Pahoa High and Intermediate provides rigorous standards-based education and tailors interventions to meet students’ needs.

Data is shared weekly through professional learning communities, where professional development for educators is also planned.

The agriculture program teaches students lifelong skills in agriculture and related fields, while increasing their awareness of the global and technological importance of agriculture. Intermediate school students receive free afterschool online tutoring and a variety of character building activities through Pahoa’s ASAS/UPLINK Programs.

To support continued positive strides, the school engages in monthly progress monitoring by the school’s ART Team, weekly professional learning communities, academic coaching, mentoring, and on-site school reviews by outside teams made up of teachers and administrators. Classroom teachers are also involved in completing peer observations each month to glean methods and strategies from others while also providing insight to the teachers being informally observed.

Pahoa High & Intermediate School is currently in its first full year after receiving a six-year certification renewal by the WASC Accreditation team in February of 2017.

The Pahoa Ohana (faculty/staff, students and community) work collaboratively together through ongoing natural disasters (hurricane, lava, etc.) with resilience and commitment to excellence.
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Pandemic Related Considerations When Assessing Strive HI Results

Throughout this 2020-21 school year (SY), numerous pandemic-related challenges arose across Hawai‘i’s public schools. Many challenges were common across schools, yet others were unique to a particular school or group of schools within a particular geographic area. The pandemic’s impact on instruction and learning had an effect on teachers and students, and those conditions should be considered when examining student achievement and school performance results.

This section provides important contextual information that should be considered when assessing 2021 Strive HI results, and when comparing 2021 results to prior year results. To the extent data were available, several key metrics are presented to provide context to learning, instruction, and test-taking during the past school year, including participation rates, students learning modalities, and access to adequate digital devices and internet connectivity.

How many students participated in testing?

These bar charts display participation rates across language arts, math, and science for All Students and high-needs subgroups. School rates are accompanied by complex area and statewide rates allowing for side-by-side comparisons. Consideration should be given to the following: (A) Participation rates should always be taken into consideration when reviewing proficiency results, and especially so, when students’ modes of learning, instruction, and test-taking options are disrupted or altered during the school year; (B) School participation rates should be compared across key subgroups as well as complex area and statewide rates; (C) Participation rates allow readers to judge the extent proficiency and other test derived results such as growth, achievement gap, and 3rd and 8th grade literacy rates, are representative of all students eligible to test; and (D) When participation rates drop below 95%, one should ask, “To what extent are these results reflective of all students eligible to test?” “Are certain student subgroups over- or under-represented?” “Do those differences skew achievement results?” The following link provides guidelines and considerations when examining achievement results derived from low-participation rates, as well as other important pandemic related considerations: Appropriate Use of SY 2020-21 Hawai‘i Statewide Assessment Program (HSAP) Results.

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1mve1u1iXv6MQW3idks0Ed7la76YB4g2/view?usp=sharing
In what learning environment did students receive instruction?
This bar chart shows the percent of students receiving instruction completely in-person or in a blended or completely virtual setting. Consideration should be given to the following: (A) Students’ learning modality varied across schools; (B) Some students seemed to achieve better in-person, while blended or completely virtual settings may have been more conducive to learning for other students; (C) A student’s learning modality can serve as a useful comparison when examining individual student achievement based on their learning modality, however, caution should be given when drawing such conclusions; and (D) Certain students may be more likely to attend in-person compared to other types of students, that is, students with disabilities. Schools may look into these students to determine if that is the case before drawing conclusions about performance based on students’ learning modality.

How many students did not have adequate digital devices or internet access?
The following show the number and percent of students who did not have a digital device or internet access to adequately engage in distance learning.

- 0 out of 698 students did not have a device for connectivity: 0%
- 0 out of 698 students did not have internet access: 0%

Source: Office of Information Technology Services (OITS)
How are students performing in each subject?
Measures the percent of students meeting the standard/who are proficient on state assessments. No participation penalty was applied to 2021 proficiency results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language Arts</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How are students performing compared to others?
Compares the percent of students meeting the standard/who are proficient on state assessments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Complex Area</th>
<th>School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language Arts</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How are student subgroups performing?
High Needs: English learners, economically disadvantaged, and students receiving special education services. Non-High Needs: All other students.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>Language Arts</th>
<th>Math</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-High Needs</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Needs</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Achievement gap: 13 points
Achievement gap: 7 points

How many students are prepared for transition?

- 78% of 8th graders read near, at, or above grade level
- 97% of 9th graders are promoted to 10th grade on-time
- 33% of students enrolled in postsecondary institutions the fall after graduation
- 86% of students completed a Career & Technical Education program by 12th grade
- 88% of students graduated on-time

How many students missed 15 or more days of school this year?

- 2019: 37%
- 2020: 31%
- 2021: 35%

State: 19%
Complex Area: 40%

How do students feel about their school?
Measures percent of students reporting positive school climate as measured by the Panorama Student Survey by school level.

- Secondary (For grades 6-12): 58%
- State: 63%

12020 chronic absenteeism is based on absences only through the end of the third quarter (3/13/20). As such, the rate is not directly comparable with years prior to or following 2020, which were based on absences through May 1 of each school year.
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Pandemic Related Considerations When Assessing Strive HI Results

Throughout this 2020-21 school year (SY), numerous pandemic-related challenges arose across Hawai‘i’s public schools. Many challenges were common across schools, yet others were unique to a particular school or group of schools within a particular geographic area. The pandemic’s impact on instruction and learning had an effect on teachers and students, and those conditions should be considered when examining student achievement and school performance results.

This section provides important contextual information that should be considered when assessing 2021 Strive HI results, and when comparing 2021 results to prior year results. To the extent data were available, several key metrics are presented to provide context to learning, instruction, and test-taking during the past school year, including participation rates, students learning modalities, and access to adequate digital devices and internet connectivity.

How many students participated in testing?

These bar charts display participation rates across language arts, math, and science for All Students and high-needs subgroups. School rates are accompanied by complex area and statewide rates allowing for side-by-side comparisons. Consideration should be given to the following: (A) Participation rates should always be taken into consideration when reviewing proficiency results, and especially so, when students’ modes of learning, instruction, and test-taking options are disrupted or altered during the school year; (B) School participation rates should be compared across key subgroups as well as complex area and statewide rates; (C) Participation rates allow readers to judge the extent proficiency and other test derived results such as growth, achievement gap, and 3rd and 8th grade literacy rates, are representative of all students eligible to test; and (D) When participation rates drop below 95%, one should ask, “To what extent are these results reflective of all students eligible to test?” “Are certain student subgroups over- or under-represented?” “Do those differences skew achievement results?” The following link provides guidelines and considerations when examining achievement results derived from low-participation rates, as well as other important pandemic related considerations: Appropriate Use of SY 2020-21 Hawai‘i Statewide Assessment Program (HSAP) Results. [Link](https://drive.google.com/file/d/1mve1u1xV6MQW3idks0mEd7la76YB4g2/view?usp=sharing)
In what learning environment did students receive instruction?
This bar chart shows the percent of students receiving instruction completely in-person or in a blended or completely virtual setting. Consideration should be given to the following: (A) Students’ learning modality varied across schools; (B) Some students seemed to achieve better in-person, while blended or completely virtual settings may have been more conducive to learning for other students; (C) A student’s learning modality can serve as a useful comparison when examining individual student achievement based on their learning modality, however, caution should be given when drawing such conclusions; and (D) Certain students may be more likely to attend in-person compared to other types of students, that is, students with disabilities. Schools may look into these students to determine if that is the case before drawing conclusions about performance based on students’ learning modality.

How many students did not have adequate digital devices or internet access?
The following show the number and percent of students who did not have a digital device or internet access to adequately engage in distance learning.

0 out of 698 of students did not have a device for connectivity
0% of students did not have internet access
0%

Source: Office of Information Technology Services (OITS)
How are students performing in each subject?
Measures the percent of students meeting the standard/who are proficient on state assessments. No participation penalty was applied to 2021 proficiency results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language Arts</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How are students performing compared to others?
Compares the percent of students meeting the standard/who are proficient on state assessments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Complex School</th>
<th>School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language Arts</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How are student subgroups performing?
High Needs: English learners, economically disadvantaged, and students receiving special education services. Non-High Needs: All other students.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Language Arts</th>
<th>Math</th>
<th>English Learners</th>
<th>Math</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-High Needs</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Needs</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Achievement gap:
- English language proficiency: 13 points
- Math: 7 points

Achievement gap: 8% of students learning English are on-track to English language proficiency

How many students are prepared for transition?
- 86% of 8th graders read near, at, or above grade level
- 88% of 9th graders are promoted to 10th grade on-time
- 33% of students enrolled in postsecondary institutions the fall after graduation

How many students missed 15 or more days of school this year?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex Area</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How do students feel about their school?
Measures percent of students reporting positive school climate as measured by the Panorama Student Survey by school level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Level</th>
<th>Secondary</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 2020 chronic absenteeism is based on absences only through the end of the third quarter (3/13/20). As such, the rate is not directly comparable with years prior to or following 2020, which were based on absences through May 1 of each school year.
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