Alakaʻi O Kauaʻi PCS

2-4035 Kaumualii Highway | Kauai | Charter Schools

THE 2020-21 STRIVE HI SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT is an annual snapshot of a school’s performance on key indicators of student success. This report shows schools’ progress on federally-required indicators under the Every Student Succeeds Act; in addition to state-adopted measures focused on student equity, achievement and success. These results help inform action for teachers, principals, and other stakeholders.

Our Story

Our Program

Alakaʻi O Kauaʻi Public Charter School opened during August 2018, and currently serves Kindergarten-6th grades, providing an additional learning choice among Kauaʻi schools and is free of tuition for Kauaʻi’s keiki. Our program incorporates three foundational cornerstones: Project-Based Learning (PBL), Social Emotional learning (SEL) and Individual Learning Plans. We are proud to partner with California-based iLEAD Schools Development, who have been successfully providing leading edge, alternative education for 10 years.

Project-Based Learning

Project-Based Learning (PBL) allows children to learn curriculum through fun, meaningful, hands-on and collaborative experiences. Projects inspire the children to learn and apply the content standards in a real-world, relevant way. Through research, self-discovery, and teacher facilitation, learners inquire and seek out the information they need to accomplish the goals of the project. In addition to understanding, applying, and retaining the content, PBL helps develop vocational and leadership skills that will last a lifetime.

Social-Emotional Education

Academic achievement is only one component of a learner’s education at Alakaʻi O Kauaʻi. We also support the learner’s development of emotional intelligence, life skills and community engagement.

Individualized Learning Plans

Individual Learning Plans (ILPs) personalize goals and instruction for each child. We believe that learning should be interest-driven, peer-supported and focused on powerful outcomes.
**Pandemic Related Considerations When Assessing Strive HI Results**

Throughout this 2020-21 school year (SY), numerous pandemic-related challenges arose across Hawai‘i’s public schools. Many challenges were common across schools, yet others were unique to a particular school or group of schools within a particular geographic area. The pandemic’s impact on instruction and learning had an effect on teachers and students, and those conditions should be considered when examining student achievement and school performance results.

This section provides important contextual information that should be considered when assessing 2021 Strive HI results, and when comparing 2021 results to prior year results. To the extent data were available, several key metrics are presented to provide context to learning, instruction, and test-taking during the past school year, including participation rates, students learning modalities, and access to adequate digital devices and internet connectivity.

**How many students participated in testing?**

These bar charts display participation rates across language arts, math, and science for All Students and high-needs subgroups. School rates are accompanied by complex area and statewide rates allowing for side-by-side comparisons. Consideration should be given to the following: (A) Participation rates should always be taken into consideration when reviewing proficiency results, and especially so, when students’ modes of learning, instruction, and test-taking options are disrupted or altered during the school year; (B) School participation rates should be compared across key subgroups as well as complex area and statewide rates; (C) Participation rates allow readers to judge the extent proficiency and other test derived results such as growth, achievement gap, and 3rd and 8th grade literacy rates, are representative of all students eligible to test; and (D) When participation rates drop below 95%, one should ask, “To what extent are these results reflective of all students eligible to test?” “Are certain student subgroups over- or under-represented?” “Do those differences skew achievement results?” The following link provides guidelines and considerations when examining achievement results derived from low-participation rates, as well as other important pandemic related considerations: Appropriate Use of SY 2020-2021 Hawai‘i Statewide Assessment Program (HSAP) Results.

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1mve1u1iXV6MQW3idks0mEd7la76YB4g2/view?usp=sharing

---

**Language Arts Participation - By School, Complex Area, and State**

- **91%** -- **95%** -- **90%** -- **97%** -- **93%** -- **90%** -- **97%** -- **94%** -- **93%**
  - All Students
  - Special Education
  - Disadvantaged
  - English Learners (EL)
  - High Needs
  - Non-High Needs

**Language Arts Participation - Three-Year Comparison**

- **98%** -- **95%** -- **91%** -- **97%** -- **90%** -- **97%** -- **94%** -- **93%**
  - All Students
  - Special Education
  - Disadvantaged
  - English Learners (EL)
  - High Needs
  - Non-High Needs

**Math Participation - By School, Complex Area, and State**

- **92%** -- **95%** -- **89%** -- **90%** -- **97%** -- **93%** -- **91%** -- **97%** -- **94%** -- **93%**
  - All Students
  - Special Education
  - Disadvantaged
  - English Learners (EL)
  - High Needs
  - Non-High Needs

**Math Participation - Three-Year Comparison**

- **98%** -- **95%** -- **91%** -- **97%** -- **90%** -- **97%** -- **94%** -- **93%**
  - All Students
  - Special Education
  - Disadvantaged
  - English Learners (EL)
  - High Needs
  - Non-High Needs

**Science Participation - By School, Complex Area, and State**

- **91%** -- **90%** -- **88%** -- **89%** -- **90%** -- **92%** -- **90%** -- **90%** -- **93%** -- **91%**
  - All Students
  - Special Education
  - Disadvantaged
  - English Learners (EL)
  - High Needs
  - Non-High Needs

**Science Participation - Three-Year Comparison**

- **95%** -- **90%** -- **80%** -- **90%** -- **88%** -- **90%** -- **100%** -- **91%**
  - All Students
  - Special Education
  - Disadvantaged
  - English Learners (EL)
  - High Needs
  - Non-High Needs
In what learning environment did students receive instruction?

This bar chart shows the percent of students receiving instruction completely in-person or in a blended or completely virtual setting. Consideration should be given to the following: (A) Students’ learning modality varied across schools; (B) Some students seemed to achieve better in-person, while blended or completely virtual settings may have been more conducive to learning for other students; (C) A student’s learning modality can serve as a useful comparison when examining individual student achievement based on their learning modality, however, caution should be given when drawing such conclusions; and (D) Certain students may be more likely to attend in-person compared to other types of students, that is, students with disabilities. Schools may look into these students to determine if that is the case before drawing conclusions about performance based on students’ learning modality.

How many students did not have adequate digital devices or internet access?

The following show the number and percent of students who did not have a digital device or internet access to adequately engage in distance learning.

0 out of 164
0% of students did not have a device for connectivity
State: 1.9%

0 out of 164
0% of students did not have internet access
State: 2.8%
**Alaka‘i O Kaua‘i PCS**
2-4035 Kaumualii Highway | Kauai | Charter Schools

**2020-21 Strive HI School Performance Results**

IMPORATANT: Due to COVID-19, in SY 2020, no statewide tests were administered. In SY 2021, Hawai‘i public schools administered a shortened version of the statewide assessment, a skip-year growth methodology was used, and participation rate penalties were waived as approved by the U.S. Department of Education.

---

### How are students performing in each subject?
Measures the percent of students meeting the standard/who are proficient on state assessments. No participation penalty was applied to 2021 proficiency results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Language Arts</strong></td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Math</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Science</strong></td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### How are students performing compared to others?
Compares the percent of students meeting the standard/who are proficient on state assessments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Complex Area</th>
<th>School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Language Arts</strong></td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Math</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Science</strong></td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### How are student subgroups performing?
High Needs: English learners, economically disadvantaged, and students receiving special education services. Non-High Needs: All other students.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Non-High Needs</th>
<th>High Needs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Language Arts</strong></td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Math</strong></td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Achievement gap: 41 points
Achievement gap: 18 points

---

### How do students feel about their school?
Measures percent of students reporting positive school climate as measured by the Panorama Student Survey by school level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Level</th>
<th>School</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper Elementary (For grades 3-5)</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary (For grades 6-12)</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1 2020 chronic absenteeism is based on absences only through the end of the third quarter (3/13/20). As such, the rate is not directly comparable with years prior to or following 2020, which were based on absences through May 1 of each school year.
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The 2020-21 STRIVE HI School Performance Report is an annual snapshot of a school’s performance on key indicators of student success. This report shows schools’ progress on federally-required indicators under the Every Student Succeeds Act; in addition to state-adopted measures focused on student equity, achievement and success. These results help inform action for teachers, principals, and other stakeholders.

Our Story

Our Program

Alaka‘i O Kaua‘i Public Charter School opened during August 2018, and currently serves Kindergarten-6th grades, providing an additional learning choice among Kaua‘i schools and is free of tuition for Kaua‘i’s keiki. Our program incorporates three foundational cornerstones: Project-Based Learning (PBL), Social Emotional learning (SEL) and Individual Learning Plans. We are proud to partner with California-based iLEAD Schools Development, who have been successfully providing leading edge, alternative education for 10 years.

Project-Based Learning

Project-Based Learning (PBL) allows children to learn curriculum through fun, meaningful, hands-on and collaborative experiences. Projects inspire the children to learn and apply the content standards in a real-world, relevant way. Through research, self-discovery, and teacher facilitation, learners inquire and seek out the information they need to accomplish the goals of the project. In addition to understanding, applying, and retaining the content, PBL helps develop vocational and leadership skills that will last a lifetime.

Social-Emotional Education

Academic achievement is only one component of a learner’s education at Alaka‘i O Kaua‘i. We also support the learner’s development of emotional intelligence, life skills and community engagement.

Individualized Learning Plans

Individual Learning Plans (ILPs) personalize goals and instruction for each child. We believe that learning should be interest-driven, peer-supported and focused on powerful outcomes.

How do you measure how well a school is doing? In our estimation, it's more than scores on high-stakes tests. Schools should show that they are supporting children along the educational pipeline toward college, career, and community readiness. Are our students attending school? Are they graduating? Are they going to college? And how successfully are schools reducing the achievement gap between high-needs and non-high needs students?

Learn more at http://bit.ly/StriveHISystem

Run date: January 20, 2022
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In what learning environment did students receive instruction?
This bar chart shows the percent of students receiving instruction completely in-person or in a blended or completely virtual setting. Consideration should be given to the following: (A) Students’ learning modality varied across schools; (B) Some students seemed to achieve better in-person, while blended or completely virtual settings may have been more conducive to learning for other students; (C) A student’s learning modality can serve as a useful comparison when examining individual student achievement based on their learning modality, however, caution should be given when drawing such conclusions; and (D) Certain students may be more likely to attend in-person compared to other types of students, that is, students with disabilities. Schools may look into these students to determine if that is the case before drawing conclusions about performance based on students’ learning modality.

Source: Office of Information Technology Services (OITS)

How many students did not have adequate digital devices or internet access?
The following show the number and percent of students who did not have a digital device or internet access to adequately engage in distance learning.

0 out of 164 students did not have a device for connectivity
0% of students did not have a device for connectivity
State: 1.9%

0 out of 164 students did not have internet access
0% of students did not have internet access
State: 2.8%

Source: Office of Information Technology Services (OITS)
How are students performing in each subject?
Measures the percent of students meeting the standard/who are proficient on state assessments. No participation penalty was applied to 2021 proficiency results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language Arts</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How are students performing compared to others?
Compares the percent of students meeting the standard/who are proficient on state assessments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language Arts</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How are student subgroups performing?
High Needs: English learners, economically disadvantaged, and students receiving special education services. Non-High Needs: All other students.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non-High Needs</th>
<th>High Needs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language Arts</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Achievement gap: 41 points

Achievement gap: 18 points

How many third graders read on grade level?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language Arts</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How many students missed 15 or more days of school this year?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State: 16%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex Area:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How are students' academic progress measured?
Schools' Smarter Balanced growth is represented by a Median Growth Percentile (MGP) which ranges from 1 - 99. HSA-Alt & KAEO growth shows the percent of students making one year of growth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Smarter Balanced</th>
<th>HSA-Alt</th>
<th>KAEO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language Arts</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How do students feel about their school?
Measures percent of students reporting positive school climate as measured by the Panorama Student Survey by school level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Upper Elementary</th>
<th>Secondary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 2020 chronic absenteeism is based on absences only through the end of the third quarter (3/13/20). As such, the rate is not directly comparable with years prior to or following 2020, which were based on absences through May 1 of each school year.

Run date: January 20, 2022