Waimanalo Elementary and Intermediate is WASC Accredited and committed to ongoing school improvement. The school community is united to provide students with opportunities to build strong relationships and develop career readiness skills in a safe learning environment. The vision of the school is to develop and nurture life-long learners to be caring, respectful and responsible citizens who collaborate and think critically in a culturally diverse society.

Guided by a three-year academic plan, the school focuses on developing the whole child, delivering quality, rigorous instruction, organizing data teams to plan for differentiation and engaging learners in professional development.

Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID) strategies are implemented in grades K-8 to close the achievement gap and prepare students for college and other postsecondary opportunities. Waimanalo Elementary and Intermediate also provides the afterschool UPLINK program for middle school students.
Pandemic Related Considerations When Assessing Strive HI Results

Throughout this 2020-21 school year (SY), numerous pandemic-related challenges arose across Hawai’i’s public schools. Many challenges were common across schools, yet others were unique to a particular school or group of schools within a particular geographic area. The pandemic’s impact on instruction and learning had an effect on teachers and students, and those conditions should be considered when examining student achievement and school performance results.

This section provides important contextual information that should be considered when assessing 2021 Strive HI results, and when comparing 2021 results to prior year results. To the extent data were available, several key metrics are presented to provide context to learning, instruction, and test-taking during the past school year, including participation rates, students learning modalities, and access to adequate digital devices and internet connectivity.

### How many students participated in testing?

These bar charts display participation rates across language arts, math, and science for All Students and high-needs subgroups. School rates are accompanied by complex area and statewide rates allowing for side-by-side comparisons. Consideration should be given to the following: (A) Participation rates should always be taken into consideration when reviewing proficiency results, and especially so, when students’ modes of learning, instruction, and test-taking options are disrupted or altered during the school year; (B) School participation rates should be compared across key subgroups as well as complex area and statewide rates; (C) Participation rates allow readers to judge the extent proficiency and other test derived results such as growth, achievement gap, and 3rd and 8th grade literacy rates, are representative of all students eligible to test; and (D) When participation rates drop below 95%, one should ask, “To what extent are these results reflective of all students eligible to test?” “Are certain student subgroups over- or under-represented?” “Do those differences skew achievement results?” The following link provides guidelines and considerations when examining achievement results derived from low-participation rates, as well as other important pandemic related considerations: [Appropriate Use of SY 2020-21 Hawai’i Statewide Assessment Program (HSAP) Results](https://drive.google.com/file/d/1mve1u1lxV6MQW3idks0mEd7la76YB4q2/view?usp=sharing).

#### Language Arts Participation - By School, Complex Area, and State

- **All Students**: 87% 92% 88%
- **Special Education**: 83% 90% 100%
- **Disadvantaged**: 84% 89% 87%
- **English Learners (EL)**: 89% 97% 95%
- **High Needs**: 85% 90% 88%
- **Non-High Needs**: 91% 94% 88%

#### Math Participation - By School, Complex Area, and State

- **All Students**: 88% 93% 89%
- **Special Education**: 84% 92% 100%
- **Disadvantaged**: 85% 91% 88%
- **English Learners (EL)**: 89% 97% 95%
- **High Needs**: 86% 91% 89%
- **Non-High Needs**: 91% 95% 88%

#### Science Participation - By School, Complex Area, and State

- **All Students**: 85% 91% 87%
- **Special Education**: 82% 92% 100%
- **Disadvantaged**: 82% 88% 85%
- **English Learners (EL)**: 87% 100% 100%
- **High Needs**: 83% 89% 87%
- **Non-High Needs**: 89% 93% 86%

#### Language Arts Participation - Three-Year Comparison

- **All Students**: 87% 92% 88%
- **Special Education**: 83% 90% 100%
- **Disadvantaged**: 84% 89% 87%
- **English Learners (EL)**: 89% 97% 95%
- **High Needs**: 85% 90% 88%
- **Non-High Needs**: 91% 94% 88%

#### Math Participation - Three-Year Comparison

- **All Students**: 100% 100% 100%
- **Special Education**: 100% 100% 100%
- **Disadvantaged**: 89% 97% 95%
- **English Learners (EL)**: 88% 98% 100%
- **High Needs**: 100% 100% 99%
- **Non-High Needs**: 89% 99% 100%

#### Science Participation - Three-Year Comparison

- **All Students**: 87% 92% 88%
- **Special Education**: 92% 99% 100%
- **Disadvantaged**: 88% 100% 100%
- **English Learners (EL)**: 85% 99% 100%
- **High Needs**: 87% 99% 100%
- **Non-High Needs**: 86% 99% 100%
In what learning environment did students receive instruction?
This bar chart shows the percent of students receiving instruction completely in-person or in a blended or completely virtual setting. Consideration should be given to the following: (A) Students’ learning modality varied across schools; (B) Some students seemed to achieve better in-person, while blended or completely virtual settings may have been more conducive to learning for other students; (C) A student’s learning modality can serve as a useful comparison when examining individual student achievement based on their learning modality, however, caution should be given when drawing such conclusions; and (D) Certain students may be more likely to attend in-person compared to other types of students, that is, students with disabilities. Schools may look into these students to determine if that is the case before drawing conclusions about performance based on students’ learning modality.

Source: Office of Information Technology Services (OITS)

How many students did not have adequate digital devices or internet access?
The following show the number and percent of students who did not have a digital device or internet access to adequately engage in distance learning.

0 out of 371
0% of students did not have a device for connectivity
State: 1.9%

0 out of 371
0% of students did not have internet access
State: 2.8%

Source: Office of Information Technology Services (OITS)
Waimanalo Elementary and Intermediate
41-1330 Kalanianaole Highway | Oahu | Kailua-Kalaheo Complex Area

2020-21 Strive HI School Performance Results

IMPORTANT: Due to COVID-19, in SY 2020, no statewide tests were administered. In SY 2021, Hawai‘i public schools administered a shortened version of the statewide assessment, a skip-year growth methodology was used, and participation rate penalties were waived as approved by the U.S. Department of Education.

How are students performing in each subject?
Measures the percent of students meeting the standard/who are proficient on state assessments. No participation penalty was applied to 2021 proficiency results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Language Arts</th>
<th>Math</th>
<th>Science</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How are students performing compared to others?
Compares the percent of students meeting the standard/who are proficient on state assessments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Language Arts</th>
<th>Math</th>
<th>Science</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex Area School</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How are student subgroups performing?
High Needs: English learners, economically disadvantaged, and students receiving special education services. Non-High Needs: All other students.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Language Arts</th>
<th>Math</th>
<th>Science</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-High Needs</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Needs</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Achievement gap:
-2 points
Achievement gap:
2 points

44% of students learning English are on-track to English language proficiency

How are students' academic progress measured?
Schools' Smarter Balanced growth is represented by a Median Growth Percentile (MGP) which ranges from 1 - 99. HSA-Alt & KAEO growth shows the percent of students making one year of growth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Smarter Balanced</th>
<th>Language Arts</th>
<th>Math</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HSA-Alt
Language Arts          Math

KAEO
Language Arts          Math

How many 3rd & 8th graders read on grade level?

53% of 3rd graders read near, at, or above grade level
60% of 8th graders read near, at, or above grade level

How many students missed 15 or more days of school this year?

2019 2020
17% 17%
21% 20%
40% 39%

State: 17%
Complex Area: 12%

How do students feel about their school?
Measures percent of students reporting positive school climate as measured by the Panorama Student Survey by school level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Upper Elementary (For grades 3-5)</th>
<th>School (For grades 6-12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12020 chronic absenteeism is based on absences only through the end of the third quarter (3/13/20). As such, the rate is not directly comparable with years prior to or following 2020, which were based on absences through May 1 of each school year.
Waimanalo Elementary and Intermediate
41-1330 Kalanianaole Highway | Oahu | Kailua-Kalaheo Complex Area

THE 2020-21 STRIVE HI SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT is an annual snapshot of a school’s performance on key indicators of student success. This report shows schools’ progress on federally-required indicators under the Every Student Succeeds Act; in addition to state-adopted measures focused on student equity, achievement and success. These results help inform action for teachers, principals, and other stakeholders.

Our Story
Waimanalo Elementary and Intermediate is WASC Accredited and committed to ongoing school improvement. The school community is united to provide students with opportunities to build strong relationships and develop career readiness skills in a safe learning environment. The vision of the school is to develop and nurture life-long learners to be caring, respectful and responsible citizens who collaborate and think critically in a culturally diverse society.

Guided by a three-year academic plan, the school focuses on developing the whole child, delivering quality, rigorous instruction, organizing data teams to plan for differentiation and engaging learners in professional development.

Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID) strategies are implemented in grades K-8 to close the achievement gap and prepare students for college and other postsecondary opportunities. Waimanalo Elementary and Intermediate also provides the afterschool UPLINK program for middle school students.
Pandemic Related Considerations When Assessing Strive HI Results

Throughout this 2020-21 school year (SY), numerous pandemic-related challenges arose across Hawaiʻi’s public schools. Many challenges were common across schools, yet others were unique to a particular school or group of schools within a particular geographic area. The pandemic’s impact on instruction and learning had an effect on teachers and students, and those conditions should be considered when examining student achievement and school performance results.

This section provides important contextual information that should be considered when assessing 2021 Strive HI results, and when comparing 2021 results to prior year results. To the extent data were available, several key metrics are presented to provide context to learning, instruction, and test-taking during the past school year, including participation rates, students learning modalities, and access to adequate digital devices and internet connectivity.

How many students participated in testing?

These bar charts display participation rates across language arts, math, and science for All Students and high-needs subgroups. School rates are accompanied by complex area and statewide rates allowing for side-by-side comparisons. Consideration should be given to the following: (A) Participation rates should always be taken into consideration when reviewing proficiency results, and especially so, when students’ modes of learning, instruction, and test-taking options are disrupted or altered during the school year; (B) School participation rates should be compared across key subgroups as well as complex area and statewide rates; (C) Participation rates allow readers to judge the extent proficiency and other test derived results such as growth, achievement gap, and 3rd and 8th grade literacy rates, are representative of all students eligible to test; and (D) When participation rates drop below 95%, one should ask, “To what extent are these results reflective of all students eligible to test?” “Are certain student subgroups over- or under-represented?” “Do those differences skew achievement results?” The following link provides guidelines and considerations when examining achievement results derived from low-participation rates, as well as other important pandemic related considerations: Appropriate Use of SY 2020-21 Hawai‘i Statewide Assessment Program (HSAP) Results.

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1mve1u1ixV6MQW3idks0mEd7la76YB4q2/view?usp=sharing
In what learning environment did students receive instruction?
This bar chart shows the percent of students receiving instruction completely in-person or in a blended or completely virtual setting. Consideration should be given to the following: (A) Students' learning modality varied across schools; (B) Some students seemed to achieve better in-person, while blended or completely virtual settings may have been more conducive to learning for other students; (C) A student's learning modality can serve as a useful comparison when examining individual student achievement based on their learning modality, however, caution should be given when drawing such conclusions; and (D) Certain students may be more likely to attend in-person compared to other types of students, that is, students with disabilities. Schools may look into these students to determine if that is the case before drawing conclusions about performance based on students' learning modality.

Source: Office of Information Technology Services (OITS)

How many students did not have adequate digital devices or internet access?
The following show the number and percent of students who did not have a digital device or internet access to adequately engage in distance learning.

0 out of 371
0% of students did not have a device for connectivity
State: 1.9%

0 out of 371
0% of students did not have internet access
State: 2.8%

Source: Office of Information Technology Services (OITS)
How are students performing in each subject?
Measures the percent of students meeting the standard/who are proficient on state assessments. No participation penalty was applied to 2021 proficiency results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language Arts</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How are students performing compared to others?
Compares the percent of students meeting the standard/who are proficient on state assessments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language Arts</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How are student subgroups performing?
High Needs: English learners, economically disadvantaged, and students receiving special education services. Non-High Needs: All other students.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>Language Arts</th>
<th>Math</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-High Needs</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Needs</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achievement gap:</td>
<td>-2 points</td>
<td>2 points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How do students feel about their school?
Measures percent of students reporting positive school climate as measured by the Panorama Student Survey by school level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>Upper Elementary (For grades 3-5)</th>
<th>Secondary (For grades 6-12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. 2020 chronic absenteeism is based on absences only through the end of the third quarter (3/13/20). As such, the rate is not directly comparable with years prior to or following 2020, which were based on absences through May 1 of each school year.