SEEQS: the School for Examining Essential Questions of Sustainability offers a community-focused, interdisciplinary project-based secondary school experience built on the vision that "SEEQers will be stewards of planet Earth and healthy, effective citizens of the world."

At SEEQS, all students are valued as whole people and are known members of our inclusive school community. They do meaningful work with real-world impacts, driven by their own passions and supported by invested educators. Through this, they grow in the SEEQS Sustainability Skills (Reasoning Analytically, Managing Effectively, Communicating Powerfully, Collaborating Productively, and Thinking Systemically) and leave prepared to make valuable contributions in whatever they choose to pursue beyond SEEQS.

SEEQS prioritizes real-world learning experiences and is committed to authentic demonstration of the mastery of skills and concepts. Student-led conferences, public project exhibitions, and portfolio defenses all provide students with opportunities to show their learning.

How do you measure how well a school is doing? In our estimation, it's more than scores on high-stakes tests. Schools should show that they are supporting children along the educational pipeline toward college, career, and community readiness. Are our students attending school? Are they graduating? Are they going to college? And how successfully are schools reducing the achievement gap between high-needs and non-high needs students?

Learn more at http://bit.ly/StriveHISystem
Pandemic Related Considerations When Assessing Strive HI Results

Throughout this 2020-21 school year (SY), numerous pandemic-related challenges arose across Hawaiʻi’s public schools. Many challenges were common across schools, yet others were unique to a particular school or group of schools within a particular geographic area. The pandemic’s impact on instruction and learning had an effect on teachers and students, and those conditions should be considered when examining student achievement and school performance results.

This section provides important contextual information that should be considered when assessing 2021 Strive HI results, and when comparing 2021 results to prior year results. To the extent data were available, several key metrics are presented to provide context to learning, instruction, and test-taking during the past school year, including participation rates, students learning modalities, and access to adequate digital devices and internet connectivity.

How many students participated in testing?

These bar charts display participation rates across language arts, math, and science for All Students and high-needs subgroups. School rates are accompanied by complex area and statewide rates allowing for side-by-side comparisons. Consideration should be given to the following: (A) Participation rates should always be taken into consideration when reviewing proficiency results, and especially so, when students’ modes of learning, instruction, and test-taking options are disrupted or altered during the school year; (B) School participation rates should be compared across key subgroups as well as complex area and statewide rates; (C) Participation rates allow readers to judge the extent proficiency and other test derived results such as growth, achievement gap, and 3rd and 8th grade literacy rates, are representative of all students eligible to test; and (D) When participation rates drop below 95%, one should ask, “To what extent are these results reflective of all students eligible to test?” “Are certain student subgroups over- or under-represented?” “Do those differences skew achievement results?” The following link provides guidelines and considerations when examining achievement results derived from low-participation rates, as well as other important pandemic related considerations: Appropriate Use of SY 2020-21 Hawaiʻi Statewide Assessment Program (HSAP) Results.

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1mve1u1iXV6MQW3idks0mEd7la76YB4g2/view?usp=sharing

Language Arts Participation - By School, Complex Area, and State

Math Participation - By School, Complex Area, and State
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Language Arts Participation - Three-Year Comparison

Math Participation - Three-Year Comparison
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In what learning environment did students receive instruction?

This bar chart shows the percent of students receiving instruction completely in-person or in a blended or completely virtual setting. Consideration should be given to the following: (A) Students’ learning modality varied across schools; (B) Some students seemed to achieve better in-person, while blended or completely virtual settings may have been more conducive to learning for other students; (C) A student’s learning modality can serve as a useful comparison when examining individual student achievement based on their learning modality, however, caution should be given when drawing such conclusions; and (D) Certain students may be more likely to attend in-person compared to other types of students, that is, students with disabilities. Schools may look into these students to determine if that is the case before drawing conclusions about performance based on students’ learning modality.

Source: Office of Information Technology Services (OITS)

How many students did not have adequate digital devices or internet access?

The following show the number and percent of students who did not have a digital device or internet access to adequately engage in distance learning.

Source: Office of Information Technology Services (OITS)
How are students performing in each subject?
Measures the percent of students meeting the standard/who are proficient on state assessments. No participation penalty was applied to 2021 proficiency results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language Arts</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How are students performing compared to others?
Compares the percent of students meeting the standard/who are proficient on state assessments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Complex School</th>
<th>School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language Arts</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How are student subgroups performing?
High Needs: English learners, economically disadvantaged, and students receiving special education services. Non-High Needs: All other students.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Non-High Needs</th>
<th>High Needs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language Arts</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Achievement gap: 45 points
Achievement gap: 25 points

How many 8th graders read on grade level?
85% of 8th graders read near, at, or above grade level

How many students missed 15 or more days of school this year?
2019: 6%  
2020: 7%  
2021: 9%

State: 17%  
Complex Area: 6%

How do students feel about their school?
Measures percent of students reporting positive school climate as measured by the Panorama Student Survey by school level.

Secondary (For grades 6-12)
School: 68%  
State: 63%

12020 chronic absenteeism is based on absences only through the end of the third quarter (3/13/20). As such, the rate is not directly comparable with years prior to or following 2020, which were based on absences through May 1 of each school year.
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THE 2020-21 STRIVE HI SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT is an annual snapshot of a school’s performance on key indicators of student success. This report shows schools’ progress on federally-required indicators under the Every Student Succeeds Act; in addition to state-adopted measures focused on student equity, achievement and success. These results help inform action for teachers, principals, and other stakeholders.

Our Story
SEEQS: the School for Examining Essential Questions of Sustainability offers a community-focused, interdisciplinary project-based secondary school experience built on the vision that "SEEQers will be stewards of planet Earth and healthy, effective citizens of the world."

At SEEQS, all students are valued as whole people and are known members of our inclusive school community. They do meaningful work with real-world impacts, driven by their own passions and supported by invested educators. Through this, they grow in the SEEQS Sustainability Skills (Reasoning Analytically, Managing Effectively, Communicating Powerfully, Collaborating Productively, and Thinking Systemically) and leave prepared to make valuable contributions in whatever they choose to pursue beyond SEEQS.

SEEQS prioritizes real-world learning experiences and is committed to authentic demonstration of the mastery of skills and concepts. Student-led conferences, public project exhibitions, and portfolio defenses all provide students with opportunities to show their learning.

How do you measure how well a school is doing? In our estimation, it’s more than scores on high-stakes tests. Schools should show that they are supporting children along the educational pipeline toward college, career, and community readiness. Are our students attending school? Are they graduating? Are they going to college? And how successfully are schools reducing the achievement gap between high-needs and non-high needs students?

Learn more at http://bit.ly/StriveHISystem

174 students enrolled

3% of students are English learners
21% of students are eligible for Free or Reduced Lunch
13% of students receive special education services
96% of special education students are in general education classes most of the day
Pandemic Related Considerations When Assessing Strive HI Results

Throughout this 2020-21 school year (SY), numerous pandemic-related challenges arose across Hawaiʻi’s public schools. Many challenges were common across schools, yet others were unique to a particular school or group of schools within a particular geographic area. The pandemic’s impact on instruction and learning had an effect on teachers and students, and those conditions should be considered when examining student achievement and school performance results.

This section provides important contextual information that should be considered when assessing 2021 Strive HI results, and when comparing 2021 results to prior year results. To the extent data were available, several key metrics are presented to provide context to learning, instruction, and test-taking during the past school year, including participation rates, students learning modalities, and access to adequate digital devices and internet connectivity.

How many students participated in testing?

These bar charts display participation rates across language arts, math, and science for All Students and high-needs subgroups. School rates are accompanied by complex area and statewide rates allowing for side-by-side comparisons. Consideration should be given to the following: (A) Participation rates should always be taken into consideration when reviewing proficiency results, and especially so, when students’ modes of learning, instruction, and test-taking options are disrupted or altered during the school year; (B) School participation rates should be compared across key subgroups as well as complex area and statewide rates; (C) Participation rates allow readers to judge the extent proficiency and other test derived results such as growth, achievement gap, and 3rd and 8th grade literacy rates, are representative of all students eligible to test; and (D) When participation rates drop below 95%, one should ask, “To what extent are these results reflective of all students eligible to test?” “Are certain student subgroups over- or under-represented?” “Do those differences skew achievement results?” The following link provides guidelines and considerations when examining achievement results derived from low-participation rates, as well as other important pandemic related considerations: Appropriate Use of SY 2020-21 Hawaiʻi Statewide Assessment Program (HSAP) Results.

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1mve1u1ixV6MQW3idks0mEd7la76YB4g2/view?usp=sharing

Language Arts Participation - By School, Complex Area, and State

Math Participation - By School, Complex Area, and State

Science Participation - By School, Complex Area, and State

Language Arts Participation - Three-Year Comparison

Math Participation - Three-Year Comparison

Science Participation - Three-Year Comparison
In what learning environment did students receive instruction?
This bar chart shows the percent of students receiving instruction completely in-person or in a blended or completely virtual setting. Consideration should be given to the following: (A) Students’ learning modality varied across schools; (B) Some students seemed to achieve better in-person, while blended or completely virtual settings may have been more conducive to learning for other students; (C) A student’s learning modality can serve as a useful comparison when examining individual student achievement based on their learning modality, however, caution should be given when drawing such conclusions; and (D) Certain students may be more likely to attend in-person compared to other types of students, that is, students with disabilities. Schools may look into these students to determine if that is the case before drawing conclusions about performance based on students’ learning modality.

Source: Office of Information Technology Services (OITS)

How many students did not have adequate digital devices or internet access?
The following show the number and percent of students who did not have a digital device or internet access to adequately engage in distance learning.

Source: Office of Information Technology Services (OITS)
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2020-21 Strive HI School Performance Results

IMPORTANT: Due to COVID-19, in SY 2020, no statewide tests were administered. In SY 2021, Hawai‘i public schools administered a shortened version of the statewide assessment, a skip-year growth methodology was used, and participation rate penalties were waived as approved by the U.S. Department of Education.

How are students performing in each subject?
Measures the percent of students meeting the standard/who are proficient on state assessments. No participation penalty was applied to 2021 proficiency results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language Arts</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How are students’ academic progress measured?
Schools’ Smarter Balanced growth is represented by a Median Growth Percentile (MGP) which ranges from 1 - 99. HSA-Alt & KAEO growth shows the percent of students making one year of growth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth Measure</th>
<th>Language Arts</th>
<th>Math</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smarter Balanced</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSA-Alt</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAEO</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How are students performing compared to others?
Compares the percent of students meeting the standard/who are proficient on state assessments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language Arts</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How many 8th graders read on grade level?
85% of 8th graders read near, at, or above grade level.

How many students missed 15 or more days of school this year?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State: 17%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How are student subgroups performing?
High Needs: English learners, economically disadvantaged, and students receiving special education services. Non-High Needs: All other students.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Non-High Needs</th>
<th>High Needs</th>
<th>Achievement gap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language Arts</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>45 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>25 points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Achievement gap:

- of students learning English are on-track to English language proficiency

How do students feel about their school?
Measures percent of students reporting positive school climate as measured by the Panorama Student Survey by school level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Secondary (For grades 6-12)</th>
<th>School</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. 2020 chronic absenteeism is based on absences only through the end of the third quarter (3/13/20). As such, the rate is not directly comparable with years prior to or following 2020, which were based on absences through May 1 of each school year.

Learn more at http://bit.ly/StriveHISystem
Run date: January 20, 2022