%	85.55%

LDS Data Report 21-22

Chronic Absenteeism								
School Year	All							
18-19	10%							
19-20	16%							
20-21	24%							
21-22	52%							

Strive HI Report 20-21

			Discipline			
School Year	All	Non-High Needs	High Needs	Low SES	IDEA	EL
17-18	8	3	5	4	3	
18-19	3	0	3	3	0	
19-20	7	4	3	2	2	
20-21	No Data					
21-22	23	7	16	16	4	

School Climate & Safety					
School Year	Students	Parents	Teachers		
18-19	71.5%	82.8%	82.1%		
19-20	69.9%	89.3%	87.8%		

20-21	73.4%	96.2%	83%
21-22	75.4%	89.9%	79.9%

SQS Data Report 18-22

3Year Goal & Benchmark Plan

Strive HI/iReady/BAS/Panorama

ELA Proficiency - (2022): 32%; (2023): 38%; (2024): 42%: (2025) 46%

ELA Gap - (2022): 26 points; (2023): 22 points; (2024): 18 points: (2025) 15 points

Math Proficiency - (2022): 30%; (2023): 36%; (2024): 40%: (2025) 44%

Math Gap - (2022): 26 points; (2023); 22 points; (2024): 18 points: (2025) 15 points

Science Proficiency - (2022): **30%**; (2023): **35%**; (2024): **40%**: (2025) **43%** 3rd Grade Literacy - (2022): **60%**; (2023): **64%**; (2024): **70%**: (2025) **76%** Chronic Absenteeism - (2022): **14%**; (2023): **12%**; (2024): **10%**: (2025) **10%**

Student Engagement Grade 3-5 - (2022): **74%**; (2023): **78%**; (2024): **80%**: (2025) **83%** Student Engagement Grade 6 - (2022): **74%**; (2023): **76%**; (2024): **78%**: (2025) **82%**

Sense of Belonging (School Belonging) Grade 3-5 - (2022) **72%**; (2023) **76%**; (2024) **80%**: (2025) **82%** Sense of Belonging (School Belonging) Grade 6 - (2022) **70%**; (2023) **74%**; (2024) **78%**: (2025) 82%

School Safety Grade 3-5 - (2022) **70%**; (2023) **74%**; (2024) **80%**: (2025) **82%** School Safety Grade 6 - (2022) **70%**; (2023) **74%**; (2024) **80%**: (2025) **82%**

ORO	ORGANIZE: Identify your Academic Review Team Accountable Leads.					
	Name and Title of ART Team Accountable Lead		Responsible for implementation of the school's strategies and initiatives			
1.	Walter Santiago Principal	1.	Tier I Instruction; Tier II & III Intervention Program; MTSS Plan; PLC/Data Teams			
2.	Michelle Ah Chong TA Vice-Principal	2.	Tier I Instruction; Tier II & III Intervention Program; MTSS Plan; PLC/Data Teams			
3.	Melelani Dycus Academic Coach	3.	Tier I Instruction; Tier II & III Intervention Program; MTSS Plan; PLC/Data Teams			
4.	Tamar Bee Student Services Coordinator	4.	Tier II & III Intervention Program; MTSS Plan;			
5.	Jacqueline Ing Counselor	5.	Tier II & III Intervention Program; MTSS Plan;			
6.	Kristi Kobashigawa El Coordinator/Communication	6.	Tier I Instruction; Tier II & III Intervention Program;s			
7.	Janell Malo K-1 GLC	7.	Tier I Instruction; Tier II & III Intervention Program; PLC/Data Teams			
8.	Kathryn Alba 2–3	8.	Tier I Instruction; Tier II & III Intervention Program; PLC/Data Teams			
9.	Kelly Asato 4-6	9.	Tier I Instruction; Tier II & III Intervention Program; PLC/Data Teams			
10.	Allison Hirata SPED	10.	Tier I Instruction; Tier II & III Intervention Program; PLC/Data Teams			

GOAL 1: STUDENT SUCCESS All students demonstrate they are on a path toward success in college, career and citizen	VT SUCCESS All students demonstrate they are on a path toward success in college, career and ci	tizenship.
---	---	------------

- ☐ **Objective 1: Empowered -** All students are empowered in their learning to set and achieve their aspirations for the future.
 - □ *Objective 2: Whole Child All students are safe, healthy, and supported in school, so that they can engage fully in high-quality educational opportunities.*
 - □ *Objective 3: Well Rounded All students are offered and engage in rigorous, well rounded education so that students are prepared to be successful in their post-high school goals.*
 - □ *Objective 4: Prepared and Resilient - All students transition successfully throughout their educational experiences.*

Outcome: By the end of three years,	Rationale:
More than 42% of all students will be meeting proficiency for ELA and Math.	Our gap for students meeting proficiency continues to grow in ELA and Math
We will see our ELA and Math gaps close by 8 to 12 points (18-22 pts).	between our high needs and non-high needs students (Impact of the Pandemic).
We want more than 70% of our 3rd graders meeting reading proficiency.	If Kahalu'u focuses on implementing quality Tier I standards based and
We will see a 8% to 12% increase in the classroom engagement according to	explicit differentiated small group instruction, we will see our ELA and Math
the Panorama student survey (Grade 3-5: 78%-82%) (Grade 6: 74%-78%)	proficiency scores and gaps improve.

Planning		Funding	Interim Measures of Progress	
Desired Outcome	Enabling Activities	ART Lead(s)	Source of Funds	Define the relevant data used to regularly assess and monitor progress
More than 42% of our students will meet proficiency in ELA and Math. (Strive HI/iReady). We will see our ELA and Math gaps close by 8 to 12 points (Strive HI/iReady) (18 to 22 pts) 8% to 12% increase in student engagement (Panorama Survey) (Grade 3-5: 78%-82%) (Grade 6: 74%-78%)	 Engage our students in quality Tier 1 instruction for Reading and Math. K-6 explicit, standards based, differentiated, rigorous, small group instruction that engages our students in real world experiences. Data driven research based PD that will focus on research based instructional strategies and interventions that address the learning needs of our students. PD implementation, assessment, reflection, and sharing. Peer to Peer learning Researched based curriculum or supplemental resources to address student needs. Acceleration Enrichment Program for students on or above grade level reading or math ESSR Strategies: HHHS, AODDM, RCB, EAP CA Plan Focus Areas: QIP, PLC 	Walter Santiago Principal TAVP Michelle AhChong AC Lani Dycus GLC & DH	WSF 42101, 42112, 42103 Title I 18902, 18935 SPPA 73100	PD agendas and evaluations Learning Walk data iReady data Universal Screeners PLC notes Common Formative Assessments (Grade Level)

The ELA and Math con	I V CT' II V III V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	137-14	WCE	DD111
The ELA and Math gap	Implement a K-6 Tier II and III intervention program	Walter	WSF	PD agendas and evaluations
between high needs and	and plan to address our Reading and Math gap.	Santiago	42101,	
non-high needs students will close by 8% to 12%.	• Implement K-2 RTI plans and schedules that focus	Principal	42112, 42103	Learning Walk data
(Strive HI/iReady) (18 to 22 pts)	 on closing our Reading and Math gap. Implement 3-6 RTI plans and schedules that focus on closing our Reading and Math gap. 	TAVP Michelle	Title I 18902, 18935	iReady data
	Use universal screener and other assessment data		10,55	PLC notes
	(i.e., CFA, BAS, Spelling) to drive RTI schedules, plans,	AhChong	SPPA 73100	Universal Screeners
	 interventions used, and supports (intentional progress monitoring). 	AC Lani Dycus		Common Formative Assessments (Grade Level)
	 Use research based instructional practices and programs that focus on quality interventions and strategies. Build a culture of collaboration and communication in PLCs and GenEd/SPEd teachers. 	SSC Tamar Bee		Classroom RTI & Progress Monitoring Plan
	ESSR Strategies: AODDM, RCB, EAP CA Plan Focus Areas: QIP, PLC	GLC & DH		

GOAL 2: STAFF SUCCESS Kahalu'u Elementary has a high-performing culture where employees have the training, support and professional development to contribute effectively to student success.

Outcome: By the end of three years,	Rationale:
We will see more than 80% of our students feeling safe on campus and have a	If our teachers are provided a clear cohesive MTSS plan and provided
sense of belonging according to the Panorama and SQS survey. 68% or more	interventions and strategies to address the academic and behavior needs of
of our students will feel they can regulate their emotions, have a growth	their students, then we will see fewer behavior incidents in the classroom and
mindset, grit and self-efficacy. Teachers will have a positive relationship with	on campus. If our students are provided with these interventions and strategies
all their students and students will know their teacher cares. Attendance	to self-regulate, have a growth mindset, grit and self-efficacy, they will
improvement to 94% or better and a chronic absenteeism rate of 14% to 16%.	succeed academically, behaviorally, emotionally, and socially.

Planning			Funding	Interim Measures of Progress
Desired Outcome	Enabling Activities	ART Lead(s)	Source of Funds	Define the relevant data used to regularly assess and monitor progress
More than 80% of our students will feel safe on campus and have a sense of belonging. More than 70% of our students will feel they can regulate their emotions, have a growth mindset, grit and self-efficacy.	 Implement a cohesive MTSS plan that focuses on Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports for teachers and students (PBIS) SocialEmotional Learning (SEL) for teachers and students Clear referral system to address student behavior needs, classroom interventions and campus safety. Clearly define each Tier of our MTSS plan and share interventions and strategies to use at each Tier. ESSR Strategies: HHHS, AODDM, RCB, EAP CA Plan Focus Areas: PLC, SEL 	Walter Santiago Principal TAVP Michelle AhChong Counselor Jacqueline Ing SSC Tamar Bee	WSF 42101, 42112, 42103 Title I 18902, 18935 SPPA 73100	Monthly SSP Meeting Notes Panorama Fall and Spring Surveys School Safety Data Classroom Climate Data Behavioral and Referral Data Student and Staff Surveys Panorama Intervention Plans

GOAL 3: SUCCESSFUL SYSTEMS OF SUPPORT Kahalu'u Elementary works to effectively organize financial, human, and community resources in support of student success.

Outcome: By the end of three years,	Rationale:
More than 42% of all students will be meeting proficiency for ELA and Math.	Our gap for students meeting proficiency continues to grow in ELA and Math
We will see our ELA and Math gaps close by 8 to 12 points.	between our high needs and non-high needs students. If Kahalu'u focuses on
We will see a 8% to 12% increase in the classroom engagement according to	implementing quality Tier I standards based and explicit differentiated small
the Panorama student survey	group instruction, we will see our ELA and Math proficiency scores and gaps
	improve.

Planning			Funding	Interim Measures of Progress
Desired Outcome	Enabling Activities	ART Lead(s)	Source of Funds	Define the relevant data used to regularly assess and monitor progress
More than 42% of our students will meet proficiency in ELA and Math. (Strive HI/iReady). 8% to 12% increase in student engagement (Panorama Survey) (Grade 3-5: 78%-82%) (Grade 6: 74%-78%)	 Create clear processes and procedures through PLC/GLM/SSP/Aloha Leadership Teams who will Analyze and use student and school wide data to drive academic, behavior, and school wide program planning and next steps. Progress monitor and assess processes, programs, and procedures quarterly to measure its effectiveness. Create a consistent schedule within the PLC meetings and a renewed focus on data analysis pertaining to student grades, growth, performance, follow-up, and follow through. ESSR Strategies: HHHS, AODDM, RCB, EAP CA Plan Focus Areas: QIP, PLC 	Walter Santiago Principal TAVP Michelle AhChong AC Lani Dycus GLC & DH	WSF 42101, 42112, 42103 Title I 18902, 18935	Aloha Leadership Team Meeting Notes Aloha Leadership Team Semester ART report PD agendas and evaluations Learning Walk data (Admin/Peer to Peer) iReady data PLC notes Universal Screener Data Common Formative Assessments (Grade Level) Classroom RTI & Progress Monitoring Plan